



# Intrinsic Ambipolarity & Edge Gyrokinetics

Peter J. Catto

*Plasma Science & Fusion Center, MIT*

with Felix Parra, Grisha Kagan & Andrei Simakov

# Overview

- $\delta f$  or local gyrokinetics has proven useful to treat local core turbulence at  $k_{\perp}\rho \sim 1$  on turbulent saturation time scales
- BUT there are global or full  $f$  subtleties and complications
  - Global axisymmetric radial electric field in a tokamak
  - Turbulent calculations in the pedestal and SOL
  - Turbulent calculations on transport time scales
- Topics
  - **Today**: Intrinsic ambipolarity & edge gyrokinetics
  - **Next time**: Transport time scale gyrokinetics

# Terminology

- Full Fokker-Planck (FP) equation:

$$df/dt \equiv \partial f / \partial t + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla f + (Ze/M)(-\nabla\Phi + c^{-1}\vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \cdot \nabla_v f = C$$

- Drift kinetic equation (DKE):  $E=v^2/2 + Ze\Phi/M$  &  $\langle d\mu/dt \rangle_{\varphi}=0$

$$\partial f / \partial t + (v_{\parallel}\vec{n} + \vec{v}_d) \cdot \nabla f + (Ze/M)(\partial\Phi/\partial t)\partial f / \partial E = \bar{C}\{f\}$$

- Gyrokinetic equation (GKE):  $E=v^2/2 + Ze\Phi/M$  &  $\langle d\mu/dt \rangle_{\varphi}=0$

$$\partial f / \partial t + (v_{\parallel}\vec{n} + \langle \vec{v}_d \rangle_{\varphi}) \cdot \nabla_R f + (Ze/M)(\partial\langle\Phi\rangle_{\varphi}/\partial t)\partial f / \partial E = \langle C\{f\} \rangle_{\varphi}$$

- Drift kinetic gyroaverage holds  $\vec{r}$  or  $(r, \theta, \zeta)$  fixed

- Gyrokinetic gyroaverage holds  $\vec{R} = \vec{r} + \Omega^{-1}\vec{v} \times \vec{n}$  fixed

# Typical drift kinetic orderings

- Small parameters:  $1 \gg k_{\perp} \rho \sim \delta \sim \rho/L_{\perp} \sim v/\Omega$ 
  - Assumes  $k_{\perp} L_{\perp} \sim 1 \sim k_{\parallel} L_{\parallel}$  (allows  $L_{\perp}/\rho \gg k_{\perp} L_{\perp} \gg 1$ )
  - Drift kinetics can order  $\Omega^{-1} \partial/\partial t \sim \delta$  but typically  $\Omega^{-1} \partial/\partial t \sim \delta^2$
  - For zonal flow  $e\Phi_k/T \sim \delta$  so  $e\partial\Phi_k/\partial t \sim T\Omega\delta^2$
- Global  $f$  and  $\Phi$ :  $f \approx f_M \equiv \text{Maxwellian}$  &  $e\Phi/T \sim 1$  with  $e\partial\Phi/\partial t \sim T\Omega\delta^2$
- Fluctuations:  $e\Phi_k/T \sim f_k / f_M \sim \delta \ll 1$
- Allows  $\nabla\Phi \sim T/eL_{\perp} \sim k_{\perp} \Phi_k$  and  $\nabla f_k \sim \nabla f_M$
- Drift ordering:  $V_{\text{ExB}} \sim \delta v_i \ll v_i$

# Drift kinetics in tokamak core

- Using canonical angular momentum

$$\psi_* = \psi - (Mc/Ze)R^2 \nabla \zeta \cdot \vec{v} \text{ streamlines derivation of DKE}$$

- Let  $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots$  & gyroaverage at fixed  $\vec{r}$

- Lowest order:  $\Omega \vec{v} \times \vec{n} \cdot \nabla_v f_0 = -\Omega \partial f_0 / \partial \varphi = 0$

- Lowest order Maxwellian:  $\bar{f}_0 = v_{||} \vec{n} \cdot \nabla f_0 = C_0 \{f_0\} = 0$

$$f_0 = f_M = f_M(\psi, E) \text{ with } E = v^2/2 + (Ze/M)\Phi$$

- But  $\psi \approx \psi_*$  suggests using  $f = f_* + h$  with

$$f_* = f_M(\psi_*, E) = f_M(\psi, E) + (\psi_* - \psi) \partial f_M(\psi, E) / \partial \psi + \dots$$

# Axisymmetric B ion drift kinetics

- $\vec{B} = I\nabla\zeta + \nabla\zeta \times \nabla\psi = B\vec{n}$  and electrostatically  
 $df_*/dt = c(\partial\Phi/\partial\zeta)\partial f_M/\partial\psi_* + (Ze/M)(\partial\Phi/\partial t)\partial f_M/\partial E$
- Fokker-Planck equation becomes  
 $dh/dt + (Ze/M)(\partial\Phi/\partial t)\partial f_M/\partial E + c(\partial\Phi/\partial\zeta)\partial f_M/\partial\psi_* = C\{f_* + h\}$
- Lowest order using  $h \ll f_M$  &  $\vec{r}, E, \mu, \varphi$  variables gives  
 $-\Omega\partial h_1/\partial\varphi = C_0\{f_M(\psi, E)\} = 0$  with  $h = h_1 + h_2 + \dots$
- Next order: using  $\partial f_M/\partial\psi \Rightarrow f_M(Mv^2/2T^2)\partial T/\partial\psi$   
 $-\Omega\partial h_2/\partial\varphi + dh_1/dt = C_1\{h_1 - (Mc/Ze)R^2\nabla\zeta \cdot \vec{v}\partial f_M/\partial\psi\}$   
 $+ (Zef_M/T)\partial\Phi/\partial t - c(\partial\Phi/\partial\zeta)\partial f_M/\partial\psi_*$
- Gyroaveraging gives desired  $O(\delta)$  DKE:  
 $\partial\bar{h}_1/\partial t + v_{\parallel}\vec{n} \cdot \nabla\bar{h}_1 = C_1\{\bar{h}_1 - f_M(Iv_{\parallel}Mv^2/2T^2\Omega)\partial T/\partial\psi\}$   
 $+ (Zef_M/T)\partial\Phi/\partial t - c(\partial\Phi/\partial\zeta)\partial f_M/\partial\psi_*$

# Intrinsic ambipolarity

- Use  $I v_{\parallel} \vec{n} \cdot \nabla|_E (v_{\parallel}/\Omega) = \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi$  &  $\bar{f}_1 = \bar{h}_1 - (I v_{\parallel}/\Omega) \partial f_M / \partial \psi$  to recover standard  $O(\delta)$  form in steady axisymmetric state

$$v_{\parallel} \vec{n} \cdot \nabla \bar{f}_1 - C_1 \{ \bar{f}_1 \} = -\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi \partial f_M / \partial \psi = -\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_M$$

- First form more convenient in steady axisymmetric state:

$$v_{\parallel} \vec{n} \cdot \nabla \bar{h}_1 = C_1 \{ \bar{h}_1 - (I v_{\parallel}/\Omega) f_M (M v^2 / 2T - 5/2) \partial \ln T / \partial \psi \}$$

- Only a  $\partial T / \partial \psi$  drive: no  $\partial \Phi / \partial \psi$  appears!
- In axisymmetric systems for  $k_{\perp} L_{\perp} \sim 1$ ,  $n$  &  $T$  evolution does not depend on or in any way determine  $\langle \Phi \rangle_{\theta}$  through  $O(\delta^2)$
- Intrinsically ambipolar to  $O(\delta)$  so far

# Toroidal angular momentum

- Flux surface averaging source free conservation of total toroidal angular momentum in a quasineutral plasma

$$\langle \vec{J} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle_{\theta} = \frac{c}{V'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} V' \langle R^2 \nabla \zeta \cdot \vec{\pi}_i \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle_{\theta} + Mc \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle n R^2 \vec{V} \cdot \nabla \zeta \rangle_{\theta}$$

with  $\vec{\pi}_i = M \int d^3v f (\vec{v} \vec{v} - v^2 \vec{I}/3)$        $R^2 \nabla \zeta \cdot \vec{J} \times \vec{B} = \vec{J} \cdot \nabla \psi$

$$\langle X \rangle_{\theta} \equiv (1/V') \oint d\theta d\zeta X / \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \theta$$

- In the steady state must be consistent with charge conservation & Ampere's law

$$(c/4\pi) \langle \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \times \vec{B} \rangle_{\theta} = 0 = \langle \vec{J} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle_{\theta}$$

- Axisymmetric, steady state radial electric field determined by

$$\langle R^2 \nabla \zeta \cdot \vec{\pi}_i \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle_{\theta} = 0$$



# Intrinsic ambipolarity to $O(\delta^2)$

- Direct evaluation of  $\vec{\pi}_i = M \int d^3v f(\vec{v}\vec{v} - v^2\vec{I}/3)$  using  $f_1 = \bar{h}_1 - (Mc/Ze)R^2 \nabla\zeta \cdot \vec{v} \partial f_M / \partial \psi + O(\delta^2)$  gives  $\nabla\psi \cdot \vec{\pi}_i \cdot \nabla\zeta = 0$  since  $\bar{h}_1$  doesn't matter
- Using  $\tilde{f}$  to  $O(\delta^2)$  can show (notice  $\bar{f}$  doesn't matter)

$$\langle R^2 \nabla\psi \cdot \vec{\pi}_i \cdot \nabla\zeta \rangle_\theta \rightarrow \langle (MI/B) \int d^3v f_1 v_{\parallel} \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla\psi \rangle_\theta + \text{small} \rightarrow 0$$

(this is non-trivial to prove!)
- $\partial\Phi/\partial\psi$  does not enter to  $O(\rho_p \rho / L^2) \sim O(\delta^2)$
- To determine  $\partial\Phi/\partial\psi$  need to evaluate  $\langle R^2 \nabla\psi \cdot \vec{\pi}_i \cdot \nabla\zeta \rangle_\theta$  to  $O(\rho_p \rho v / \Omega L^2)$  neoclassically  $\Rightarrow$  need  $f$  to  $O(\rho_p \rho v / \Omega L^2) \sim O(\delta^3)$

# Gyrokinetic $\Phi(\psi)$

## □ Sources

- Neoclassical + Reynolds stress:  $\nabla\Phi \sim T/eL_{\perp} \sim k_{\perp}\Phi_k$
- Zonal flow generated by turbulence:  $\nabla_{\perp}\Phi_k \sim k_{\perp}T/ek_{\perp}L_{\perp} \sim T/eL_{\perp}$

□ Gyrokinetic quasineutrality presumably gets zonal flow contribution correct, but not the neoclassical since gyrokinetic equation only good through  $O(\rho_p/L_{\perp})$

□ Gyrokinetics gives correct neoclassical relation between poloidal ion flow &  $\partial\Phi/\partial\psi$  since it calculates  $f$  to  $O(\rho_p/L_{\perp})$  [coefficient sensitive to collision operator]

□ “Potential” problem if slowly varying part of  $\Phi(\psi)$  helps to regulate turbulence since it violates intrinsic ambipolarity

# Gyrokinetic implications

- Gyrokinetics is normally only good to  $O(\delta)$  for  $k_{\perp}\rho \sim 1$ 
  - Therefore, it should not determine the axisymmetric, long radial wavelength portion of  $\Phi(\psi)$  - zonal flow is short wavelength so ok
  - If it does determine global  $\Phi$ , then you can't believe it and must make sure your results are insensitive to it!
  
- Global (or full f) gyrokinetics should not determine the axisymmetric, long wavelength portion of  $\Phi(\psi)$  to  $O(\delta^2)$ 
  - Can we check this?
  - How does gyrokinetics get into trouble?

# Gyrokinetic orderings

- Small parameters:  $\delta = \frac{\rho}{L} \sim \frac{\omega_*}{\Omega} \sim \frac{v}{\Omega} \ll 1$
- $f$  and  $\Phi$  have  $k_{\perp}\rho \sim 1$  but  $k_{\parallel}L \sim 1$
- For  $k_{\perp}L \sim 1$ ,  $e\Phi/T \sim 1$  and  $f \approx f_M \equiv$  Maxwellian
- For  $k_{\perp}\rho \sim 1$ ,  $e\Phi_k/T \sim f_k / f_M \sim \delta$
- For general  $k_{\perp}$ :  $\frac{e\phi_k}{T} \sim \frac{f_k}{f_M} \sim \frac{1}{k_{\perp}L}$ 
  - Note  $\nabla\Phi \sim T/eL \sim k_{\perp}\Phi_k$  and  $\nabla f_k \sim \nabla f_M$
  - Drift ordering:  $V_{\text{ExB}} \sim \delta v_i \ll v_i$

# Gyrokinetic details

- Evaluate the GK variables  $G = G_0 + G_1 + G_2 + \dots$  by removing gyrophase dependence order by order using

$$\Omega \partial G_{j+1} / \partial \varphi = dG_j / dt - \langle dG_j / dt \rangle_\varphi$$

- To keep  $\mu$  an adiabatic invariant must retain the gyrophase independent piece that makes  $\langle d\mu / dt \rangle_\varphi = 0$
- The  $\mu$  variable is only obtained to  $O(\delta)$  since it is unclear how to make  $\langle d\mu / dt \rangle_\varphi = 0$  to higher order and the lowest order  $f$  is presumed to be near Maxwellian

# Gyrokinetic variable $\mathbf{R}$

- Define  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $d\mathbf{R}/dt = \langle d\mathbf{R}/dt \rangle_\varphi + \text{small}$   
 where  $d/dt \equiv \partial/\partial t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla - (Ze/M)\nabla\Phi \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} - \Omega \partial/\partial \varphi$ 
  - with  $\langle \dots \rangle_\varphi \equiv$  gyroaverage at fixed  $\mathbf{R}$

- $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{R}_1 + \mathbf{R}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_1 = O(\delta L)$  and  $\mathbf{R}_2 = O(\delta^2 L)$ 
  - To first order  $\dot{\mathbf{R}} \cong \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \dot{\mathbf{R}}_1 \cong \vec{v} - \Omega \partial \vec{\mathbf{R}}_1 / \partial \varphi$

- Imposing  $d\mathbf{R}/dt = \langle d\mathbf{R}/dt \rangle_\varphi$  to first order

$$\dot{\mathbf{R}} \cong \vec{v} - \Omega \partial \vec{\mathbf{R}}_1 / \partial \varphi = \langle \dot{\mathbf{R}} \rangle = \langle \vec{v} \rangle = v_{\parallel} \vec{n}$$

Then  $\vec{\mathbf{R}}_1 = \Omega^{-1} \int d\varphi (\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \langle \dot{\mathbf{r}} \rangle) = \Omega^{-1} \vec{v} \times \vec{n}$

Similarly  $\vec{\mathbf{R}}_2 = \Omega^{-1} \int d\varphi (\dot{\mathbf{r}} + \dot{\mathbf{R}}_1 - \langle \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \dot{\mathbf{R}}_1 \rangle)$

# Gyrokinetic validity

- GKE normally derived using  $\vec{R} = \vec{r} + \Omega^{-1}\vec{v}\times\vec{n}$  for which  $\langle d\vec{R}/dt \rangle_\varphi = \vec{v}_d + u\vec{n}$  and  $d\vec{R}/dt - \langle d\vec{R}/dt \rangle_\varphi \sim \delta v_i \sim \vec{v}_d \sim v_p$

- Therefore

$$df/dt - \langle df/dt \rangle_\varphi = -\Omega \partial \tilde{f} / \partial \varphi + (\dot{\vec{R}} - \langle \dot{\vec{R}} \rangle_\varphi) \cdot \nabla f + \dots$$

gives

$$\tilde{f} \sim \Omega^{-1} \int d\varphi (\dot{\vec{R}} - \langle \dot{\vec{R}} \rangle_\varphi) \cdot \nabla f_M + \dots \sim \delta^2 f_M$$

- GKE normally gives  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) = f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{E}, \mu, t) + O(\delta^2)$  error even though GKs good for arbitrary  $k_\perp \rho$ : **only good to  $O(\delta)$**
- Desire GK variables to  $O(\delta^2)$  at  $k_\perp L \sim 1$  with leading collisional gyrophase dependence [it can be evaluated to  $O(\delta^2)$ ]: **then can evaluate  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) = f(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{E}, \mu, t) + O(\delta^3)$**

# Gyrokinetic equation

- Variables  $G \Rightarrow \vec{R}, E = v^2/2 + Ze\Phi/M, \mu, \varphi$
- Changing variables, Fokker-Planck equation becomes:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{\vec{R}} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{R}} f + \dot{\varphi} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} + \dot{\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu} + \frac{Ze \partial \Phi}{M} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} = C\{f\}$$

- Variables  $G$  constructed so  $dG_j/dt - \langle dG_j/dt \rangle_{\varphi} + \text{small}$ .
- Leading  $\varphi$  dependence from  $-\Omega \partial \tilde{f} / \partial \varphi = C\{f\} - \langle C\{f\} \rangle_{\varphi}$
- Gyroaveraging at fixed  $\vec{R}, E, \mu$  (recall  $\langle d\mu/dt \rangle_{\varphi} = 0$ ) gives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \dot{\vec{R}} \cdot \nabla_{\vec{R}} f + \frac{Ze \partial \langle \Phi \rangle_{\varphi}}{M} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} = \langle C\{f\} \rangle_{\varphi}$$

to  $O(\delta)$  when we ignore  $O(\delta^2)$  from  $f$  & variable change

Here  $\vec{R} = u\vec{n}(\vec{R}) + \vec{v}_d$  with  $u$  parallel velocity &  $\vec{v}_d$  drift velocity



# Alternate gyrokinetic forms

- Numerically often easier to use kinetic energy  $K$  or parallel velocity  $u = [2(K - \mu B(R))]^{1/2}$

- Using kinetic energy  $K = v^2/2 + Ze(\Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle_\varphi)/M + \dots$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u}\vec{n} + \vec{v}_d) \cdot [\nabla_R f - \frac{Ze}{M} \nabla_R (\Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle_\varphi) \frac{\partial f}{\partial K}] = \langle C\{f\} \rangle_\varphi$$

$$\vec{v}_d = \vec{v}_M - (c/B) \nabla_R \langle \Phi \rangle_\varphi \times \vec{n} \quad \langle \Phi \rangle_\varphi = (2\pi)^{-1} \oint d\varphi \Phi(\vec{R} - \vec{R}_1 - \vec{R}_2, t)$$

- Also possible to write in conservative form
- Will use the  $K$  form for discussion of quasineutrality

# Quasineutrality (QN): $Zn_i = n_e$

- Taylor expanding for ions to  $O(\delta)$

$$f_i(\vec{R}, K, \mu, t) \cong f_i(\vec{r} + \Omega^{-1}\vec{v} \times \vec{n}, v^2/2, \mu_0, t) - \frac{Ze}{T_i} (\Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle_\varphi) f_M$$

- For electrons (ITG ordering),  $n_e = n_0 + \frac{en_0}{T_e} (\Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle_\theta)$ 
  - with  $\langle \dots \rangle_\theta \equiv$  flux surface average

- For  $k_\perp \rho \sim 1$  and to  $O(\delta n)$

$$\frac{Z^2 e}{T_i} \int d^3v (\Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle_\varphi) f_M + \frac{en_0}{T_e} (\Phi - \langle \Phi \rangle_\theta) = Z\hat{N}_i - n_0$$

- with  $\hat{N}_i = \int d^3v f_i(\vec{r} + \Omega^{-1}\vec{v} \times \vec{n}, v^2/2, \mu_0, t)$

- For  $k_\perp L \sim 1$  & axisymmetry, need QN independent of  $\langle \Phi \rangle_\theta$  to  $O(\delta^2 n)$  due to intrinsic ambipolarity!

# $\theta$ - pinch solution to $O(\delta^2)$

- Use Krook  $C\{f\} = -\nu(f - f_M)$  and  $\langle \dots \rangle_\varphi$  to  $O(\delta^2 f_M)$

$$f_i = \langle f_M \rangle = f_{M0} \left[ 1 - \frac{Mv_\perp^2}{2p_i} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{cn_i}{B\Omega} \nabla_\perp \Phi \right) + \left( 2 - \frac{Mv_\perp^2}{2T_i} \right) \frac{Mc^2}{2T_i B^2} |\nabla_\perp \Phi|^2 + \dots \right]$$

with

$$f_M = n_i \left( \frac{M}{2\pi T_i} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left( -\frac{M(\vec{v} - \vec{V}_i)^2}{2T_i} \right), \quad f_{M0} = n_i \left( \frac{M}{2\pi T_i} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left( -\frac{MK}{T_i} \right)$$

- To find  $\langle \Phi \rangle_\theta$ , need QN to  $O(\delta^2 n)$  (valid for any  $n_e$ )

$$-\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{Zcn_i}{B\Omega} \nabla_\perp \Phi \right) + \frac{Zn_i Mc^2}{2T_i B^2} |\nabla_\perp \Phi|^2 = Z\hat{N}_i - n_e$$

with

$$\hat{N}_i = \int d^3v \left( 1 + \frac{v_\parallel}{\Omega} \vec{n} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{n} \right) f_i(\vec{r}, v^2/2, \mu_0) + (\vec{I} - \vec{n}\vec{n}) : \frac{\nabla \nabla p_i}{2M\Omega^2}$$

- Yellow  $O(\delta^2)$  terms in  $f_i$  result in exact cancellation:  $0 = 0$

# $\theta$ - pinch and tokamak potential

- Any global axisymmetric, long wavelength  $\langle \Phi \rangle_\theta$  should satisfy QN to  $O(\delta^2)$
- Typically  $\delta^2 f_M$  terms MISSING in QN  $\Rightarrow$  giving a non-physical  $\langle \Phi \rangle_\theta$
- Even with full  $\delta^2 f_M$  terms,  $\langle \Phi \rangle_\theta$  must be undetermined: any initial guess works!
- Only need  $f_i$  to  $O(\delta^2 f_M)$  if use  $\langle R^2 \nabla \psi \cdot \vec{\pi}_i \cdot \nabla \zeta \rangle_\theta = 0$

$$Ze \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{n_i} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial r} = rB \int dr \frac{3}{rB} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{5}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln B - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln \left( \frac{p_i}{r} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r} \right) \right] \sim \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial r}$$

- Same in tokamaks:  $\langle R^2 \nabla \psi \cdot \vec{\pi}_i \cdot \nabla \zeta \rangle_\theta = 0$  gives  $\langle \Phi \rangle_\theta$  at  $O(\delta^3 p)$  for  $f_i$  to  $O(\delta^2 f_M)$

# Bottom line!

Gyrokinetic quasineutrality works for  $k_{\perp}\rho \sim 1$

BUT it cannot determine the self-consistent axisymmetric electric field in long wavelength limit [see Felix Parra for more details]

Need an alternative equation for  $k_{\perp}L \sim 1$ :  
probably a moment approach similar to drift kinetics (next time)

# Edge gyrokinetics

- Simplification: electrostatic gyrokinetics  
 $\vec{B}$  slowly varying and time independent

- To handle  $\rho_p \sim L_\perp$  conveniently replace radial gyrokinetic variable by canonical angular momentum

$$\psi_* = \psi - (Mc/Ze)R^2\vec{v} \cdot \nabla\zeta = \psi + \Omega^{-1}\vec{v} \times \vec{n} \cdot \nabla\psi - (Iv_{\parallel}/\Omega)$$

- Variables  $\vec{R} \rightarrow \psi_*, \vartheta_*, \zeta_*$  and  $\mu_*$  defined with  $d\vec{R}/dt$ ,  $dE_*/dt$  and  $d\mu_*/dt$  independent of gyrophase  $\varphi$

$\Rightarrow$  fast gyromotion absorbed in GK variables

- $d/dt \equiv$  Vlasov operator

- Gyrophase dependence from  $-\Omega\partial\tilde{f}/\partial\varphi = C\{f\} - \langle C\{f\} \rangle_\varphi$

- Need to find  $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) = f(\mathbf{R}, E, \mu, t) + \tilde{f}$  &  $\tilde{f} \sim O(f_M \delta v / \Omega)$

# Exact isothermal ion solution

- An exact solution to the ion kinetic equation exists in the isothermal limit when ion-electron collisions are neglected
- Function of total energy & canonical angular momentum to make Vlasov operator vanish

$$f_0 = f_0(\psi_*, E)$$

- Must be Maxwellian to make ion-ion collision operator vanish

$$f_0 = f_M(\psi_*, E)$$

- Therefore ( $T, \eta, \omega$  constants)

$$f_M(\psi_*, E) = \eta (M/2\pi T)^{3/2} \exp(-ME/T - e\omega\psi_*/cT)$$

$$f_M(\psi_*, E) = n (M/2\pi T)^{3/2} \exp[-M(\vec{v} - \omega R^2 \nabla \zeta)^2 / 2T]$$

# Axisymmetric steady state edge GKs

- Conveniently retains finite poloidal gyroradius effects
- Preserves  $\psi_*$  and total energy = E as constants of the motion in steady state axisymmetric limit to exactly recover isothermal limit
- Axisymmetric steady state:  $\dot{\vartheta}_* \partial f_0 / \partial \vartheta_* = \langle C\{f_0\} \rangle_\varphi$
- In axisymmetric steady state can prove the ion temperature must vary slowly compared to a poloidal ion gyroradius
  - $\rho_p \rightarrow 0$  :  $\langle \int d^3v \ln f_0 \langle C\{f_0\} \rangle_\varphi \rangle_\vartheta = 0$  gives  $f_0 = f_M$  in core
  - $\rho_p \rightarrow L_\perp$  :  $\int_{ped} d^3r \int d^3v \ln f_0 \langle C\{f_0\} \rangle_\varphi = 0$  with  $\partial f_0 / \partial \vartheta_* = 0$  gives rigidly rotating Maxwellian  $f_0 = f_0(\psi_*, E) = f_M$  so  $\rho_p \nabla \ln T \ll 1$  when  $\rho_p \nabla \ln n \sim 1$  in **banana** regime



# Pedestal pressure balance

- Assume pedestal flow subsonic (as in C-Mod):  $|\vec{V}_i| \ll v_i$
- Since banana T variation slow:  $\vec{V}_i \approx \omega_i R^2 \nabla \zeta$  where

$$\omega_i = -c \left( \frac{d\Phi}{d\psi} + \frac{1}{en} \frac{dp_i}{d\psi} \right) \approx 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{c T_i R}{v_i en} \frac{dn}{d\psi} \sim \frac{\rho_p}{L_\perp} \sim 1$$

- Ions electrostatically confined:  $\frac{d\Phi}{d\psi} \approx - \frac{1}{en} \frac{dp_i}{d\psi} \approx - \frac{T_i}{en} \frac{dn}{d\psi}$

- Electrons magnetically confined:  $\vec{V}_e = \omega_e R^2 \nabla \zeta + u_e(\psi) \vec{B}$

$$\omega_e = -c \left( \frac{d\Phi}{d\psi} - \frac{1}{en} \frac{dp_e}{d\psi} \right) \approx \frac{c}{en} \frac{d(p_e + p_i)}{d\psi} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\omega_e R}{v_i} \sim \frac{\rho_p}{L_\perp} \sim 1$$

- Not clear what establishes a  $\rho_p \sim L$  pedestal
- Another reason sonic ordering inappropriate!

# Edge zonal flow GKE

- Subsonic zonal flow gyrokinetic equation (axisymmetric):

Let  $f_0 = f_M(\psi_*, E; T(\psi)) + h(\psi_*, \vartheta_*, \zeta_*, E, \mu_*, t)$

then

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \dot{\vartheta}_* \frac{\partial h}{\partial \vartheta_*} - \left\langle C_{ii}^{\ell} \left\{ g - \frac{I v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{M v^2}{2 T^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \psi} f_M \right\} \right\rangle_{\varphi} = - \frac{e}{T} \frac{\partial \Phi_*}{\partial t} f_M J_0 \left( \frac{k_{\perp} v_{\perp}}{\Omega} \right) e^{iQ}$$

with  $\Phi(\psi, t) = \Phi_0(t) \exp[iS(\psi)]$  &  $\Phi_*(\psi_*, t) = \Phi_0(t) \exp[iS(\psi_*)]$

Taylor expanding  $S$  leads to  $Q = S' I v_{\parallel} / \Omega$

Same as Hinton & Rosenbluth

Can retain finite orbit effects in  $\dot{\vartheta}_*$  and  $\Phi_*$  [see Kagan for more details]

# Full f edge gyrokinetic equation

- Full electrostatic full f gyrokinetic equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial \langle \Phi \rangle_{\varphi}}{\partial \zeta_*} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_*} + \dot{\vartheta}_* \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vartheta_*} + \dot{\zeta}_* \frac{\partial f}{\partial \zeta_*} + \frac{e}{M} \frac{\partial \langle \Phi \rangle_{\varphi}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} = \langle C\{f\} \rangle_{\varphi}$$

with gyroaverage holding  $\psi_*$  fixed

$$\dot{\vartheta}_* = (v_{\parallel}^* \vec{n}_* + \vec{v}_d) \cdot (\nabla \vartheta)_* + (I v_{\parallel} / \Omega) \partial (v_{\parallel} \vec{n} \cdot \nabla \vartheta) / \partial \psi$$

$$\dot{\zeta}_* = (v_{\parallel}^* \vec{n}_* + \vec{v}_d) \cdot (\nabla \zeta)_* + (I v_{\parallel} / \Omega) \partial (v_{\parallel} \vec{n} \cdot \nabla \zeta) / \partial \psi$$

$$\vec{v}_d = \vec{v}_m + (c/B) \vec{n} \times \langle \nabla \Phi \rangle_{\varphi}$$

Can use different energy variable or parallel velocity

# Edge gyrokinetic subtleties

- In a subsonic  $\rho_p \sim L_\perp$  with global  $\Phi_0(\psi)$  satisfying
 
$$e\partial\Phi/\partial\psi = -T_i\partial\ell n n/\partial\psi + O(\partial\ell n T_i/\partial\psi) \approx -T_i\partial\ell n n/\partial\psi$$
  - Zonal flow  $\Phi_1(\psi,t)$  can have  $k_\perp\rho_p > 1 \gg k_\perp\rho$
  - Poloidal ExB drift can be significant:  $\dot{\vartheta}_* \approx (v_\parallel + cI\Phi'_0/B)/qR$   
since  $cI\Phi'_0/B \approx -(cIT/eBn)\partial n/\partial\psi \sim v_i\rho_p/L_\perp \sim v_i$
  - Poloidal ExB and orbit squeezing due to  $\Phi_0''$  alter zonal flow!
- Poloidal ExB and orbit squeezing effects on neoclassical
  - Use  $f = f_* + h$  with  $f_* = f_M(\psi_*, E)$  and expand  $T_i$  about  $\psi$ 

$$\frac{(v_\parallel + cI\Phi'_0/B)}{qR} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_1}{\partial \theta} = C_1 \left\{ \bar{h}_1 - f_M \frac{IMv^2}{2T\Omega} \left( v_\parallel + \frac{cI\Phi'_0}{B} \right) \frac{\partial \ell n T}{\partial \psi} \right\}$$
  - Transit average of  $C_1$  involves  $cI\Phi'_0/B \approx -(cIT/eBn)\partial n/\partial\psi$  altering ion flow and heat flux, but not altering ion = electron particle xport

# Discussion

- Gyrokinetics should be made to satisfy intrinsic ambipolarity
  - Can only turbulently evolve  $n$  &  $T$ ; GKs can't evolve the full  $\Phi$
- Edge gyrokinetics conveniently formulated using canonical angular momentum as radial variable
  - In the banana regime radial ion temperature variation must be slow compared to the poloidal ion gyroradius
  - Subsonic pedestal: ions electrostatic & electrons magnetic
  - Zonal flow in pedestal different than in core
  - Also works on axis and in internal transport barrier
- Next time: Hybrid gyrokinetic-fluid description
  - Density, temperatures, potential, ion flow, current evolved by conservation equations
  - Gyrokinetic  $f$  only used for closure and (almost) anyone will do!