Correlation integrals and how to use them: a discussion David N. Hosking with Alex A. Schekochihin

University of Oxford \rightarrow Princeton University

Hosking & Schekochihin (2021), *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 041005 Hosking & Schekochihin (2022), arXiv:2203.03573 Hosking & Schekochihin (2022), arXiv:2202.00462

Fluid (and kinetic) systems support the conservation of certain quantities, i.e.,

 $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{F} = - \text{dissipation.}$

Fluid (and kinetic) systems support the conservation of certain quantities, i.e.,

 $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot F = - \text{dissipation.}$

The most fundamental of these is energy.

Fluid (and kinetic) systems support the conservation of certain quantities, i.e.,

The most fundamental of these is energy.

At some level, turbulence is just the nonlinear processing of these quantities between injection and dissipation scales.



- $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot F = \text{dissipation.}$

The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

Type 1: ψ is a generalised energy, i.e., the norm of a dynamical field. $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ for any possible realisation of the system. Examples are: • Kinetic/magnetic energy, u^2, B^2

The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

Type 1: ψ is a generalised energy, i.e., the norm of a dynamical field. $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ for any possible realisation of the system. Examples are:

- Kinetic/magnetic energy, u^2, B^2
- Variance of passive scalar, θ^2

The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

Type 1: ψ is a generalised energy, i.e., the norm of a dynamical field. $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ for any possible realisation of the system. Examples are:

- Kinetic/magnetic energy, u^2, B^2
- Variance of passive scalar, θ^2
- Enstrophy, ω^2

The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

Type 1: ψ is a generalised energy, i.e., the norm of a dynamical field. $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ for any possible realisation of the system. Examples are:

- Kinetic/magnetic energy, u^2, B^2
- Variance of passive scalar, θ^2
- Enstrophy, ω^2
- Anastrophy, A_7^2

The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

Type 1: ψ is a generalised energy, i.e., the norm of a dynamical field. $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ for any possible realisation of the system. Examples are:

- Kinetic/magnetic energy, u^2, B^2
- Variance of passive scalar, θ^2
- Enstrophy, ω^2
- Anastrophy, A_7^2

Properties of generalised energies:

$$\varepsilon_{\psi} \sim \frac{\psi_l}{\tau_l} \sim \text{const} \implies \mathscr{E}(k)$$

e.g., 3D hydro: (K41):

$$\varepsilon_E \sim u_l^2 \frac{u_l}{l} \implies u_l \sim (\varepsilon_E l)^{1/3}$$

cascades







The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

Type 1: ψ is a generalised energy, i.e., the norm of a dynamical field. $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ for any possible realisation of the system. Examples are:

- Kinetic/magnetic energy, u^2, B^2
- Variance of passive scalar, θ^2
- Enstrophy, ω^2
- Anastrophy, A_7^2

Properties of generalised energies:

e.g., 2D hydro:

$$\varepsilon_E \sim u_l^2 \frac{u_l}{l} \implies u_l \sim (\varepsilon_E l)^{1/3} \implies \mathscr{E}(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$$

 $\varepsilon_Z \sim \frac{u_l^2}{l^2} \frac{u_l}{l} \implies u_l \sim \varepsilon_Z^{1/3} l \implies \mathscr{E}(k) \propto k^{-3}$

inverse cascade/transfer





The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

Type 1: ψ is a generalised energy, i.e., the norm of a dynamical field. $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ for any possible realisation of the system. Examples are:

- Kinetic/magnetic energy, u^2, B^2
- Variance of passive scalar, θ^2
- Enstrophy, ω^2
- Anastrophy, A_7^2

Properties of generalised energies:

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_L}, \quad \langle \psi \rangle \sim U^{\alpha} L^{\beta} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-p}$ e.g., 2D MHI $\tau_L \sim \frac{L}{R} \epsilon_{\rm rec}^{-1}, \quad \langle A_z^2 \rangle \sim B^2 L^2 \sim {\rm const} \implies B^2 \propto t^{-1}$

<u>constrain turbulent decay</u>

Zhou et. al. 2019





The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

 $\langle \psi \rangle$ can be 0, but can also be finite. Examples are:

• Momentum, *u*; magnetic flux, *B*

- **Type 2:** ψ is a directed quantity, e.g., a sign-indefinite (pseudo-)scalar or vector.

The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

 $\langle \psi \rangle$ can be 0, but can also be finite. Examples are:

- Momentum, *u*; magnetic flux, *B*
- Concentration of passive scalar, θ

- **Type 2:** ψ is a directed quantity, e.g., a sign-indefinite (pseudo-)scalar or vector.

The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

 $\langle \psi \rangle$ can be 0, but can also be finite. Examples are:

- Momentum, \boldsymbol{u} ; magnetic flux, \boldsymbol{B}
- Concentration of passive scalar, θ
- Helicity: kinetic, $u \cdot \omega$; magnetic, $A \cdot B$; cross-, $u \cdot B$.

- **Type 2:** ψ is a directed quantity, e.g., a sign-indefinite (pseudo-)scalar or vector.

The conserved quantities ψ come in two distinct types.

 $\langle \psi \rangle$ can be 0, but can also be finite. Examples are:

- Momentum, *u*; magnetic flux, *B*
- Concentration of passive scalar, θ
- Helicity: kinetic, $u \cdot \omega$; magnetic, $A \cdot B$; cross-, $u \cdot B$.

Properties of directed conserved quantities for finite $\langle \psi \rangle$:



Cascades





Dual/inverse cascade/transfer

- **Type 2:** ψ is a directed quantity, e.g., a sign-indefinite (pseudo-)scalar or vector.

Müller *et. al.* 2012

Brandenburg et. al. 2017



Constrain turbulent decay

When ψ is a directed quantity, we can have conditions for which $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$.

When ψ is a directed quantity, we can have conditions for which $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$.

Questions:

What, if any, are then the dynamical implications of the conservation of ψ ? - Are (inverse) cascades and transfers still induced?

- Does ψ affect decay?

When ψ is a directed quantity, we can have conditions for which $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$.

Questions:

What, if any, are then the dynamical implications of the conservation of ψ ? - Are (inverse) cascades and transfers still induced?

- Does ψ affect decay?
- \longrightarrow correlation integrals.

$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot F \simeq 0 \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \psi(x)\psi(x+r) \rangle + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \cdot \langle \psi(x)F(x+r) \rangle \simeq 0$

 $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} \simeq 0 \implies \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \psi (t) \rangle$



$$(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\cdot\langle\psi(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle \simeq 0$$

$${}^{3}r\langle\psi(x)\psi(x+r)\rangle\simeq0$$







Some examples:

 $\psi = u$, Saffman (1967) integral

 $\psi = B$, "magnetic Saffman integral" $\psi = A \cdot B$, "H-S (2021) integral" $\psi = u \cdot B$, "Bershadskii (2019) integral"

 $\psi = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{u}$, Loitsyanksy (1939) integral* $\psi = u \cdot \omega$, "Levich-Tsinober (1983) integral"

 $\psi = \theta$, Corrsin (1950) integral

$$(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\cdot\langle\psi(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle \simeq 0$$

$${}^{3}r\langle\psi(x)\psi(x+r)\rangle \simeq 0$$
$$= I_{\psi}$$





$$I_{\psi} = \int d^3 r \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle = \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{\left\langle \left[\int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} \,\psi(\mathbf{x}) \right]^2 \right\rangle}{V}$$





$$I_{\psi} = \int d^3 r \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle = \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{\left\langle \left[\int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} \,\psi(\mathbf{x}) \right]^2 \right\rangle}{V}$$





$$I_{\psi} = \int d^3 r \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle = \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{\left\langle \left[\int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} \,\psi(\mathbf{x}) \right]^2 \right\rangle}{V}$$





$$I_{\psi} = \int d^3 r \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle = \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{\left\langle \left[\int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} \,\psi(\mathbf{x}) \right]^2 \right\rangle}{V}$$





$$I_{\psi} = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) \rangle = \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{\left\langle \left[\int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right]^2 \right\rangle}{V}$$

$$\langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle \equiv \left\langle \left[\int_V d^3 x \, \psi(x) \right]^2 \right\rangle \propto V, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle \propto V^{2/3}$$





$$I_{\psi} = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) \rangle = \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{\left\langle \left[\int_V d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \psi(\mathbf{x}) \right]^2 \right\rangle}{V}$$

$$\langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle \equiv \left\langle \left[\int_V d^3 x \, \psi(x) \right]^2 \right\rangle \propto V, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle \propto V^{2/3}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{I_{\psi}} \frac{\mathrm{d}I_{\psi}}{\mathrm{d}t} \propto \lim_{V \to \infty} V^{-2/3} = 0$$



The power spectrum of ψ is

$$\mathscr{E}_{\psi}(k) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r}$$

If the correlation function decays sufficiently quickly with r, then

$$\mathscr{E}_{\psi}(k \to 0) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle - \frac{k^4}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \, r^2 \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle + O(k^6).$$

 $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\langle\psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle$

The power spectrum of ψ is

$$\mathscr{E}_{\psi}(k) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r}$$

If the correlation function decays sufficiently quickly with r, then

$$\mathscr{E}_{\psi}(k \to 0) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle - \frac{k^4}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \, r^2 \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle + O(k^6).$$

If not, we can show that, for $0 < a \le 3$, $\langle \psi(x)\psi(x+r)\rangle \propto r^{-a} \iff$

 $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\langle\psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle$

$$\Rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{\psi} \propto k^{a-1} \iff \langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle \propto R^{6-a}$$

The power spectrum of ψ is

$$\mathscr{E}_{\psi}(k) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r}$$

If the correlation function decays sufficiently quickly with r, then

$$\mathscr{E}_{\psi}(k \to 0) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle - \frac{k^4}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \, r^2 \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle + O(k^6).$$

If not, we can show that, for $0 < a \leq 3$, $\langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle \propto r^{-a} \in$

Importantly, these results also hold for finite ranges of r, k, R. This implies inter alia that they may still be used to describe turbulence in a finite system or periodic box.

 $re^{ik\cdot r}\langle \psi(x)\psi(x+r)\rangle$

$$\Rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{\psi} \propto k^{a-1} \iff \langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle \propto R^{6-a}$$



The power spectrum of ψ is

$$\mathscr{E}_{\psi}(k) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r}$$

If the correlation function decays sufficiently quickly with r, then

$$\mathscr{E}_{\psi}(k \to 0) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$$

If not, we can show that, for $0 < a \leq 3$, $\langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle \propto r^{-a} \in$

Importantly, these results also hold for finite ranges of r, k, R. This implies inter alia that they may still be used to describe turbulence in a finite system or periodic box.

 $re^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\langle\psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle$



 $+\mathbf{r})\rangle - \frac{k^4}{2\pi^2} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \, r^2 \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle + O(k^6).$ Simulation of MHD decay

$$\Rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{\psi} \propto k^{a-1} \iff \langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle \propto R^{6-a}$$



Correlation integrals and turbulent dynamics

Cascades / inertial-range phenomenology Inverse cascades and transfers / infra-red phenomenology Control of decaying turbulence

Correlation integrals and turbulent dynamics

Cascades / inertial-range phenomenology Inverse cascades and transfers / infra-red phenomenology Control of decaying turbulence

Recall that, if $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_L}, \quad \langle \psi \rangle \sim U^{\alpha} L^{\beta} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-p}.$ If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

Consequence: inverse transfer of energy If correlations in *u* decay quickly, we know that

 $\mathscr{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{k}\to 0) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \left[\mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{r} \langle \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{r}) \rangle - \frac{k^4}{2\pi^2} \left[\mathrm{d}^3 \boldsymbol{r} \, r^2 \langle \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{r}) \rangle + O(k^6) \right] \right]$

If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

Consequence: inverse transfer of energy If correlations in *u* decay quickly, we know that

$$\mathscr{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{k} \to \boldsymbol{0}) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int d^3\boldsymbol{r} \langle \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{r}) \rangle$$

= 0 (solenoidality)

 $-\frac{k^4}{2\pi^2}\int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, r^2 \langle u(x) \cdot u(x+r) \rangle + O(k^6)$ $\sim k^4 U^2 L^5$

If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

Consequence: inverse transfer of energy

If correlations in *u* decay quickly, we know that

$$\mathscr{E}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{k}\to 0) = \frac{k^2}{2\pi^2} \int d^3\boldsymbol{r} \langle \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{r}) \rangle$$

= 0 (solenoidality)

 $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(k \to 0)$ grows as U decays if $5\alpha > 2\beta + 3$.

 $-\frac{k^4}{2\pi^2}\int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, r^2 \langle u(x) \cdot u(x+r) \rangle + O(k^6)$ $\sim k^4 U^2 L^5$

If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

Consequence: inverse transfer of energy Example: Non-helical MHD

 $I_h = \left| d^3 r \langle h(\boldsymbol{x}) h(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{r}) \rangle \sim B^4 L^5 \sim \text{const} \right|$

If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

Consequence: inverse transfer of energy Example: Non-helical MHD

 $I_h = \left| d^3 r \langle h(\boldsymbol{x}) h(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{r}) \rangle \sim B^4 L^5 \sim \text{const} \right|$ $\implies \mathscr{E}_{\mathbf{B}}(k \to 0) \sim B^2 L^5 k^4 \propto B^{-2} k^4$

If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r})\rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

Consequence: inverse transfer of energy Example: Non-helical MHD

 $I_h = \left\{ \mathrm{d}^3 r \langle h(x) h(x+r) \rangle \sim B^4 L^5 \sim \mathrm{const} \right\}$ $\implies \mathscr{E}_{B}(k \to 0) \sim B^{2}L^{5}k^{4} \propto B^{-2}k^{4}$

Correlation integrals and decaying turbulence

Brandenburg et. al. 2015



Recall that, if $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_L}, \quad \langle \psi \rangle \sim U^{\alpha} L^{\beta} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-p}.$ If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

Aside: fractional ψ , i.e., $\langle \psi \rangle = \sigma \langle \psi \rangle_{max} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$, $\sigma \ll 1$.

Recall that, if $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad \langle \psi \rangle \sim U^{\alpha} L^{\beta} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-p}.$ If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$ Aside: fractional ψ , i.e., $\langle \psi \rangle = \sigma \langle \psi \rangle_{\max} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$, $\sigma \ll 1$.

 $\langle \psi \rangle = \text{const} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$

Correlation integrals and decaying turbulence Recall that, if $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad \langle \psi \rangle \sim U^{\alpha} L^{\beta} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-p}.$ If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$

Aside: fractional ψ , i.e., $\langle \psi \rangle = \sigma \langle \psi \rangle_{\max} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$, $\sigma \ll 1$.

 $\langle \psi \rangle = \text{const} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$ $\frac{\langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle}{I} = \text{const} \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \text{ for } L^3 \ll V \ll V_c.$

Correlation integrals and decaying turbulence Recall that, if $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad \langle \psi \rangle \sim U^{\alpha} L^{\beta} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-p}.$ If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$ Aside: fractional ψ , i.e., $\langle \psi \rangle = \sigma \langle \psi \rangle_{\max} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$, $\sigma \ll 1$. $\langle \psi \rangle = \text{const} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$ $\frac{\langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle}{M} = \text{const} \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \text{ for } L^3 \ll V \ll V_c.$

 $\implies V_c \sim L_0^3 / \sigma_0^2 \sim \text{const}, \quad \sigma^2 \sim L^3 / V_c$

Correlation integrals and decaying turbulence Recall that, if $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{\overline{E}}{\tau_{I}}, \quad \langle \psi \rangle \sim U^{\alpha}L^{\beta} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-p}.$ If, instead, $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim -\frac{E}{\tau_I}, \quad I_{\psi} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r} \langle \psi(\mathbf{x})\psi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{r}) \rangle \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \sim \mathrm{const} \implies E \propto t^{-q}$ Aside: fractional ψ , i.e., $\langle \psi \rangle = \sigma \langle \psi \rangle_{\max} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$, $\sigma \ll 1$. $\langle \psi \rangle = \text{const} \sim \sigma U^{\alpha} L^{\beta}$ $\frac{\langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle}{\Gamma} = \text{const} \sim U^{2\alpha} L^{2\beta+3} \text{ for } L^3 \ll V \ll V_c.$

 $\implies V_c \sim L_0^3 / \sigma_0^2 \sim \text{const}, \quad \sigma^2 \sim L^3 / V_c$



Correlation integrals and turbulent dynamics

Cascades / inertial-range phenomenology Inverse cascades and transfers / infra-red phenomenology Control of decaying turbulence

transfer, are similar transfers observed in the $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$ case?

If conservation of $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ in forced turbulence would necessitate an inverse cascade or



If conservation of $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ in forced turbulence would necessitate an inverse cascade or transfer, are similar transfers observed in the $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$ case?

It is unclear how one can speak of cascades of I_{ψ} — the invariance of I_{ψ} relies on taking a large-volume ($\gg L^3$) limit.



If conservation of $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$ in forced turbulence would necessitate an inverse cascade or transfer, are similar transfers observed in the $\langle \psi \rangle = 0$ case?

It is unclear how one can speak of cascades of I_{ψ} – the invariance of I_{ψ} relies on taking a large-volume ($\gg L^3$) limit.

However, the connection between correlation integrals, large-scale spectra and the scaling of $\langle \Psi_V^2 \rangle$ with volume can be used to explain certain infra-red phenomena.



Example: "thermal equilibration" of forced hydrodynamic turbulence.





Example: "thermal equilibration" of forced hydrodynamic turbulence.



$$\mathscr{E}_{u}(k \to 0) = \frac{I_{u}k^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} + O(k^{4})$$
$$\langle P_{V}^{2} \rangle \equiv \left\langle \left[\int_{V=R^{3}} d^{3}x \, u(x) \right]^{2} \right\rangle \propto R^{3}, \quad L \ll k^{-1} \ll k^{-1}$$



Example: "thermal equilibration" of forced hydrodynamic turbulence.



The momentum distribution becomes "patchwise

$$\mathscr{E}_{u}(k \to 0) = \frac{I_{u}k^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} + O(k^{4})$$
$$\langle P_{V}^{2} \rangle \equiv \left\langle \left[\int_{V=R^{3}} d^{3}x \, u(x) \right]^{2} \right\rangle \propto R^{3}, \quad L \ll k^{-1} \ll k$$
$$\langle P_{V}^{2} \rangle \propto k^{2}$$
stochastic".



Example: "thermal equilibration" of forced hydrodynamic turbulence.



The momentum distribution becomes "patchwise stochastic".

This behaviour can be recovered from a simple passive-advection model: ∂w $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla w = -\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 w + f_w, \quad u = \text{Kraichnan} - \text{Kazantsev ensemble}$

$$\mathscr{E}_{u}(k \to 0) = \frac{I_{u}k^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} + O(k^{4})$$
$$\langle P_{V}^{2} \rangle \equiv \left\langle \left[\int_{V=R^{3}} d^{3}x \, u(x) \right]^{2} \right\rangle \propto R^{3}, \quad L \ll k^{-1} \ll k^$$



Example: "thermal equilibration" of forced hydrodynamic turbulence.



The momentum distribution becomes "patchwise stochastic".

This behaviour can be recovered from a simple passive-advection model: ∂w $+ u \cdot \nabla w = -\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 w + f_w$, u = Kraichnan - Kazantsev ensemble*dt*

$$\implies \mathscr{E}_{w}(k) = \left(1 - e^{-k^{2}/k_{c}^{2}}\right) \frac{\mathscr{E}_{f_{w}}(k) + \beta \mathscr{E}_{w}(k_{f})k^{4}}{k^{2}/k_{c}^{2}},$$

$$\mathscr{E}_{u}(k \to 0) = \frac{I_{u}k^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} + O(k^{4})$$
$$\langle P_{V}^{2} \rangle \equiv \left\langle \left[\int_{V=R^{3}} d^{3}x \, u(x) \right]^{2} \right\rangle \propto R^{3}, \quad L \ll k^{-1} \ll k^$$

$$k_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta k_f^2 t}} \sim \frac{1}{L} \sqrt{\frac{t}{t_{\rm nl}}} \,.$$





Example: "thermal equilibration" of forced hydrodynamic turbulence.



The momentum distribution becomes "patchy

This behaviour can be recovered from a simple passive-advection model: ∂w $+ u \cdot \nabla w = -\nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 w + f_w$, u = Kraichnan - Kazantsev ensemble

$$\mathscr{E}_{u}(k \to 0) = \frac{I_{u}k^{2}}{2\pi^{2}} + O(k^{4})$$
$$\langle P_{V}^{2} \rangle \equiv \left\langle \left[\int_{V=R^{3}} d^{3}x \, u(x) \right]^{2} \right\rangle \propto R^{3}, \quad L \ll k^{-1} \ll k$$
$$\langle P_{V}^{2} \rangle \propto$$
wise stochastic".

$$k_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta k_f^2 t}} \sim \frac{1}{L} \sqrt{\frac{t}{t_{\rm nl}}} \,.$$





Correlation integrals and turbulent dynamics

Cascades / inertial-range phenomenology Inverse cascades and transfers / infra-red phenomenology Control of decaying turbulence

The following intriguing idea is due to Levich 2009.



The following intriguing idea is due to Levich 2009.

correlation integral for $h_K \equiv \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}$ satisfies

$$I_{h_K} \equiv \int d^3 \boldsymbol{r} \langle h_K(\boldsymbol{x}) h_K(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{r}) \rangle = 8\pi^2 \int dk \, \mathscr{C}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(k)^2,$$

Imagine a chaotic velocity field that evolves while maintaining Gaussian statistics. For this field, the



The following intriguing idea is due to Levich 2009.

correlation integral for $h_K \equiv u \cdot \omega$ satisfies

$$I_{h_K} \equiv \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \langle h_K(\mathbf{x}) h_K(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) \rangle = 8\pi^2 \int dk \, \mathscr{E}_{\mathbf{u}}(k)^2,$$

Both these integrals are dominated by the large scales if $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$, and thus

$$\frac{dI_{h_K}}{dt} \sim \operatorname{Re}^{-1} \frac{U}{L} I_{h_K} \Longrightarrow \frac{d \log I_{h_K}}{dt} \to 0 \text{ as } \operatorname{Re}^{-1} \frac{d \log I_{h_K}}{dt} \to 0$$

Imagine a chaotic velocity field that evolves while maintaining Gaussian statistics. For this field, the

• 0.



The following intriguing idea is due to Levich 2009.

correlation integral for $h_K \equiv u \cdot \omega$ satisfies

$$I_{h_K} \equiv \int d^3 \boldsymbol{r} \langle h_K(\boldsymbol{x}) h_K(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{r}) \rangle = 8\pi^2 \int dk \, \mathscr{C}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(k)^2,$$

Both these integrals are dominated by the large scales if $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$, and thus

$$\frac{dI_{h_K}}{dt} \sim \operatorname{Re}^{-1} \frac{U}{L} I_{h_K} \Longrightarrow \frac{d \log I_{h_K}}{dt} \to 0 \text{ as } \operatorname{Re}^{-1} \frac{d \log I_{h_K}}{dt} \to 0$$

This cannot be so $-I_{h_{\kappa}} \sim U^4 L \sim \text{const}$ would require an extremely strong inverse transfer, and it is well known that this does not happen in hydro turbulence.

Imagine a chaotic velocity field that evolves while maintaining Gaussian statistics. For this field, the

$$\frac{dI_{h_K}}{dt} = -16\pi^2 \nu \int dk \, k^2 \mathscr{E}_u(k)^2 \, .$$

 $\rightarrow 0.$





The following intriguing idea is due to Levich 2009.

correlation integral for $h_K \equiv u \cdot \omega$ satisfies

$$I_{h_K} \equiv \int d^3 \boldsymbol{r} \langle h_K(\boldsymbol{x}) h_K(\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{r}) \rangle = 8\pi^2 \int dk \, \mathscr{C}_{\boldsymbol{u}}(k)^2,$$

Both these integrals are dominated by the large scales if $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$, and thus

$$\frac{dI_{h_K}}{dt} \sim \operatorname{Re}^{-1} \frac{U}{L} I_{h_K} \Longrightarrow \frac{d \log I_{h_K}}{dt} \to 0 \text{ as } \operatorname{Re}^{-1} \frac{d \log I_{h_K}}{dt} \to 0$$

This cannot be so $-I_{h_{\kappa}} \sim U^4 L \sim \text{const}$ would require an extremely strong inverse transfer, and it is well known that this does not happen in hydro turbulence.

Conclusion is that, loosely, there must be anomalously large fluctuations in kinetic helicity at small scales in hydro turbulence (cf. Milanese et. al. 2022).

Imagine a chaotic velocity field that evolves while maintaining Gaussian statistics. For this field, the

$$\frac{dI_{h_K}}{dt} = -16\pi^2 \nu \int dk \, k^2 \mathscr{E}_u(k)^2 \, .$$

 $\rightarrow 0.$





• Correlation integrals can be used to impose constraints on dynamics that conserves a directed quantity, when no particular "direction" is dominant.

- directed quantity, when no particular "direction" is dominant.
- conservation of $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$. The decays can support inverse transfers.

• Correlation integrals can be used to impose constraints on dynamics that conserves a

• Turbulent decay laws can be computed using correlation integrals (and the hypothesis of self-similarity), much as they can be computed assuming selective decay subject to

- directed quantity, when no particular "direction" is dominant.
- conservation of $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$. The decays can support inverse transfers.
- its infra-red properties.

• Correlation integrals can be used to impose constraints on dynamics that conserves a

• Turbulent decay laws can be computed using correlation integrals (and the hypothesis of self-similarity), much as they can be computed assuming selective decay subject to

• When turbulence is forced, correlation integrals and their properties can be used to interpret

- directed quantity, when no particular "direction" is dominant.
- conservation of $\langle \psi \rangle > 0$. The decays can support inverse transfers.
- its infra-red properties.
- Levich 2009 presents an correlation-integral-based argument for the development of alignment of *u* and *B*?

• Correlation integrals can be used to impose constraints on dynamics that conserves a

• Turbulent decay laws can be computed using correlation integrals (and the hypothesis of self-similarity), much as they can be computed assuming selective decay subject to

• When turbulence is forced, correlation integrals and their properties can be used to interpret

anomalously strong kinetic-helicity fluctuations at the small scales of hydrodynamic turbulence. Might similar ideas be applicable to cross-helicity? I.e., to scale-dependent