

Measurements and modelling of turbulent transport on Tore Supra

X. Garbet IRFM, CEA Cadarache

Acknowledgements: J. Abiteboul, P. Angelino¹, S. Benkadda², C. Bourdelle, A. Casati¹, F. Clairet, G. Falchetto, C. Fenzi, S. Futatani², T. Gerbaud³, V. Grandgirard, R. Guirlet, O. Gürcan⁴, P. Hennequin⁴, S. Heuraux⁵, G.T. Hoang, C. Honoré⁴, R. Sabot, Y. Sarazin, A. Sirinelli³, E. Trier⁴, L. Vermare⁴, D. Villegas.

¹ EPFL Lausanne ²PIIM Marseille ³ UKAEA Culham ⁴LPP Ecole Polytechnique, ⁵IJL Nancy



Outline

- Comparison between fluctuation measurements and simulations – towards a reduced transport model.
- Large scale flows : interplay between turbulence generation and collisional damping
- Impurities as tracers of turbulent transport





- 2 Doppler and 2 fast sweeping reflectometers: profile of turbulence intensity, frequency and wavenumber spectra
- Numerical tools: global (GYSELA) local (GYRO, GENE) gyrokinetic codes, integrated modelling (CRONOS)





 $\dot{\Theta}$







Is it possible to build a reliable reduced transport model?

 Most models are based on quasi-linear theory Vedenov 61, Drummond 63

$$D = \sum_{k} |v_{Ek}|^2 \tau_{ck}$$

and a mixing-length rule Prandtl 25, e.g.

$$\frac{e\delta\phi_k}{T} \approx \frac{\delta p_k}{p} \approx \frac{1}{kL_p}$$

• Some open questions : validity of QL theory, better description of fluctuation spectra.



Test of Quasi-Linear Theory

 QLT assumes linear crossphase

$$\Phi_{T}=\frac{3}{2}\langle pv_{E}\rangle$$

- Looks like a reasonable hypothesis at low k. Seems to work even close to threshold.
- Factor 1.4 between simulations and QLT Waltz 08







 QLT assumes linear crossphase

$$\Phi_{\rm T} = \frac{3}{2} \langle {\rm pv}_{\rm E} \rangle$$

- Looks like a reasonable hypothesis at low k.
 Seems to work even close to threshold.
- Factor 1.4 between simulations and QLT Waltz 08

Casati 08 - GYRO Cross phase δV_{F} - δT x 10⁻³ 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 -3 -2 2 -1 0 1 3

Phase



Agreement is found between density fluctuation measurements and simulations.







- Slope always the same \rightarrow NL effects dominant.
- Discrepancies between simulations : inward propagating GAMs in GYSELA. Zonca 08
 Change of slope is usually
- Change of slope is usually $\frac{1}{2}$ observed at $k_{\perp}\rho_i \approx 1$ Hennequin 04. 10⁻⁴ Possible effect of zonal flows Gurcan 09.







Wave number spectra are also well reproduced - II

- Slope always the same \rightarrow NL effects dominant.
- Discrepancies between simulations : inward propagating GAMs in GYSELA. Zonca 08
- Change of slope is usually observed at $k_{\perp}\rho_i \approx 1$ Hennequin 04. Possible effect of zonal flows Gurcan 09.

Gurcan 09



Fast sweeping reflectometry provides information on k_r spectra

- Access to distribution of density perturbations→ k_r spectra Vermare 04
- Spectrum index is different : instrumental effect
- Spectra are isotropic at high k





Fast sweeping reflectometry provides information on k_r spectra - II

- Access to distribution of density perturbations→ k_r spectra Vermare 04
- Spectrum index is different : instrumental effect
- Spectra are isotropic at high k Krommes 92





Frequency spectra





Frequency spectra - II





Present status of transport modelling

- Qualikiz : QLT, k⁻³ spectrum (cut-off Dannert 05), lorentzian frequency spectrum. Bourdelle 08
- Encouraging results, except at low magnetic shear.





Present status of transport modelling

TS 39596. t = 7.668 s 2000 Qualikiz : QLT, k⁻³ Thom. scatt. ECE spectrum (cut-off Dannert 1500 Qualikiz 05), lorentzian Te (eV) frequency spectrum. Bourdelle 08 500 0 Encouraging results, 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 ρ except at low magnetic Predicted temperature shear.



Large scale flows : interplay between turbulence generation and collisional damping

- On Tore Supra, magnetic field corrugation (ripple) is large (7%)
- Leads to magnetic braking in toroidal direction
- Competes with turbulence Reynolds stress



Flow dynamics

• Force balance equation

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{V}_{\theta}\mathbf{B}_{\phi} - \mathbf{V}_{\phi}\mathbf{B}_{\theta} - \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{e}} = 0$$

 Reynolds stress + collisional viscous damping Smolyakov 09

$$\partial_{t} \langle \mathbf{V} \rangle = -\nabla \cdot \langle \mathbf{\widetilde{V}} \mathbf{\widetilde{V}} \rangle - \nu_{neo} (\langle \mathbf{V} \rangle - k \mathbf{V}_{neo})$$

$$Reynolds \qquad \checkmark \qquad \checkmark \qquad \forall iscous \\ stress \Pi \qquad \qquad \forall amping$$

 \rightarrow determine V_{θ}, V_{ϕ}, et E_r





Rotation measurements

• Doppler reflectometry

$$V_{lab} = -\frac{E_r}{B} + V_{ph}$$

Assumption : $V_{ph} \ll -E_r/B$ supported by simulations \rightarrow direct measurement of E_r

CXRS: measurements of V_φ







Radial electric field

 Radial electric field is negative : controlled by thermal ripple losses

$$E_{r} = \frac{T_{i}}{e} \left(\frac{dn_{i}}{n_{i}dr} + k_{rip} \frac{dT_{i}}{T_{i}dr} \right)$$

 Local trapping k_{rip}=3.37
 Connor 73 . Ripple-plateau k_{rip}=1.5 Boozer 80







Intrinsic toroidal rotation

Fenzi 09

- Counter-rotation in Ohmic plasmas. Corotation with smaller ripple.
- Consistent with competition between ripple friction and turbulent Reynolds stress.





Poloidal rotation agrees with neoclassical theory in global simulations Dif-Pradalier 09

- Consistent with poloidal viscous damping
- However: large corrugations due to turbulent Reynolds stress.



Normalised radius



Disagreement on poloidal rotation?

- Doppler reflectometry $\rightarrow E_r$ agrees with $\Gamma_{\text{ripple}}{=}0$ in the core
- CXRS \rightarrow V_{ϕ} changes from cntr to co-rotation when ripple becomes weaker \rightarrow V_{θ} \neq V_{neo}? e.g. JET Crombé 06, DIII-D Kim 94
- Turbulence simulations find $V_{\theta} \approx V_{neo}$ + turbulence corrugations



Particle and impurity transport

- Ion heat transport well known, particle and electron heat transport make progress, impurity transport not well documented
- Related to turbulent transport of passive tracers for low impurity concentrations
- Reference is collisional transport in axisymmetric systems: neoclassical theory





Particle pinch is turbulent

1

• Particle flux of the form Γ =-D ∇ n+Vn

Diffusion and pinch velocity vs radius - TORE SUPRA - G.T. Hoang 04

V(m/s)

 V_{neo} (m/s)

0.6

0.4

Normalized radius

 $D(m^2/s)$

Unambiguous 0.1 observation of an 0.01 anomalous pinch in TS 0.01 long pulses Hoang 04, 0.001 0.0001 0.0001

0.8





- Supersonic impurity gas injection.
- Both transient and steady profiles are used to assess fluxes Γ=-D∇n+Vn
- Allows to reduce error bars on D and V by a large factor.



Guirlet 08



Modelling of transient confirm the diffusion/convection model



INI, GYP, Cambridge, 20 July 2010



Impurity transport is turbulent

- Diffusion is always turbulent in the gradient zone
- Qualitatively agree with quasilinear theory (Qualikiz).





Evidence of a critical gradient

- Evidence of an instability threshold
- Agrees with expectation from drift wave (ITG/TEM) model





œ

Impurity transport depends weakly on charge number

- D and V depend weakly on the charge number (7<Z<32) – also agrees with QualiKiz. Different from JET?
- Pinch velocity directed inward.

Bourdelle/Guirlet 08





Impurities are neoclassical in the core, electrons are not

• Low level of fluctuations in the core



- electron pinch velocity is above neoclassical, V_{nickel} is neoclassical
- Effect of an internal kink mode?



Level of fluctuations



Impurities are neoclassical in the core, electrons are not

- Low level of fluctuations in the core
- electron pinch velocity is above neoclassical, V_{nickel} is neoclassical
- Effect of an internal kink mode?



D and V for electrons and Nickel vs neoclassical



Conclusions

- Agreement between measured and calculated density fluctuations, for both intensity and spectra→ quasilinear transport model under test.
- E_r and V_{ϕ} agree with neoclassical theory in ohmic plasmas, with strong ripple. Situation for V_{θ} to be clarified.
- Impurity transport is turbulent in the gradient zone, neoclassical in the core of Ohmic plasmas.
 Dependence on charge and mass numbers unclear.