Bistable turbulence in magnetized plasma with mean flow shear

Nicolas Christen and Michael Barnes Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics University of Oxford

With A.A. Schekochihin, M. R. Hardman, D.A. St-Onge, and F. I. Parra



Motivation: numerical observation of bistable turbulence



N. Christen, accepted in JPP; see also recent results in Rath PoP 2022

Low-transport state



$$V_Z \propto \frac{\partial \varphi_Z}{\partial x} =$$
zonal velocity

High-transport state



 $\gamma_Z \propto \frac{\partial V_Z}{\partial x} =$ zonal flow shear

Turbulence suppression via flow shear



Evidence of competition between mean and zonal flow shear



Cf. McMillan JPP 2018, Seiferling PoP 2019

Evidence of competition between mean and zonal flow shear



Region of bistable turbulence



Simple estimate for transition between low- and high-transport states

Assumptions:

- Transition occurs when zonal/mean shearing rates comparable: $\gamma_E \sim \gamma_Z \sim \varphi_Z / \ell_{x,Z}^2$
- Turbulence is isotropic: $\ell_{x,Z} \sim \ell_x \sim \ell_y$
- Zonal/non-zonal turbulence amplitudes are comparable: $\varphi_Z \sim \varphi$
- Correlation time in low-transport state is set by Floquet-averaged growth rate: $\tau \sim \langle \gamma \rangle_t^{-1}$

Flow shear and Floquet oscillations in tokamaks











Flow shear and Floquet oscillations in tokamaks



For $\langle \gamma \rangle_t$ to be relevant nonlinearly, need $\tau \gtrsim T_F$

Simple estimate for transition between low- and high-transport states

Assumptions:

- Transition occurs when zonal/mean shearing rates comparable: $\gamma_E \sim \gamma_Z \sim \varphi_Z / \ell_{x,Z}^2$
- Turbulence is isotropic: $\ell_{x,Z} \sim \ell_x \sim \ell_y$
- Zonal/non-zonal turbulence amplitudes are comparable: $\varphi_Z \sim \varphi$
- Correlation time in low-transport state is set by Floquet-averaged growth rate: $\tau \sim \langle \gamma \rangle_t^{-1}$

Low/high transition is along curve of constant $\langle \gamma \rangle_t / \gamma_E$

Checking assumptions (1)



Checking assumptions (II)



Region of bistability



Consequences: forbidden region in local simulations



See also Weikl PoP 2017 for forbidden region near Dimits threshold

Consequences: relaxation cycles?



Fragility of bistable states near boundary



Impact of mode rational surfaces



And now for something completely different...



Same simulation parameters, but deforming flux surface to have concentric circles

No long-time zonal flow growth in system with mean flow shear



Without mean flow

With mean flow

Consequences for Dimits shift and beyond



Inclusion of γ_E at outset eliminates discontinuous jump in fluxes reported in Weikl PoP 2017

Summary and open questions

- Multiple turbulent steady-states can be obtained for the same plasma parameters in the presence of mean flow shear
- Competition between mean and zonal flow shear key for states we have observed
- What determines the appearance of bistable turbulence?
- Are there experimental signatures that can distinguish between bistable states?
- Do we really care about bistable turbulence?
 Ubiquity? Fragility? Usefulness (or inconvenience) experimentally or numerically?

Checking assumptions (II)



Two types of flow shear: **mean** and zonal



- Plasma free to rotate about symmetry axis: sheared toroidal rotation of flux surfaces (γ_E)
- Evolves on equilibrium space-time scales

Motivation: numerical observation of bistable turbulence and comparison with experiment

JET shot 68448