

Classical Fields I: Solutions

1. In the centre of mass of the pre-decay particle and with a suitable orientation of axes, the photons have wavevectors $k_{\pm}^{\mu} = k(1, \pm \cos \theta, \pm \sin \theta, 0)$. In the lab frame the wavevectors are

$$K_{\pm}^{\mu} = k \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \beta\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ \beta\gamma & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \pm \cos \theta \\ \pm \sin \theta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \pm \beta\gamma \cos \theta \\ \beta\gamma \pm \gamma \cos \theta \\ \pm \sin \theta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We get the cosine of the angle between the lines of flight of the photons from the dot product of the spatial wavevectors. Exploiting the fact that \mathbf{K} is still a null vector to fix the normalization, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \alpha &= \frac{(\beta\gamma - \gamma \cos \theta, -\sin \theta, 0) \cdot (\beta\gamma + \gamma \cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0)}{\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta) \gamma(1 + \beta \cos \theta)} \\ &= \frac{\gamma^2(\beta^2 - \cos^2 \theta) - \sin^2 \theta}{\gamma^2(1 - \beta^2 \cos^2 \theta)} \\ &= \frac{\beta^2(1 + \sin^2 \theta) - 1}{1 - \beta^2 \cos^2 \theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\sin^2 \theta$ we find

$$\sin^2 \theta = \frac{(1 - \beta^2)(1 + \cos \alpha)}{\beta^2(1 - \cos \alpha)} = \frac{1 - \beta^2}{\beta^2} \cot^2 \alpha/2 = \frac{1}{\gamma^2 \beta^2} \cot^2 \alpha/2.$$

Since the meson was spin zero, the decay must be isotropic in its rest frame and $dN = \sin \theta d\theta$. Differentiating $\sin \theta = (\gamma\beta)^{-1} \cot \alpha/2$ wrt θ we have

$$\begin{aligned} dN &= \frac{1}{\gamma\beta} \cot \frac{1}{2}\alpha \frac{1}{\gamma\beta} \csc^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha \frac{d\alpha}{2 \cos \theta} \\ &= \frac{d\alpha}{2\gamma^2 \beta^2} \frac{\cos \frac{1}{2}\alpha}{\sin^3 \frac{1}{2}\alpha \sqrt{1 - (\gamma\beta)^{-2} \cot^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{d\alpha \sin \alpha}{4\gamma^2 \beta \sin^3 \frac{1}{2}\alpha (\beta^2 - \cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\alpha)^{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

2. In the frame of the conductor there is no \mathbf{E} field inside the conductor and the \mathbf{B} field is the same as outside it. So we first find \mathbf{B} in the conductor's rest frame by transforming $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}) = (B, 0)$ to the boosted frame

$$\mathbf{B}'_{\parallel} = \mathbf{B}_{\parallel} \quad \mathbf{B}'_{\perp} = \gamma \mathbf{B}_{\perp}$$

We now set $\mathbf{E}' = 0$ and transform back to the lab frame

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}''_{\parallel} &= \mathbf{B}'_{\parallel} = \mathbf{B}_{\parallel} & \mathbf{B}''_{\perp} &= \gamma \mathbf{B}'_{\perp} = \gamma^2 \mathbf{B}_{\perp} \\ \mathbf{E}''_{\parallel} &= 0 & \mathbf{E}''_{\perp} &= -\gamma(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}'_{\perp}) = -\gamma^2(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_{\perp}) \end{aligned}$$

3. We need to make a Lorentz scalar from \mathbf{p}_a , \mathbf{p}_b and \mathbf{F} . Only 2 possibilities arise: $\mathbf{p}_a \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{p}_b$ and $\mathbf{p}_a \cdot \bar{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \mathbf{p}_b$, but only the first is a true rather than a pseudo scalar. With $\mathbf{E} = 0$ we have $\mathbf{p}_a \times \mathbf{p}_b \cdot \mathbf{B}$, which is a true scalar. So $X \propto \mathbf{p}_a \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{p}_b$. To determine the constant of proportionality we examine the case $\mathbf{E} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_a \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{p}_b &= \mathbf{p}_a \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ 0 & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ 0 & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p_b^0 \\ p_b^x \\ p_b^y \\ p_b^z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (p_a^0, p_a^x, p_a^y, p_a^z)(0, B_z p_b^y - B_y p_b^z, -B_z p_b^x + B_x p_b^z, B_y p_b^x - B_x p_b^y) \\ &= \mathbf{p}_a \cdot (\mathbf{p}_b \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_a \times \mathbf{p}_b) \end{aligned}$$

so the constant of proportionality is unity.

4. From the Lorentz force

$$\mathbf{f} = \int d^3\mathbf{r}(\rho\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}).$$

With Maxwell's eqns

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} &= \rho & \nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} &= 0 & \nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

this becomes

$$\mathbf{f} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left[(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D})\mathbf{E} + \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \right) \times \mathbf{B} \right].$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int d^3\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}) &= \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{D} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= \int d^3\mathbf{r} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \times \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{D} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\mathbf{f} = \int d^3\mathbf{r} [(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D})\mathbf{E} + (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) \times \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{D} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E})] - \frac{d}{dt} \int d^3\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B})$$

as required. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{W} &= (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}) - \mathbf{n} \cdot [\mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{H})] - \mathbf{n} \cdot [\mathbf{D} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{E})] \\ &= (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}) - [B_j(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)H_j - (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{H}) + D_j(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)E_j - \mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E})] \end{aligned}$$

When $\mu_0\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0\mathbf{E}$ this becomes

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{W} = \epsilon_0(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E})\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla B^2/\mu_0 + \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}/\mu_0) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla E^2 + \epsilon_0\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E})$$

which agrees with $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{W}$. But

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{n}) = \epsilon_0 [\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E}) + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E})\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla E^2] + \frac{1}{\mu_0} [\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{B})\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla B^2]$$

which agrees with $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{W}$. $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}$ is the momentum density of the e.m. field. $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{n})$ is the flux of momentum across a surface with normal \mathbf{n} . Hence $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{n}$, where $\mathbf{n} = (0, n_x, n_y, n_z)$.

5. Consider

$$X_{ij} = \eta_i \eta_j^* = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \eta_1^* & \eta_1 \eta_2^* \\ \eta_1^* \eta_2 & \eta_2 \eta_2^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$\det(X) = |\eta_1|^2 |\eta_2|^2 - \eta_1^* \eta_2 \eta_1 \eta_2^* = 0$ so we must have $|\mathbf{v}|^2 = 0$. Thus only null vectors can be represented by X .

6. This problem can be done by explicitly multiplying the 2×2 matrices. Here's a more cerebral solution

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\theta\sigma_n} x^\mu \sigma_\mu e^{-i\theta\sigma_n} &= x^\mu (\cos\theta + i\sin\theta\sigma_n)\sigma_\mu(\cos\theta - i\sin\theta\sigma_n) \\ &= x^\mu [\cos^2\theta\sigma_\mu + \sin^2\theta\sigma_n\sigma_\mu\sigma_n + i\sin\theta\cos\theta(\sigma_n\sigma_\mu - \sigma_\mu\sigma_n)] \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sigma_n\sigma_\mu - \sigma_\mu\sigma_n = n_i[\sigma_i, \sigma_\mu] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = 0 \\ 2in_i\epsilon_{i\mu k}\sigma_k & \text{if } \mu = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n\sigma_\mu\sigma_n &= \sigma_n^2\sigma_\mu - \sigma_n[\sigma_\mu, \sigma_n] \\ &= \sigma_\mu - \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = 0 \\ 2in_i\epsilon_{i\mu k}\sigma_n\sigma_k & \text{if } \mu = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases} \\ &= \sigma_\mu - \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = 0 \\ 2in_in_j\epsilon_{i\mu k}\sigma_j\sigma_k & \text{if } \mu = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Also $\sigma_j \sigma_k = i\epsilon_{ljk} \sigma_l$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\mathbf{n}} &= \sigma_{\mu} - \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = 0 \\ -2\epsilon_{i\mu k} \epsilon_{ljk} n_i n_j \sigma_l & \text{if } \mu = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases} \\ &= \sigma_{\mu} - \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = 0 \\ -2\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} n_{\mu} + 2\sigma_{\mu} & \text{if } \mu = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mu} & \text{if } \mu = 0 \\ -\sigma_{\mu} + 2\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} n_{\mu} & \text{if } \mu = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}e^{i\theta \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} x^{\mu} \sigma_{\mu} e^{-i\theta \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}} &= x^0 \sigma_0 + x^l [(\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) \sigma_l + 2\sigma_{\mathbf{n}} n_l \sin^2 \theta + i \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta 2n_i \epsilon_{ilk} \sigma_k] \\ &= x^0 \sigma_0 + \cos 2\theta \mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + (1 - \cos 2\theta)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \sin 2\theta (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ &= x^0 \sigma_0 - \sin 2\theta (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \cos 2\theta [\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{x})] + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n})\end{aligned}\quad (\dagger)$$

Now any spatial vector \mathbf{x} can be resolved into components parallel and perpendicular to a given vector \mathbf{n}

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x})$$

and when we rotate \mathbf{x} about \mathbf{n} through angle 2θ the rotated vector is

$$\mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n} - \cos 2\theta \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x}) - \sin 2\theta (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x})$$

Expanding the vector triple product and then dotting the whole equation with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, the right side becomes identical with the right side of (\dagger) , while the time component of \mathbf{x} is clearly unchanged by the rotation.

7. The E-L eqn is

$$\partial_{\nu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{\nu}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0,$$

So $-\partial_{\nu} \partial^{\nu} \phi + dV/d\phi = 0$. For K-G generalize ϕ to a complex field and take $V = m_0 |\phi|^2$.

For $V = 1 - \cos \phi$, $-\square \phi + \sin \phi = 0$. If $\phi = \Phi(x - \beta ct)$, then $\square \phi = -\Phi'' \beta^2 + \Phi'' = (1 - \beta^2) \Phi''$. Thus $-(1 - \beta^2) \Phi'' + \sin \Phi = 0$. We multiply by Φ' and integrate w.r.t. $X \equiv x - ct$ and have

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - \beta^2) \frac{d}{dX} \frac{1}{2} (\Phi')^2 + \frac{d}{dX} (\cos \Phi) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow (1 - \beta^2) \frac{1}{2} (\Phi')^2 + \cos \Phi &= \text{const} \\ \Rightarrow (1 - \beta^2) \frac{1}{2} (\Phi')^2 - 2 \sin^2 \Phi / 2 &= \text{const}\end{aligned}$$

For vanishing constant on the right side

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi' &= \pm \frac{2 \sin \Phi / 2}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \Rightarrow \frac{d\Phi}{\sin \Phi / 2} = \pm \frac{2dX}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \\ \Rightarrow \pm 4\gamma dX &= \frac{d\Phi}{\sin \frac{1}{4} \Phi \cos \frac{1}{4} \Phi} = \frac{\sin \frac{1}{4} \Phi d\Phi}{(1 - \cos^2 \frac{1}{4} \Phi) \cos \frac{1}{4} \Phi} = -4d\mu \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu^2} \right) \\ \Rightarrow \pm \gamma(X - X_0) &= -\ln \left(\frac{\mu}{\pm \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}} \right) + \text{const.} = -\ln \left(\frac{\cos \Phi / 4}{\pm \sin \Phi / 4} \right) + \text{const.}\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$Ae^{\pm \gamma(X - X_0)} = \pm \tan(\Phi/4).$$

As $X \rightarrow -\infty$, $\Phi \rightarrow 0$, and as $X \rightarrow \infty$, $\Phi \rightarrow 2\pi$. Thus there is a step change in Φ that moves at speed βc .

8.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T}_\nu^\mu &= -\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\partial_\mu\phi}\partial_\nu\phi - \mathcal{L}\delta_\nu^\mu\right) \\ &= \partial^\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_\alpha\phi\partial^\alpha\phi + V\right)\delta_\nu^\mu\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by $\eta^{\nu 0}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{T}^{00} &= -\hat{T}_0^0 = -\partial^0\phi\partial_0\phi + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_0\phi\partial^0\phi + \partial_i\phi\partial^i\phi + V) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial^0\phi\partial_0\phi + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi|^2 + V = \frac{1}{2}[(\partial_0\phi)^2 + |\nabla\phi|^2] + V.\end{aligned}$$

9. $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta}\psi \Rightarrow \bar{\psi} = \psi^*\gamma^0 \rightarrow e^{-i\theta}\bar{\psi}$, so $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow e^{-i\theta}\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu(e^{i\theta}\psi) - m\bar{\psi}\psi$. If θ is not a function of \mathbf{x} , new \mathcal{L} is the old \mathcal{L} . The current is

$$j^\mu = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}}\delta\bar{\psi} + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\partial_\mu\psi}\delta\psi.$$

But

$$\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial(\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}) = 0 \quad ; \quad \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial(\partial_\mu\psi) = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \delta\psi = i\theta\psi,$$

so $j^\mu = i\theta\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$.

10. In vacuo Maxwell's equations are

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial\mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$

Adding ic times the B eqns to the E eqns we obtain $0 = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B}) = \nabla \cdot \psi$ and

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{i\mathbf{E}}{c} - \mathbf{B} \right) = \frac{i}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -ic\nabla \times \psi.$$

The energy density is $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0 E^2 + \frac{1}{2}B^2/\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0|\psi|^2$. The Poynting vector is

$$\mathbf{N} = \frac{1}{\mu_0}\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{(\mathbf{E} - ic\mathbf{B}) \times (\mathbf{E} + ic\mathbf{B})}{2ic\mu_0}$$

as required. If

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{\pm i(kz - \omega t)}$$

then using the reality of \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B}

$$\begin{aligned}E_x + icB_x = e^{\pm i(kz - \omega t)} &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} E_x = \cos(kz - \omega t) \\ B_x = \pm \frac{1}{c} \sin(kz - \omega t) \end{cases} \\ E_y + icB_y = ie^{\pm i(kz - \omega t)} &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} E_y = \mp \sin(kz - \omega t) \\ B_y = \frac{1}{c} \cos(kz - \omega t) \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

so the field has \mathbf{E} rotating in the xy plane and is a circularly polarized wave.

The Dirac equation is $i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - m\psi = 0$. Our equation contains only derivatives so it's analogous to the Dirac equation only for $m = 0$. Also $\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu$ is a different linear combination of derivatives than

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + ic\nabla \times$$

but is otherwise similar.