# Hierarchy Problems and Supersymmetry Breaking in String Theory

Joseph P. Conlon (Cavendish Laboratory & DAMTP, Cambridge)

CERN, October 2007

Hierarchy Problems and Supersymmetry Breaking in String Theory - p. 1/4

Thank you to my excellent collaborators:

Shehu Abdussalam, Ben Allanach, Vijay Balasubramanian, Per Berglund, Michele Cicoli, Daniel Cremades, Chun-Hay (Steve) Kom, Fernando Quevedo, Kerim Suruliz

## **Talk Structure**

- Hierarchies in Nature
- String Phenomenology and Moduli Stabilisation
- Large Volume Models
- Supersymmetry Breaking
- Axions
- Neutrino Masses
- Conclusions

#### **Hierarchies in Nature**

Nature likes hierarchies:

- The Planck scale,  $M_P = 2.4 \times 10^{18} \text{GeV}$ .
- The GUT/inflation scale,  $M \sim 10^{16} \text{GeV}$ .
- The axion scale,  $10^9 \text{GeV} \lesssim f_a \lesssim 10^{12} \text{GeV}$
- The weak scale :  $M_W \sim 100 \text{GeV}$
- The QCD scale  $\Lambda_{QCD} \sim 200 \text{MeV}$
- The neutrino mass scale,  $0.05 \text{eV} \lesssim m_{\nu} \lesssim 0.3 \text{eV}$ .
- The cosmological constant,  $\Lambda \sim (10^{-3} {\rm eV})^4$

These demand an explanation!

### **Hierarchies in Nature**

This talk will argue that

- an intermediate string scale  $m_s \sim 10^{11} \text{GeV}$
- stabilised exponentially large extra dimensions
    $(\mathcal{V} \sim 10^{15} l_s^6)$ .

explains the the axionic, weak and neutrino hierarchies. Different hierarchies will come as different powers of the (large) volume.

## **Moduli Stabilisation**

- String theory lives in ten dimensions.
- Compactify on a Calabi-Yau manifold to give a four-dimensional theory.
- The geometry determines the four-dimensional particle spectrum.
- The spectrum always includes uncharged scalar particles moduli describing the size and shape of the extra dimensions.

# **Moduli Stabilisation**

- Moduli are naively massless scalars which couple gravitationally.
- These generate fifth forces and so must be given masses.
- Generating potentials for moduli is the field of moduli stabilisation.
- This talk is on the large-volume models which represent a particular moduli stabilisation scenario.

# **Moduli Stabilisation: Fluxes**

- Fluxes carry an energy density depending on the cycle geometry.
- This energy generates a potential for the cycle moduli.
- In IIB compactifications, 3-form fluxes generate a superpotential

$$W = \int (F_3 + iSH_3) \wedge \Omega \equiv \int G_3 \wedge \Omega.$$

This stabilises the dilaton and complex structure moduli.

$$D_S W = D_U W = 0.$$

$$W = \int G_3 \wedge \Omega = W_0.$$

## **Moduli Stabilisation: Fluxes**

$$\hat{K} = -2\ln\left(\mathcal{V}(T_i + \bar{T}_i)\right),$$

$$W = W_0.$$

$$V = e^{\hat{K}}\left(\sum_T \hat{K}^{i\bar{j}} D_i W D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W} - 3|W|^2\right)$$

$$= 0$$

No-scale model :

- vanishing vacuum energy
- broken susy
- T unstabilised

## **Moduli Stabilisation: KKLT**

$$\hat{K} = -2\ln(\mathcal{V}),$$
  

$$W = W_0 + \sum_i A_i e^{-a_i T_i}.$$

- Non-perturbative ADS superpotential.
- The *T*-moduli are stabilised by solving  $D_T W = 0$ .
- This gives a susy AdS vacuum which is uplifted by anti-branes/magnetic fluxes/IASD 3-form fluxes/ISS/something else.
- Susy breaking is sourced by the uplift.

# **Moduli Stabilisation: KKLT**

KKLT stabilisation has three phenomenological problems:

- 1. No susy hierarchy: fluxes prefer  $W_0 \sim 1$  and  $m_{3/2} \gg 1$ TeV.
- 2. Susy breaking not well controlled depends entirely on uplifting.
- 3.  $\alpha'$  expansion not well controlled volume is small and there are large flux backreaction effects.

$$\hat{K} = -2\ln\left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{g_s^{3/2}}\right),$$
$$W = W_0 + \sum_i A_i e^{-a_i T_i}.$$

- Add the leading  $\alpha'$  corrections to the Kähler potential.
- This leads to dramatic changes in the large-volume vacuum structure.

The simplest model  $\mathbb{P}^4_{[1,1,1,6,9]}$  has two Kähler moduli.

$$\mathcal{V} = \left(\frac{T_b + \bar{T}_b}{2}\right)^{3/2} - \left(\frac{T_s + \bar{T}_s}{2}\right)^{3/2} \equiv \left(\tau_b^{3/2} - \tau_s^{3/2}\right).$$

If we compute the scalar potential, we get for  $\mathcal{V} \gg 1$ ,

$$V = \frac{\sqrt{\tau_s} a_s^2 |A_s|^2 e^{-2a_s \tau_s}}{\mathcal{V}} - \frac{a_s |A_s W_0| \tau_s e^{-a_s \tau_s}}{\mathcal{V}^2} + \frac{\xi |W_0|^2}{q_s^{3/2} \mathcal{V}^3}.$$



A minimum exists at

$$\mathcal{V} \sim |W_0| e^{a_s \tau_s}, \qquad \tau_s \sim \frac{\xi^{2/3}}{g_s}.$$

This minimum is non-supersymmetric AdS and at exponentially large volume.

~ 1~





- The stabilised volume is exponentially large.
- The large volume lowers the string scale and gravitino mass through

$$m_s = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}}, \qquad m_{3/2} = \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}}.$$

- To solve the gauge hierarchy problem, need  $\mathcal{V} \sim 10^{15}$ .
- The vacuum is pseudo no-scale and breaks susy...

The mass scales present are:

Planck scale: String scale: KK scale Gravitino mass Small modulus  $m_{\tau_s}$ Complex structure moduli Soft terms Volume modulus

 $M_P = 2.4 \times 10^{18} \text{GeV}.$  $M_S \sim \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}} \sim 10^{11} \text{GeV}.$  $M_{KK} \sim \frac{M_P}{V^{2/3}} \sim 10^9 \text{GeV}.$  $m_{3/2} \sim \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}} \sim 30$  TeV.  $m_{\tau_s} \sim m_{3/2} \ln \left( \frac{M_P}{m_{3/2}} \right) \sim 1000 \text{TeV}.$  $m_U \sim m_{3/2} \sim 30$  TeV.  $m_{susy} \sim \frac{m_{3/2}}{\ln(M_P/m_{3/2})} \sim 1$ TeV.  $m_{\tau_b} \sim \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}^{3/2}} \sim 1 \text{MeV}.$ 

Supersymmetry will (hopefully) be discovered at the LHC.

It is parametrised by

- Soft scalar masses,  $m_i^2 \phi_i^2$
- Gaugino masses,  $M_a \lambda^a \lambda^a$ ,
- Trilinear scalar A-terms,  $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\phi^{\alpha}\phi^{\beta}\phi^{\gamma}$
- **• B-terms**,  $BH_1H_2$ .

• To compute soft terms, we expand K and W in powers of matter fields  $C^{\alpha}$ ,

$$W = \hat{W}(\Phi) + \mu(\Phi)H_1H_2 + \frac{1}{6}Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\Phi)C^{\alpha}C^{\beta}C^{\gamma} + \dots,$$
  

$$K = \hat{K}(\Phi,\bar{\Phi}) + \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\Phi,\bar{\Phi})C^{\alpha}C^{\bar{\beta}} + [ZH_1H_2 + h.c.] + \dots,$$
  

$$f_a = f_a(\Phi).$$

• Soft scalar masses  $m_{i\overline{j}}^2$  and trilinears  $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  are given by

$$\begin{split} \tilde{m}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^2 &= (m_{3/2}^2 + V_0) \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \\ &- \bar{F}^{\bar{m}} F^n \left( \partial_{\bar{m}} \partial_n \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - (\partial_{\bar{m}} \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}}) \tilde{K}^{\bar{\gamma}\delta} (\partial_n \tilde{K}_{\delta\bar{\beta}}) \right) \\ A'_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= e^{\hat{K}/2} F^m \Big[ \hat{K}_m Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \partial_m Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \\ &- \Big( (\partial_m \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\rho}}) \tilde{K}^{\bar{\rho}\delta} Y_{\delta\beta\gamma} + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma) \Big) \Big]. \end{split}$$

• To compute soft terms, we need to know  $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(\Phi)$ .

# The brane geometry

- We assume the Standard Model comes from a stack of (magnetised) branes all wrapping a blowup cycle.
- Chiral fermions stretch between differently magnetised branes.



In the dilute flux approximation we find

$$\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{\tau_s^{1/3}}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}} k_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(U,\bar{U})$$

Soft terms are

$$M_{i} = \frac{F^{s}}{2\tau_{s}} \equiv M,$$

$$m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{3}}\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}},$$

$$A_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -M\hat{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma},$$

$$B = -\frac{4M}{3}.$$

These soft terms are flavour-universal.

- These soft terms are flavour-universal.
- An invalid argument:

In gravity mediation flavour and susy breaking are both Planck-scale physics.

Therefore susy breaking is sensitive to flavour

Therefore squark masses are non-universal.

- These soft terms are flavour-universal.
- An invalid argument:

In gravity mediation flavour and susy breaking are both Planck-scale physics.

Therefore susy breaking is sensitive to flavour Therefore squark masses are non-universal.

In string theory, we have K\u00e4hler (T) and complex structure (U) moduli. These are decoupled at leading order.

$$\mathcal{K} = -2\ln\left(\mathcal{V}(T)\right) - \ln\left(i\int\Omega\wedge\bar{\Omega}(U)\right) - \ln(S+\bar{S}).$$

• The kinetic terms for T and U fields do not mix.

- Due to the shift symmetry  $T \rightarrow T + i\epsilon$ , the T moduli make no perturbative appearance in the superpotential.
- It is the U moduli that source flavour...

$$W = \ldots + \frac{1}{6} Y_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(U) C^{\alpha} C^{\beta} C^{\gamma} + \ldots$$

 $\blacksquare$  ...and the T moduli that break supersymmetry,

$$D_T W \neq 0, F^T \neq 0, \qquad D_U W = 0, F^U = 0.$$

At leading order, susy breaking (Kähler moduli) and flavour (complex structure moduli) decouple.

# **Soft Terms: Spectra**

- Magnetic fluxes are needed for chirality.
- These alter the gauge kinetic functions

$$f_a = \frac{T}{4\pi} \to f_a = \frac{T}{4\pi} + h_a(F)S.$$

- Fluxes perturb the soft terms.
- We generate many such spectra, with high-scale soft terms allowed to fluctuate by  $\pm 20\%$ .

## Soft Terms: Spectra

We run the soft terms to low energy using SoftSUSY:



# **Soft Terms: Spectra**

- The spectrum is more compressed compared to mSUGRA: the squarks are lighter and sleptons heavier.
- This arises because the RG running starts at the intermediate rather than GUT scale.
- The gaugino mass ratios are

$$M_1: M_2: M_3 = 1.5 \rightarrow 2: 2: 6.$$



- Axions are a well-motivated solution to the strong CP problem.
- The QCD Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \frac{1}{g^2} \int d^4 x F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a,\mu\nu} + \theta \int F^a \wedge F^a.$$

The strong CP problem:

Naively  $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$  - experimentally  $|\theta| \leq 10^{-10}$ .

• The axionic (Peccei-Quinn) solution is to promote  $\theta$  to a dynamical field,  $\theta(x)$ .



• The canonical Lagrangian for  $\theta$  is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \theta \partial^{\mu} \theta + \int \frac{\theta}{f_a} F^a \wedge F^a.$$

 $f_a$  is the axionic decay constant.

- Constraints on supernova cooling and direct searches imply  $f_a \gtrsim 10^9 \text{GeV}$ .
- Avoiding the overproduction of axion dark matter prefers  $f_a \lesssim 10^{12} \text{GeV}$ .
- There exists an axion 'allowed window',

$$10^9 \text{GeV} \lesssim f_a \lesssim 10^{12} \text{GeV}.$$

#### Axions

- For D7 branes, the axionic coupling comes from the RR form in the brane Chern-Simons action.
- The axion decay constant  $f_a$  measures the coupling of the axion to matter.



#### Axions

- The coupling of the axion to matter is a local coupling and does not see the overall volume.
- This coupling can only see the string scale:

$$f_a \sim m_s \sim \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}}.$$

(This is confirmed by a full analysis)

This generates the axion scale,

$$f_a \sim \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}} \sim 10^{11} \mathrm{GeV}.$$

Neutrino masses exist:

$$0.05 \mathrm{eV} \lesssim m_{\nu}^H \lesssim 0.3 \mathrm{eV}.$$

In the seesaw mechanism, this corresponds to a Majorana mass scale for right-handed neutrinos

$$M_{\nu_R} \sim 3 \times 10^{14} \text{GeV}.$$

• Equivalently, this is the suppression scale  $\Lambda$  of the dimension five MSSM operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{m_{\nu}} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} H_2 H_2 L L$$
  

$$\Rightarrow m_{\nu} = 0.1 \text{eV} \left( \sin^2 \beta \times \frac{3 \times 10^{14} \text{GeV}}{\Lambda} \right)$$



Neutrino masses imply a scale  $\Lambda \sim 3 \times 10^{14} \text{GeV}$  which is

- not the Planck scale 10<sup>18</sup>GeV
- not the GUT scale 10<sup>16</sup>GeV
- not the intermediate scale 10<sup>11</sup>GeV
- not the TeV scale 10<sup>3</sup>GeV

Can the intermediate-scale string give a quantitative understanding of this scale?



How to describe a Kaluza-Klein or string state in 4d supergravity?

$$m_{heavy} = \frac{m_s}{\mathcal{V}^\alpha} = \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}^{1/2+\alpha}},$$

WRONG:

$$K = \Phi\Phi$$
$$W = M_{heavy}\Phi^2 = \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}^{1/2+\alpha}}\Phi^2 = \frac{M_P}{(T+\bar{T})^{\frac{3+6\alpha}{4}}}\Phi^2.$$

RIGHT:

$$K = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{1/2 - \alpha}} \Phi \bar{\Phi}$$
$$W = M_P \Phi^2$$

The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = K_{\Phi\bar{\Phi}}\partial_{\mu}\Phi\partial^{\mu}\bar{\Phi} + e^{K}\left(K^{i\bar{j}}D_{i}WD_{j}W - 3|W|^{2}\right)$$
$$= K_{\Phi\bar{\Phi}}\partial_{\mu}\Phi\partial^{\mu}\bar{\Phi} + \frac{M_{P}^{2}}{\mathcal{V}^{2}}K^{\Phi\bar{\Phi}}\Phi\bar{\Phi}.$$

For KK states,

$$K = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{1/3}} \Phi \bar{\Phi} \text{ gives } m_{KK} = \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}}.$$

For stringy states,

$$K = rac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{1/2}} \Phi \bar{\Phi} ext{ gives } m_s = rac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}^{rac{1}{2}}}.$$

Consider a KK state as a right-handed neutrino

$$W = M_P \Phi^2 + Y_{\Phi HL} \Phi HL$$
  
$$K = \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{1/3}} \Phi \bar{\Phi} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}} H \bar{H} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}} L \bar{L}$$

 $(K_{H\bar{H}}, K_{L\bar{L}} \sim \mathcal{V}^{-2/3}$  follows from locality) The physical Yukawa is

$$\hat{Y}_{\Phi HL} = e^{\hat{K}/2} \frac{Y_{\Phi HL}}{\sqrt{K_{\Phi \bar{\Phi}} K_{L\bar{L}} K_{H\bar{H}}}}$$
$$= \mathcal{V}^{-\frac{1}{6}} Y_{\Phi HL}.$$

For string states,  $\hat{Y}_{\Phi HL} = \mathcal{V}^{-\frac{1}{12}} Y_{\Phi HL}$ .





Hierarchy Problems and Supersymmetry Breaking in String Theory - p. 41/4



Integrating out string / KK states generates a dimension-five operator suppressed by

(string) 
$$\mathcal{V}^{-1/12} \times \frac{\mathcal{V}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M_P} \times \mathcal{V}^{-1/12} \sim \frac{\mathcal{V}^{1/3}}{M_P}$$
  
(KK)  $\mathcal{V}^{-1/6} \times \frac{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}}{M_P} \times \mathcal{V}^{-1/6} \sim \frac{\mathcal{V}^{1/3}}{M_P}$ 

- Integrating out heavy states of mass M does not produce operators suppressed by  $M^{-1}$ .
- The dimension-five suppression scale is independent of the masses of the heavy states integrated out.

Fully,

$$K_{H\bar{H}} \sim K_{L\bar{L}} \sim \frac{\tau_s^{1/3}}{\mathcal{V}^{2/3}}.$$

As  $\tau_s \sim \alpha_{SM}^{-1}(m_s)$ , we have

$$m_{\nu} \simeq \frac{\langle v \rangle^2 \sin^2 \beta \left( \alpha_{SM}(m_s) \right)^{2/3}}{2M_P^{2/3} m_{3/2}^{1/3}}$$
$$\simeq 0.09 \text{eV} \left( \sin^2 \beta \times \left( \frac{20 \text{TeV}}{m_{3/2}} \right)^{1/3} \right)$$

This works remarkably well!

#### A new scale...

#### The sky at 511keV



#### A new scale...

The galactic centre has an excess of positrons.

- There hints at new physics around 1 MeV.
- This can arise from dark matter annihilating or decaying in the galactic centre.

#### A new scale....

The volume modulus  $\chi$  always has a mass

$$m_{\chi} \sim rac{m_{3/2}^{3/2}}{M_P^{rac{1}{2}}} \sim 1 {
m MeV}.$$

- This particle can decay via  $\chi \to 2\gamma$  and  $\chi \to e^+e^-$ .
- One can show

$$Br(\chi \to e^+ e^-) \sim \frac{\ln(M_P/m_{3/2})^2}{20} Br(\chi \to 2\gamma)$$

• The  $e^+e^-$  decay is strongly preferred.

# Large Volumes are Power-ful

In large-volume models, an exponentially large volume naturally appears ( $V \sim e^{\frac{c}{g_s}}$ ). This generates scales

• Susy-breaking:  $m_{soft} \sim \frac{M_P}{V} \sim 10^3 \text{GeV}$ 

• Axions: 
$$f_a \sim \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\mathcal{V}}} \sim 10^{11} \text{GeV}$$

- Neutrinos/dim-5 operators:  $\Lambda \sim \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}^{1/3}} \sim 10^{14} \text{GeV}$
- A new scale at  $m \sim \frac{M_P}{\mathcal{V}^{3/2}} \sim 1 \text{MeV}$ .
- All four scales (plus flavour universality) are yoked in an attractive fashion.
- The origin of all four scales is the exponentially large volume.