The Bicoloríst's Tale

Master pands of Sweyns Ey



Canterbury Tales of Bot QFT5, Oxenford 13th July 2017 My Collaborators: Seyong Kim, Jon-Ivar Skullerud, Alessandro Amato, Tamer Boz, Seamus Cotter, Pietro Giudice, Phil Kenny, Peter Sitch

- Why two colors?
 Bulk thermodynamics for µ,T≠0: number/energy densities, pressure, trace anomaly, quark number susceptibility
 Order parameters: superfluidity & deconfinement
 lessons from the attoworld
- Phase diagram
- Spectroscopy, wavefunctions, topology



Elbaite 'Bicolor Tourmaline Trio' Na(Li,Al)₃Al₆(BO₃)₃Si₆O₁₈(OH)₄ 60.73, 75.24 and 90.03 carats Mozambique

Why Two Colors? (PDG only recognises 3)

- Chance to explore systematics of lattice simulations at $\mu\!\neq\!0$
 - Good news: cutoff fixed as μ varies, no quantum corrections to $n_{q=-}\partial f/\partial \mu$
 - Bad news: UV/IR classical artifacts are complicated enough
 - Chance to explore "deconfinement" in a new physical régime
 - No sign problem stupid!

Why no Sign Problem for QC₂D?

Let K be complex conjugation, and T unitary

If \exists KT s.t. [KT,M]=0, then detM is real

ie. $M\psi = \lambda \psi \Rightarrow M\phi = M(KT\psi) = KT\lambda\psi = \lambda^*\phi$ so λ,λ^* both in spectrum of M

But is it positive? Consider real eigenvalues $\lambda = \lambda^*$?

2 cases labelled by **Dyson index**:

$$\beta = 4$$
: (KT)² = -I: 〈ψ|φ〉=〈ψ|KTψ〉=〈Tψ|TKTψ〉
=〈(KT)²ψ|KTψ〉=-〈ψ|φ〉=0

 \Rightarrow degenerate real eigenvalues \Rightarrow detM > 0

$$\beta = I: (KT)^2 = +I: \langle \psi | \phi \rangle \neq 0 \Rightarrow non-degenerate real eigenvalues \Rightarrow Sign Problem!$$

for N odd

or QC_2D	Continuum/Wilson fermions	Staggered fermions (a>0)	
Fundamental (2)	Τ=Cγ₅⊗τ₂	T=1₄⊗τ₂	
(KT)²	+1	-1	
χSB	SU(2N)→Sp(2N)	U(2N)→O(2N)	
Adjoint (3)	T=Cγ ₅ ⊗1 ₂	T=1₄⊗1₂	
(KT) ²	-1	+1	
χSB	SU(2N)→O(2N)	U(2N)→Sp(2N)	

Staggered fermions away from the weak-coupling continuum limit describe a *different* universality class See also: 6 of SU(4) 7 of G₂ QCD with µ_{isospin}≠0

Note that for (KT)²=+1 isolated real eigenvalues give a potential ergodicity problem, since only way to change sgn(detM) is to flow through origin

What goes wrong with the usual positive HMC measure?

 $\det M^{\dagger}M \begin{cases} M & \operatorname{describes} & \operatorname{quarks} q \in 3 \\ M^{\dagger} & \operatorname{describes} \operatorname{conjugate} \operatorname{quarks} q^{c} \in \overline{3} \end{cases}$

In general $\exists qq^c$ gauge singlet bound states with B > 0In QCD some qq^c states degenerate with the pion \Rightarrow unphysical onset of "nuclear matter" at $\mu_o \simeq \frac{1}{2}m_{\pi}$.

Goldstone baryons: bug for QCD, feature for $QC_2D...$

Calculations with the true complex measure det²*M* nullify effects of qq^c states for the vacuum with T = 0, $\frac{1}{2}m_{\pi} < \mu \lesssim \frac{1}{3}m_N$ by cancellations among configurations with different signs/phases

The *Silver Blaze* Problem...



This has been numerically verified, eg. in TSMB simulations of Two Color QCD with N = 1 adjoint staggered quarks. ie. $\beta = 1$

SJH, Montvay, Scorzato, Skullerud, EurPJ C22 (2001) 451

The fake transition to a superfluid phase, forbidden by the Pauli Principle, at $\mu_o a \simeq 0.35$ disappears once configurations with detM < 0 are included with the correct weight.

QC_2D - the large N_c^{-1} limit

QCD with gauge group SU(2) and an even N_f of fundamental quarks has a real positive functional measure even once $\mu \neq 0$. It is the simplest system of dense matter with long-ranged interactions amenable to LGT simulation.

Hadron multiplets contain both $q\overline{q}$ mesons and qq, \overline{qq} (anti-)baryons. For $m_{\pi} \ll m_{\rho}$ the μ -dependence can be studied using chiral effective theory.

Key result: for µ≥µ₀= ½mπ a baryon charge density nq>0 develops, along with a gauge-invariant scalar isoscalar superfluid condensate <qq>≠0.
For µ≥µ₀ the system is a BEC consisting of dilute weakly-interacting 0⁺ qq diquarks.

Quantitatively, for $\mu \gtrsim \mu_o \ \chi \text{PT}$ predicts

$$\frac{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle}{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_0} = \left(\frac{\mu_o}{\mu}\right)^2; \quad n_q = 8N_f f_\pi^2 \mu \left(1 - \frac{\mu_o^4}{\mu^4}\right); \quad \frac{\langle qq\rangle}{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_0} = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\mu_o}{\mu}\right)^4}$$

[Kogut, Stephanov, Toublan, Verbaarschot & Zhitnitsky, Nucl.Phys.B582(2000)477] confirmed by QC₂D simulations with staggered fermions



[SJH, I. Montvay, S.E. Morrison, M. Oevers, L. Scorzato J.I. Skullerud, Eur.Phys.J.C17(2000)285, *ibid* C22(2001)451]

See also Braguta et al PRD94 (2016)205147

Thermodynamics at T = 0 from $\chi \mathbf{PT}$

quark number density
$$n_{\chi PT} = 8N_f f_\pi^2 \mu \left(1 - \frac{\mu_o^4}{\mu^4}\right)$$
 [KSTVZ]
pressure $p_{\chi PT} = -\frac{\Omega}{V} = \int_{\mu_o}^{\mu} n_q d\mu = 4N_f f_\pi^2 \left(\mu^2 + \frac{\mu_o^4}{\mu^2} - 2\mu_o^2\right)$
energy density $\varepsilon_{\chi PT} = -p + \mu n_q = 4N_f f_\pi^2 \left(\mu^2 - 3\frac{\mu_o^4}{\mu^2} + 2\mu_o^2\right)$

conformal anomaly

$$(T_{\mu\mu})_{\chi PT} = \varepsilon - 3p = 8N_f f_\pi^2 \left(-\mu^2 - 3\frac{\mu_o^4}{\mu^2} + 4\mu_o^2\right)$$
$$\mathsf{NB} \ (T_{\mu\mu})_{\chi PT} < 0 \text{ for } \mu > \sqrt{3\mu_o}$$

speed of sound
$$v_{\chi PT} = \sqrt{\frac{\partial p}{\partial \varepsilon}} = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{\mu_o^4}{\mu^4}}{1 + 3\frac{\mu_o^4}{\mu^4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$



By equating free energies, we naively predict a first order deconfining transition from BEC to quark matter; eg. for $f_{\pi}^2 = N_c/6\pi^2$, $\mu_d \approx 2.3\mu_o$. This is to be contrasted with another paradigm for cold dense matter, namely a degenerate system of weakly interacting (deconfined) quarks populating a Fermi sphere up to some maximum momentum $k_F \approx E_F = \mu$

$$\Rightarrow n_{SB} = \frac{N_f N_c}{3\pi^2} \mu^3; \quad \varepsilon_{SB} = 3p_{SB} = \frac{N_f N_c}{4\pi^2} \mu^4; \\ \delta_{SB} = 0; \quad v_{SB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$$

Superfluidity arises from condensation of diquark Cooper pairs from within a layer of thickness Δ centred on the Fermi surface:

$$\Rightarrow \langle qq \rangle \propto \Delta \mu^2$$

<u>Simulation Details</u> ($N_f = 2$ Wilson flavors)

SJH, S. Kim & J.I Skullerud, EPJC48 (2006) 193; PRD81 (2010) 091502(R)

S. Cotter, P. Giudice, SJH & J.I Skullerud, PRD87 034507 (2013)

Boz, Cotter, Fister, Mehta & Skullerud, EPJA49 (2013) 87

Machines range from u/g lab PCs to IBM BlueGene

		<i>a</i> (fm)	$m_{\pi}a$	m_{π}/m_{o}	T(MeV)
coarse	8 ³ x16	0.229(3)	0.78(1)	0.804(10)	55(I)
medium	12 ³ x24	0.178(6)	0.645(8)	0.805(9)	47(2)
fine (new)	16 ³ x32	0.13	0.45	0.81	49

also have μ -scans on 12³x16, 16³x20,...,8 \Rightarrow T = 47,70,94,141 MeV 16³x32,...,12 \Rightarrow T = 49,79,99,131 MeV

To counter IR fluctuations and maintain HMC ergodocity, we introduce a diquark source term $j\kappa(\psi_2^{tr}C\gamma_5\tau_2\psi_1 - \bar{\psi}_1C\gamma_5\tau_2\bar{\psi}_2^{tr})$

Have results for *ja*=0.04 everywhere

to enable $j \rightarrow 0$ have ja=0.02, 0.03 at selected points

<u>Computer Effort</u> (sans Sign Problem!)



The number of congrad iterations required for convergence during HMC guidance rises with $\mu \Leftrightarrow$ accumulation of small eigenvalues of M?

Equation of State on Fine Lattice (12³x24, *ja*=0.04)



Identify:

onset $\mu_o \approx 360 \text{MeV}$ crossover to "quarkyonic phase" $\mu_Q \approx 530 \text{MeV}$ $n_q \approx 4 - 5 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ "deconfinement" $\mu_d \approx 850 \text{MeV}$ $n_q \approx 16 - 32 \text{ fm}^{-3}$

<u>Artifacts</u>



2.5

N_τ=24 N_τ=12

 $N_{\tau}=8$

0.4

\$ ₫

₫ 🕸

normalised by

free lattice quarks

Φ

→0

normalised by free-

continuum quarks

0.8

j≠0 promotes diquark pairing significant correction for interacting quarks

(c) UV artifacts are present at larger μ free *lattice* quark correction more reliable here

(b) the peak above onset at low T is very sensitive to IR artifacts (non-sphericity of Fermi surface) $T << \Delta k = 2\pi/L_s$

0.6

 μa

significant correction for free *lattice* quarks

Pressure for $j \rightarrow 0$ on $12^3 \times 24$





But: no longer any firm evidence for a BEC "peak" just above onset

Conformal Anomaly



 $T_{\mu\mu} = \varepsilon - 3p$

$$(T_{\mu\mu})_g = -a \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial a} \Big|_{LCP} \times \frac{3\beta}{N_c} \text{Tr} \langle \Box_t + \Box_s \rangle;$$

$$(T_{\mu\mu})_q = -a \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial a} \Big|_{LCP} \times \kappa^{-1} (4N_f N_c - \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle)$$

Quark and gluon contributions: almost cancel for $\mu < \mu_Q$: conformal? differ for $\mu > \mu_Q$

$$T_{\mu\mu} < 0$$
 for $\mu pprox \mu_Q$

 $(T_{\mu\mu})_q$ changes sharply at $\mu_d \approx 850 \text{MeV}$ $\Rightarrow \varepsilon < 3p$ in limit $\mu \rightarrow \infty$

consistent with self-binding?

Calculation of Energy Density

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial T^{-1}} \Big|_{V} = -\frac{\xi}{N_{s}^{3} N_{\tau} a_{s}^{3} a_{\tau}} \left\langle \frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi} \Big|_{a_{s}} \right\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad \xi \equiv \frac{a_{s}}{a_{\tau}} \quad \frac{\text{physical}}{\text{anisotropy}}$$

anisotropic action

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{\beta}{N_c} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma_g} \Box_s + \gamma_g \Box_\tau \right] + \bar{\psi} \left[1 + \gamma_q \kappa D_0[\mu] + \kappa \sum_i D_i \right] \psi$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\varepsilon_g}{T^4} = \frac{3N_\tau^4}{\xi^2 N_c} \left[\langle \Box_s \rangle \left(\gamma_g^{-1} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \xi} + \beta \frac{\partial \gamma_g^{-1}}{\partial \xi} \right) + \langle \Box_\tau \rangle \left(\gamma_g \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \xi} + \beta \frac{\partial \gamma_g}{\partial \xi} \right) \right] \\\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\varepsilon_q}{T^4} = -\frac{N_\tau^4}{\xi^2} \left[\sum_i \langle \bar{\psi} D_i \psi \rangle \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \xi} + \langle \bar{\psi} D_0 \psi \rangle \left(\gamma_q \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \xi} + \kappa \frac{\partial \gamma_q}{\partial \xi} \right) \right]$$

Karsch
coefficients $\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \xi}$; $\frac{\partial \gamma_g}{\partial \xi}$; $\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \xi}$; $\frac{\partial \gamma_q}{\partial \xi}$

estimated at $\xi=1, \mu=T=0$ by simulating with $\gamma_g=1\pm\delta\gamma_g, \gamma_q=1\pm\delta\gamma_q$ and assuming linear response

 ξ_g from "sideways potential", ξ_q from pion dispersion

Levkova, Manke & Mawhinney, PRD73 (2006) 074504; R. Morrin (TCD thesis)

Energy densities



 ϵ_q/μ^4 now negative for all μ no more peak! again, consistent with self-binding. (indeed ϵ only barely positive for smaller μ)

Results very sensitive to values of Karsch coefficients (particularly $\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \xi}$; $\frac{\partial \gamma_q}{\partial \xi}$) \Rightarrow systematic error O(100%)? $j \rightarrow 0$ limit is key!

BUT qualitatively similar to bare \mathcal{E} found for $N_f = 4$ Note $a^{N_f=4} \approx \frac{1}{3} a^{N_f=2}$ SJH, P. Kenny and J.I. Skullerud, EPJA 47 (2011) 60

And $N_f = 4$? SJH, P. Kenny, S. Kim & J.I. Skullerud, EPJA47 (2011) 60

2.5

1.5

0.5

0.2





Quark Number susceptibility $\chi_q(\mu)$ does not show same T-dependence as the Polyakov loop L



The increase in χ_q is **not** associated with "deconfinement"

So χ_q is not a proxy for *L* when $\mu/T >> 1$

Qualitatively different from: (a) the thermal QCD phase transition Aoki et al. PLB643 (2006), Borsanyi et al. JHEP 1009 (2010) 073 Bazavov et al. PRD80 (2009) 014504 (b) strong coupling with heavy quarks Fromm, Langelage, Lottini, Neuman, Philipsen PRL110 (2013) 12200 (c) analytic/numerical studies on small, cold volumes (the "attoworld") SJH, J. Myers, T.J. Hollowood, JHEP 1007 (2010) 086, 1012 (2010) 057



Insight to all orders on $(S^1)^4$ from the lattice



 $3^3 \times 64$, $\beta = 24.0$, $\kappa = 0.124$, j = 0

Qualitatively consistent, suggests deconfinement associated with non-vanishing density of states at Fermi energy

BUT shell degeneracies not those of single particle states So in the attoworld, deconfinement and a rise in χ_q are correlated

Conjecture: at low T deconfinement requires massless excitations at the Fermi surface, so is inhibited by a superfluid gap Δ >0

And chiral symmetry?....



interrogate configurations using "naive" fermions with r = 0, ja = 0.04and $\kappa = 8.0, 16.0, 40.0$

Chiral symmetry restored for $\mu a > 0.4$?

Smulations on $16^3 x N_T = 4,...,20$ sketch the picture at higher T, intermediate μ

Boz, Cotter, Fister, Mehta & Skullerud, EPJA49(2013)87



 T_s is strikingly μ -independent



Identify: superfluid →normal transition via point of inflection of <qq(T)>

> deconfining crossover via linear regime of <L(T)>

<u>Crude map of the T-µ plane...</u>



Preliminary results from fine lattice $16^3 \times 32 a = 0.13 \text{ fm}$



No sign of deconfining transition at $\mu \approx 850 MeV$ lattice artifact? " μ_d " from medium lattice



T-dependence of Polyakov line consistent with previous, showing deconfinement for Ta>0.05, ie T≥80MeV

No sign of deconfinement for $L_t=32$, ie. $T \approx 50 MeV$





Clear deconfinement at T=86MeV

Mesons on $8^3 \times 16$ sjh, p. Sitch, J.I. Skullerud PLB662 405 (2008)



Meson spectrum roughly constant up to onset. Then $m_{\pi} \approx 2\mu$ in accordance with χ PT, while m_{ρ} decreases once $n_q > 0$, in accordance with effective spin-1 action [Lenaghan, Sannino & Splittorff PRD65:054002(2002)] Cf. Hiroshima group [Muroya, Nakamura & Nonaka PLB551(2003)305]



Diquark spectrum modelled by $m_{\pi,\rho} \pm 2\mu$ up to onset, while post-onset:

- Splitting of "Higgs/Goldstone" degeneracy in $I = 00^+$ channel
- Meson/Baryon degeneracy in $I = 0 0^+$ and $I = 1 1^+$ channels

Hadron Wavefunctions



examine both meson and diquark channels using Coulomb gauge-fixing

no Friedel oscillations indicating a sharp Fermi surface ⇔ ∆>0?



Amato, Giudice & SJH, EPJA(2015)51, 39





Scale hierarchy in superfluid phase $\sigma(0^+) \sim \sigma(1^-) < \sigma(0^-) < \sigma(1^+)$

Cf. Mass hierarchy $m(0^+) < m(1^+) \ll m(1^-) < m(0^-)$

hadron sizes decrease as density rises

Who knew?

Topological Susceptibility

We have investigated instanton distributions and sizes using cooling



Topological susceptibility shows no structure for N_f=2 (maybe lattice too coarse?) but appears enhanced in guarkyonic region for N_f=4 dimensionless plot $\chi^{0.25}/\sigma^{0.5}$ vs. $\mu/\sigma^{0.5}$

Cf. suppression in superfluid phase for $N_f=8$ B.Alles, M. D'Elia & M.P. Lombardo, NPB752(2006)124



For $\mu_0 < \mu < \mu_d$ the mean instanton

size ρ_I decreases



One-loop Debye screening: Schäfer & Shuryak RMP 70(1998)323

$$n_I(\mu) \propto \exp\left[-N_f \rho_I^2 \mu^2\right]$$

 $\propto \exp\left[-\frac{\mathrm{const}}{\mu^2}\right]$



QC2D offers an accessible theoretical laboratory for dense baryonic matter

Deconfinement is delayed by presence of superfluid gap and is plausibly absent as $T \rightarrow 0$

Not discussed today:

quarkonia, static quark potential, quark and gluon propagators