### Sparticle Spectra from LARGE-Volume String Compactifications

#### Joseph P. Conlon (DAMTP, Cambridge)

(0704.3403, JC, C. Kom, K. Suruliz, B. Allanach, F. Quevedo)

PASCOS 2007

Sparticle Spectra from LARGE-Volume String Compactifications - p. 1/2

#### **Talk Structure**

- LARGE Volume Models
- Computing Soft Terms
- Sparticle Spectra and Phenomenology
- Conclusions

#### **LARGE Volume Models**

- Moduli stabilisation is a central problem in string phenomenology.
- Moduli are ubiquitous in string compactifications and describe the geometry of the extra dimensions.
- Moduli are naively massless scalars which generate unphysical fifth forces.
- LARGE volume models are an attractive method of moduli stabilisation, supersymmetry breaking and hierarchy generation.

#### **LARGE Volume Models**

In IIB flux compactifications, the appropriate 4-dimensional supergravity theory is

$$K = -2\ln\left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{g_s^{3/2}}\right) - \ln\left(i\int\Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega}\right) - \ln\left(S+\bar{S}\right),$$
$$W = \int G_3\wedge\Omega + \sum_i A_i e^{-a_i T_i}.$$

Consistent inclusion of  $\alpha'$  corrections in the Kähler potential gives dramatic changes in the structure of the potential

 $\Longrightarrow$  a new minimum appears at exponentially large volume  $\mathcal{V} \gg 1$ 

## **LARGE Volume Models: Geometry**

The simplest example has two moduli,  $\tau_b$  and  $\tau_s$ .  $\mathcal{V} = \tau_b^{3/2} - \tau_s^{3/2}$  (a Swiss cheese form).



#### **Moduli Stabilisation: LARGE Volume**

The supergravity potential is:

$$V = \frac{\sqrt{\tau_s} a_s^2 |A_s|^2 e^{-2a_s \tau_s}}{\mathcal{V}} - \frac{a_s |A_s W_0| \tau_s e^{-a_s \tau_s}}{\mathcal{V}^2} + \frac{\xi |W_0|^2}{g_s^{3/2} \mathcal{V}^3}.$$
$$V = -\frac{|W_0|^2 (\ln \mathcal{V})^{3/2}}{\mathcal{V}^3} + \frac{\xi |W_0|^2}{g_s^{3/2} \mathcal{V}^3}.$$

A minimum exists at

$$\mathcal{V} \sim |W_0| e^{c/g_s}, \qquad \tau_s \sim \ln \mathcal{V}.$$

This minimum is non-supersymmetric AdS and at exponentially large volume.

#### **LARGE Volume Models**

- The stabilised volume is naturally exponentially large.
- The large volume lowers the string scale and gravitino mass through

$$m_s = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}}, \qquad m_{3/2} = \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}}.$$

- With  $\mathcal{V} = 10^{14} l_s^6$  and  $m_s = 10^{11} \text{GeV}$ , the weak hierarchy is naturally generated through TeV-scale supersymmetry.
- $m_s = 10^{11} \text{GeV}$  also generates the correct scales for the axion decay constant  $f_a \sim 10^{11} \text{GeV}$  and the neutrino suppression scale  $\Lambda \sim 10^{14} \text{GeV}$ .

#### **LARGE Volume Models**

We take the overall volume to be  $\mathcal{V} = 10^{14} l_s^6$ .

The mass scales present are:

- Planck scale:  $M_P = 2.4 \times 10^{18} \text{GeV}$ .
- Neutrino/dimension-5 suppression scale:  $\Lambda \sim 10^{14} \text{GeV}$ .
- String scale:  $M_S = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}} \sim 10^{11} \text{GeV}.$
- Axion decay constant  $f_a \sim M_S \sim 10^{11} \text{GeV}$ .
- KK scale  $M_{KK} = \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}} \sim 10^9 \text{GeV}.$
- Gravitino mass  $m_{3/2} = \frac{M_P}{V} \sim 30$  TeV.
- Soft terms  $m_{susy} \sim \frac{m_{3/2}}{\ln(M_P/m_{3/2})} \sim 1$ TeV.

# **From strings to LHC**

To compute sparticle spectra from the high-scale theory, what needs to be done?

- 1. Stabilise moduli and break supersymmetry
- 2. Compute the high-scale soft breaking terms
- Run the soft terms to low energy using RGEs (SoftSUSY)
- 4. Study soft term phenomenology with Monte Carlo event generation (Herwig/PYTHIA)
- 5. Detector simulation (PGS)
- 6. Data analysis (ROOT)

• Computing gravity-mediated soft terms starts by expanding the supergravity K and W in terms of the matter fields  $C^{\alpha}$ ,

$$W = \hat{W}(\Phi) + \mu(\Phi)H_1H_2 + \frac{1}{6}Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\Phi)C^{\alpha}C^{\beta}C^{\gamma} + \dots,$$
  

$$K = \hat{K}(\Phi,\bar{\Phi}) + \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\Phi,\bar{\Phi})C^{\alpha}C^{\bar{\beta}} + [ZH_1H_2 + h.c.] + \dots,$$
  

$$f_a = f_a(\Phi).$$

If we know  $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  and  $Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ , computing soft terms is straightforward.

Soft scalar masses  $m_{i\overline{j}}^2$  and trilinears  $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  are given by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{m}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^2 &= (m_{3/2}^2 + V_0) \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ &- \bar{F}^{\bar{m}} F^n \left( \partial_{\bar{m}} \partial_n \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - (\partial_{\bar{m}} \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}) \tilde{K}^{\bar{\gamma}\delta} (\partial_n \tilde{K}_{\delta\bar{\beta}}) \right) \\ A'_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= e^{\hat{K}/2} F^m \Big[ \hat{K}_m Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \partial_m Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ &- \Big( (\partial_m \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\rho}}) \tilde{K}^{\bar{\rho}\delta} Y_{\delta\beta\gamma} + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma) \Big) \Big]. \end{split}$$

- We consider a stack of (magnetised) branes all wrapping an identical cycle.
- Chiral fermions stretch between differently magnetised branes.



To leading order (neglect brane magnetic fluxes)

$$\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \sim \frac{\tau_s^{1/3}}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}} k_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(U,\bar{U}).$$

$$f_a = T_s/4\pi.$$

We can compute the soft terms to get

$$M_{i} = \frac{F^{s}}{2\tau_{s}} \equiv M,$$

$$m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{3}}\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}},$$

$$A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -M\hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma},$$

$$B = -\frac{4M}{3}.$$
Solution



- Magnetic fluxes on the brane world-volumes are necessary for chirality.
- They also affect soft terms

$$f_a = \frac{T}{4\pi} \quad \to \quad f_a = \frac{T}{4\pi} + h_a(F)S,$$
$$\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \sim \frac{\tau_s^{1/3}}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}} k_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(U,\bar{U}) \quad \to \quad \frac{(\tau_s + \epsilon)^{1/3}}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}} k_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(U,\bar{U}).$$

- For gauge couplings we 'know' the effect of fluxes.
- For scalar masses we parametrise flux effects by  $\epsilon$  and allow  $\epsilon$  to vary.
- The magnitude of variation is determined by high-scale non-universality of the gauge couplings.

We run the soft terms to low energy with a 20% high scale variation:



We run the soft terms to low energy with a 40% high scale variation:



Gauginos:

The gaugino mass ratios are most predictive:

$$M_1: M_2: M_3 = 1.5 \rightarrow 2: 2: 6.$$

- This comes from the higher-dimensional brane geometry.
- Bino uncertainty is due to the U(1) normalisation.
- Gaugino mass ratios are protected against uncertainties in the spectrum:

$$\frac{M_3^2(\mu)}{M_2^2(\mu)} = \frac{g_3^2(\mu)}{g_2^2(\mu)}.$$

Gauginos:

- The bino mass is significantly heavier than in mSUGRA.
- This follows from the assumption of dilute fluxes: in absence of magnetic fluxes, gaugino masses are universal at intermediate scale.
- Small perturbations about this cannot make bino as light as in mSUGRA.

Scalars:

- RG running starts at the intermediate rather than GUT scale.
- The spectrum is more compressed than typical mSUGRA spectra: squarks tend to be lighter and sleptons tend to be heavier.

$$\left(\frac{m_{\tilde{q}}}{m_{\tilde{g}}}\right)_{LV} < \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{q}}}{m_{\tilde{g}}}\right)_{MSUGRA}$$

RGE squark focussing behaviour is less efficient.

## **Soft Terms: Phenomenology**

How predictive is this spectrum for colliders?



# **Soft Terms: Phenomenology**

- All models have the same production scale ( $m_{\tilde{g}} \sim 900 \text{GeV}$ ) and come from the same high-scale theory!
- There is a big variation in the number of observed di/tri-lepton events.
- But number of events passing cuts depends on decay modes.
- Di-lepton pairs come from the chain

$$\tilde{\chi}_2 \to \tilde{l}l^{\pm} \to \tilde{\chi}_1 l^{\pm} l^{\mp}.$$

Small changes in slepton masses give large changes in branching ratios.

## **Conclusions I**

- LARGE volume models stabilise moduli and break supersymmetry at a hierarchically small scale with no fine-tuning.
- Soft terms and sparticle spectra can be computed.
- We can calculate all the way 'from string theory to the LHC'.
- Gaugino mass ratios are most robust:

 $M_1: M_2: M_3 = 1.5 \rightarrow 2:2:6$ 

#### Scalar masses are more uncertain due to brane fluxes.

#### **Conclusions II**

