## Daniel Told et al.

IPP Garching/Greifswald

# What sets the residual electron heat transport in an edge transport barrier?

Told et al., PoP 2008 Jenko et al., PoP 2009 Told & Jenko, PoP 2010

Workshop on Gyrokinetics, Cambridge, 27 July 2010

## Background

#### Physics of H-mode barriers

- Strong ExB shear flows thought to suppress long-wavelength turbulence
- Ion heat transport close to neoclassical, but other transport channels remain anomalous
- What sets the residual electron heat transport?

#### Some candidates for setting the residual electron heat transport

- Paleoclassical transport (theoretical foundations are disputed)
- Residual long-wavelength turbulence (not ITG)
- High-wavenumber turbulence (e.g., ETG)

This possibility is investigated by means of GENE simulations...



safety factor

## Metric generated via field-line tracing method

#### Field-line tracing method

Implemented in TRACER/GIST<sup>a</sup>
 Yields field-aligned coordinates

<sup>a</sup>P. Xanthopoulos et al. (2006, 2009)





## Linear GENE simulations for AUG edge



## ETG turbulence as candidate for edge heat flux

## Common scenario for ETB:

- Strong ExB flows suppress low-k turbulence
- Residual heat flux influences pedestal height
- →Examine ETG transport in gyrokinetic simulations

#### Nonlinear GENE runs:

- Scan over R/L<sub>Te</sub> for  $\rho$ =0.98
- Realistic edge geometry
- Grid 96x96x32x24x8
- Diffusivities comparable to edge transport modeling
- Nominal value: Q<sub>e</sub>≈8MW≈P<sub>input</sub>



## Further edge ETG characteristics

- Linear threshold  $\eta_e = 1.2$  confirmed nonlinearly
- AUG H-mode edge should be unstable to ETG turbulence

 Linear finite k<sub>x</sub> peaking does not carry over to nonlinear regime



So far, so good. But: Three questions Are you able to treat the complicated edge geometry correctly?

## Near-separatrix geometry





## Edge: Safety factor and shear diverge



## Large shear requires increased radial resolution

Sheared slab: Lo-res can lead to artificial ballooning (Scott 2001)



 $-\pi$ 

0

 $\pi$ 

S

(dashed),  $k_x \varrho_s = \pm 0.942$  (shorter dashes), and  $k_x \varrho_s = \pm 1.885$  (dotted) for a nonlinear simulation with  $\epsilon_x = 10$ . The solid line shows the rescaled overall heat transport which exhibits unphysical ballooning.

## Shifted metric approach (Scott 2001)



FIG. 13. (Color online) With straight metric, parallel derivatives follow the sheared magnetic field automatically when using the same  $k_x$  mode, and a large shift has to be applied at the ends of the *z* domain in order to connect to beyond the flux tube end.

FIG. 14. (Color online) With shifted metric, a small  $k_x$  shift has to be applied from each parallel position to the next in order to follow the sheared

applied from each parallel position to the next in order to follow the sheared magnetic field and periodic boundary conditions suffice to connect to the next flux tube. In straight metric, the mode drawn in the picture would correspond to  $k_x=0$  all along the flux tube.

## Shifted metric in action



 $\boldsymbol{z}$ 

## Comparison shifted metric/standard metric

Differences in treatment:

- Shifted metric violates periodic boundaries
- Use Dirichlet with damping zone



Results of comparison:

- Average heat flux (including damping zones) ~20% lower
- Heat flux spectrum very similar, peak at  $k_{\perp} \rho_s \approx 15$ • Parallel localization of heat flux
- Parallel localization of heat flux
   appears in *both* geometry descriptions



Z

Do you obtain strong ETG turbulence throughout the whole pedestal region?

#### Investigation of radial ETG heat flux dependence



→Circles: nominal gradients

→ETGs can generate almost entire experimental flux
→Pedestal knee (p=0.96): Transition to core turbulence?
→Outermost position: Slight reduction of heat flux

# Might ETG modes be removed via Zeff or Te/Ti?

## Effect of impurities and temperature ratio on edge ETGs



→Expectation: no dependence of critical R/L<sub>Te</sub> on  $\tau$  (Jenko et al., PoP 2001) →Perform nonlinear ETG runs for  $\rho$ =0.98 →Introduce parameter  $\tau$ =Z<sub>eff</sub> T<sub>e</sub>/T<sub>i</sub> into adiabatic ion field solver →AUG edge: Z<sub>eff</sub>~2-3, but usually T<sub>i</sub>>T<sub>e</sub>

→Result: moderate dependence, roughly Q~1/ $\tau^{0.5}$ 

## **Conclusions:**

ETG turbulence remains a strong candidate for setting the residual electron heat flux in edge transport barriers

If this is confirmed, future simulations of L-H transitions must include sub-ion-scale dynamics