The diffusion region in collisionless magnetic reconnection:

New results from in-situ observations in the Earth's magnetotail

J. P. Eastwood The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

T. D. Phan, M. Øieroset Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

M. A. Shay Bartol Research Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, DE USA

> 30 July 2010 Gyrokinetics in laboratory and astrophysical plasmas Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, 19 July – 13 August 2010

Introduction

- How to measure reconnection in space
- Average properties anti-parallel reconnection
- Guide field reconnection
- Secondary islands in the diffusion region
- Waves in the diffusion region

Different laboratories for reconnection research



Near Earth space provides several natural laboratories for reconnection research In-situ observation enables highly quantitative comparison with theory

Example of a modern space plasma physics mission

Cluster

European Space Agency / NASA Four spacecraft 2.9m diameter, 1.3m height 1200kg (650kg fuel, 71kg instruments) Spin rate: 15rpm Telemetry: up to 262 kbit/s Cost: ~ 10⁸ € Launched in 2000 10th Anniversary workshop this year.



The Cluster mission

Instrument	Description	Notes
FGM	Fluxgate magnetometer	DC magnetic field
CIS	lon spectrometer	HIA and CODIF sensors
PEACE	Electron spectrometer	3d electron distribution 0.7 - 30 keV
RAPID	Energetic particle detector	Solid state detector Ions: 30-1500 keV/q. Electrons: 20-450keV
ASPOC	Spacecraft potential control	Indium ion emission; potential control
EDI	Electric field experiment	Electron gun design
EFW	Electric field experiment	Wire boom spherical sensor (sc potential)
WHISPER	Resonance sounder	Total electron density 0.2 – 80 cm ⁻³ Passive wave survey 2-80 kHz
STAFF	Search coil magnetometer & spectrum analyzer	ac fields 4 kHz electromagnetic field fluctuations
WBD	Wide band receiver	High resolution continuous wave forms 25 Hz – 577 kHz
DWP	Digital wave processor	Coordinates WEC, performs particle correlations

The Cluster orbit



The Cluster separation strategy



Date

The diffusion region in the Earth's magnetotail

- Geotail
 - Hall currents and Hall fields along the separatrices [Fujimoto et al., 1997; Nagai et al., 2001; 2003; Asano et al., 2004]
 - Typical location of X-line [Nagai et al., 1998; 2005]
- Wind
 - Observation of diffusion region structure [Oieroset et al., 2001]
- Cluster
 - Considerably enhanced our understanding of the diffusion region see review by Paschmann, Geophys. Res. Lett., 2008
 - Progress almost entirely via case studies
- THEMIS

Questions

- Does the existing set of published (Cluster) observations constitute the entire set of encounters?
 - Observing the diffusion region is a matter of chance
- If one observes macroscopic reconnection signatures, does that also correspond to the diffusion region?
- Do the reported diffusion regions constitute the average (selection effect)?
- What is the average experimental picture?

Cluster orbit: August – September tail season



Cluster magnetotail survey 2001 - 2005

- After 2005, the Cluster apogee was allowed to precess towards the south pole
 - crossed plasma sheet closer to Earth.
 - dwell time in the plasma sheet is reduced.
- Survey for the macroscopic signatures of reconnection
 - Identify plasma sheet intervals ($\beta > 1$)
 - Search for flows that reverse direction
 - Accompanied by reversals in Bz (avoid BBFs/ flow vortices)
- 33 events

Summary

- General remarks
 - Observed numerous Earthward BBFs
 - Many more intervals of Earthward flow compared to tailward flow
- Of 33 events, 21 events amenable to multi-spacecraft analysis
 - Observe diverging jets (v_x)
 - Or reversal of B_z (qualitative timing)
 - Spacecraft can't be too close or too far apart
 - Of these 21 events, 20 are X-lines; 1 is an O-line [Eastwood et al., 2005]
 - In the remainder of the events, we assume a single X-line
- Most X-lines are observed to move tailward
- Pairs of X-lines sometimes observed Multiple X-line Reconnection

Cluster magnetotail survey 2001 - 2005

Then examine the electric and magnetic field for qualitative and quantitative consistency with Hall physics

Use 4 second resolution data

Electric field reconstructed assuming $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$

Examine correlation of different field components



Hall fields example

1 October 2001

- 0946 UT– 0951 UT
- Famous event

Qualitative behavior:

 $V_x - B_7$ correlated

- $E_z B_x$ anti-correlated
- $B_v B_x$ earthward flow correlated

 $B_v - B_x$ tailward flow anti-correlated

 E_v positive



В

40

20

30

60

D

Summary of observations

33 field and flow reversals

18 qualitatively consistent with diffusion region

5 not enough data

5 normal electric field ~ 0, inconsistent hall magnetic field,

5 apparently consistent with guide field comparable to hall perturbation









Hall magnetic fields (anti-parallel)



Red = Bx positive (above current sheet)

Black = Bx negative (below current sheet)

Solid = average value

Open = peak value

Average and peak out of plane magnetic field for earthward flow (Red: $B_x > 0$, Black: $B_x < 0$)



Average and peak out of plane magnetic field for tailward flow (Red: $B_X > 0$, Black: $B_X < 0$)





Normalized observations (anti-parallel)

B normalized to inflow magnetic field strength

 $b = B/B_{inflow}$

E normalized to inflow field and alfven speed based on current sheet density and inflow field

$$e = E/(B_{inflow} \times V_A(n_{cs}, B_{inflow}))$$

Peak Hall fields:

• $b = 0.39 \pm 0.16$

•
$$e = 0.33 \pm 0.18$$

Avg reconnection E field

• $e_{recon} = 0.04$



A comparison with simulation

PIC code P3D (Shay et al., PRL 2007)

A small part of the simulation, centered on one of the X-lines at a time when reconnection has established is shown

Normalized in the same way as the data

(Location of cut is not of specific importance)



Guide field?

- (Most) reconnection is not anti-parallel
- Simulations suggest guide field distorts structure of diffusion region
- 1 October 2001 9:35 9:43
 - Not the Runov et al. event
- Cluster at [-16.1 7.9 1.1] Re
- Data rotated into current sheet coordinate system (close to GSM)
- Guide field is ~ 20% of reconnecting field
 - Shear = 159°
- Examine interval of tailward flow
 - Cluster 1 above current sheet
 - Cluster 3 below current sheet



Hall fields



- Hall fields difference is real spatial structure
- Normalized $b_M = B_M/B_{L,max}$, $e_N = E_N/(B_{L,max} V_{out})$
- Anticorrelation of b_M and e_N with b_L is expected
- Magnetic perturbation is not symmetric about $b_M = -0.2$

Particle in cell simulation



- PIC simulation with code P3D (Mike Shay)
- Guide field = 0.2
- Cuts taken through outflow in same geometry as Cluster measurements
- Reversal in B_M relative to the guide field does not occur at the center of the current sheet (reversal in B_L)

Comparison with simulations



- Good agreement between simulations and data
- Fairly insensitive to the location of the cut downstream

The diffusion region during guide field reconnection



- Guide field alters the pattern of the Hall currents by enabling the reconnection electric field to induce electron motion and currents along the magnetic field
- Displaces electron outflow in N direction due to **j**_{Hall} x **B**_g forces
- Asymmetry in N direction, not in L direction
- Even a small guide field can significantly alter the structure of the diffusion region

Example of a secondary island in the ion diffusion region

"Secondary island Plasmoid Flux rope O-line Nightside FTE"

Secondary island

Secondary Island

- Only seen by Cluster 3 (green)
- Bipolar B_z
- Core B_y

Traveling Compression Region

• seen by e.g. Cluster 4 (magenta)

Vertical radius = 1300 km

A few c/ω_{pi}

Ion flow speed is 500 kms⁻¹

• But we are in ion diffusion region

Secondary Island – Internal Electric Field

Magnetic Field:

- Core B_y ~ 32 nT
- External B_y ~ 10 nT
- Lobe guide field ~ 0

There is no guide field

Large core B field, but negligible lobe guide field, unexplained by conventional theory

Electric Field

- Core E_z ~ 150 mVm⁻¹
- Peak to Peak E_x ~ 100 mVm⁻¹

 $V_x \sim 3000 \text{ kms}^{-1}$

Island length

• 10-20 c/ω_{pi}

Cluster - magnetotail

9 October 2003

300 km scale tetrahedron

Data shown in current sheet co-ordinate system

L = (0.895, -0.441, 0.068)M = (0.445, 0.892, -0.072) N = (-0.029, 0.094, 0.994)

Imperial College London Cluster - magnetotail

Data indicate Cluster crossed a tailward jet from north to south

- $B_L (B_x)$ reverses $B_N (B_z)$ negative
- $V_{L}\left(V_{x}\right)$ negative

Therefore, if this is an encounter with the diffusion region we can test for the predicted Hall fields

Hall field signatures

Hall magnetic field

- Red = B_M negative
- Black = B_M positive

Hall electric field

- · Points into current sheet on both sides
- Larger than reconnection electric field (E_M)

Hall fields fill jet

• Outer Electron Diffusion Region

Minimal guide field

Power spectra

Power spectra calculated using the Thomson multi-taper method

Wave propagation direction

In what direction are the waves propagating?

- k-filtering [e.g. Pincon & Motschmann 1998]
- phase information from four spacecraft gives directional information at different frequencies
- Has been applied to observations of solar wind turbulence (Narita, Sarahoui ...)

Regular tetrahedron required

K-filtering

A(r, t) is what a spacecraft measures

P(w,t) is what we want to know

Fourier transform data from N spacecraft

Define M

Define the locations of the N spacecraft

'It can be shown that' an estimate of P is:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) = \operatorname{Re}\left[\sum_{\omega}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbf{A}(\omega,\mathbf{k})e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega t)}\right].$$
$$\mathbf{P}(\omega,\mathbf{k}) = \left\langle \mathbf{A}(\omega,\mathbf{k})\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}(\omega,\mathbf{k})\right\rangle,$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{r}_1) \\ \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{r}_2) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{r}_N) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}(\omega) &= \left\langle \mathbf{A}(\omega) \mathbf{A}^{\dagger}(\omega) \right\rangle. \\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{L}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{1}} \\ \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{L}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{L}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_{N}} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$P(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = \operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(\mathbf{H}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})\mathbf{M}^{-1}(\omega)\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k})\right)^{-1}\right\}.$$

K-filtering

- Decomposes the total measured spatial correlation matrix M(w) into a sum of correlation matrices corresponding to plane wave modes
- M(w) is a NL x NL matrix, and can be decomposed into NL linearly independent correlation matrices, one is incoherent noise, so theoretically the technique can identify NL – 1 modes
- Aliasing a spacecraft pair with separation r cannot distinguish between k and k + delta k where delta k .r = 2pi n
 - Can't look at waves smaller than the spacecraft tetrahedron size
- We used k-filtering to look at the waves; only works where the power in the E and B fields diverge. Propagation is along the outflow direction, which is approximately parallel to B.

k-filtering results (f = 0.281 Hz; cuts in k,)

Imperial College London Dispersion relation

Assume k vector along outflow, parallel to B. Then:

$$k_x = \frac{\omega_{sc}}{v_{sc,x}^{ph}} = 2\pi f_{sc} \times \frac{\delta B_z(f)}{\delta E_y(f)}$$

Transform to plasma frame

Compare to hot two fluid dispersion relations [Formisano and Kennel, J. Plasma Phys. 3, 55 (1969)]

Appears to be consistent with whistler/ fast mode waves

Contribution to the reconnection electric field

Applying an analysis similar to that of *Ji et al.* [PRL, 2004], to the magnetic fluctuations, we find that the associated electric field is ~ 0.3 mVm^{-1}

Lower hybrid waves in the 3-8 Hz frequency range

- $f_{LH} = 4$ Hz for B = 6 nT
- $f_{LH} = 6.5$ Hz for B = 10 nT

Whistler waves can scatter off plasma density variations and convert into LH waves [e.g. *Bell & Ngo*, JGR, 1990]

LH wave fluctuations correspond to a reconnection electric field of $\sim 0.01 \text{ mVm}^{-1}$

Modification of the overall reconnection rate is negligible.

Conclusions

Survey [Eastwood et al., J. Geophys. Res., 2010]

- Identified 18 anti-parallel diffusion regions in 5 years of Cluster observations
 - GSM works remarkably well for the average picture
- Average quantities: Hall magnetic field 0.39 +/- 0.16 Hall electric field 0.33 +/- 0.18

Guide field [Eastwood et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 2010]

- Even a small guide field can significantly change the structure of the diffusion region
- The magnetopause will allow studies examining role of density asymmetry etc to be performed, but such studies are complex.

Secondary Islands [Eastwood et al., J. Geophys. Res., 2007]

- Secondary islands are observed formed on/near the separatrix?
- Have strong internal electric field

Turbulence in the diffusion region [Eastwood et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 2009]

- Turbulent cascades in electric and magnetic fields inferred from power law scaling
- Wave dispersion seems to be consistent with fast mode/whistler waves; LH also observed
- Associated anomalous resistivity was not found to significantly modify the reconnection rate