1.) The Lagrangian for a free complex scalar field reads
\[ \mathcal{L}_\varphi = (\partial_\mu \varphi^*) (\partial^\mu \varphi) - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi. \]
You know that this Lagrangian is invariant under a global \( U(1) \) transformation,
\[ \varphi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \varphi. \]
a) Does it stay invariant, if this symmetry gets promoted to a local symmetry, that is,
\[ \alpha \rightarrow e^{\alpha(x)}, \] where \( e \) is just a universal constant and \( \alpha(x) \) a function of space-time?
b) If you now add a vector field \( A_\mu \) to the Lagrangian with a coupling
\[ \mathcal{L}_{A\varphi} = i\lambda \left[ \varphi^* (\partial_\mu \varphi) - \varphi (\partial_\mu \varphi^*) \right] A^\mu + \lambda^2 \left( A_\mu \varphi^* \right) (A^\mu \varphi), \]
how does the vector field have to transform under the local \( U(1) \), if the Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}_\varphi + \mathcal{L}_{A\varphi} \) should remain invariant under phase redefinitions and what is the coupling constant \( \lambda \)?
c) Compute the Noether current for this local symmetry. Add a kinetic term for the vector field to the Lagrangian,
\[ \mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \]
and derive the equations of motion for the field \( A_\mu \) considering the full Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L}_\varphi + \mathcal{L}_{A\varphi} + \mathcal{L}_A \).

2.) Consider a scalar field \( \Phi \) with a Lagrangian density
\[ \mathcal{L} = (\partial_\mu \Phi^\dagger) (\partial^\mu \Phi) - m^2 \Phi^\dagger \Phi - \lambda (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^2, \]
that possesses a global \( SU(2) \) symmetry. Assume \( m^2 < 0 \) and \( \lambda > 0 \) in what follows.
a) Show that the \( SU(2) \) Lie algebra consists of all complex, hermitian \( 2 \times 2 \) matrices with vanishing trace.
b) Give a basis, \( \tau^i \), for the Lie algebra of \( SU(2) \). What is the dimension of this Lie algebra? Calculate the associated structure constants.
(Hint: Given a set of generators \( T^a \), the structure constants \( f^{abc} \) express the Lie brackets of pairs of generators as linear combinations of generators from the set, i.e. \( [T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c. \))
c) Assume that the field \( \Phi \) transforms under the fundamental representation of \( SU(2) \) and that it acquires a vacuum expectation value of the form \( \langle \Phi \rangle = (0, v)^T \) with \( v \neq 0 \). Compute \( v^2 \) in terms of \( m^2 \) and \( \lambda \) and find the sets of broken and unbroken \( SU(2) \) generators. How many Goldstone modes do you expect for the symmetry breaking under consideration?
d) The global \( SU(2) \) is now promoted to a gauge symmetry. Write down a minimal coupling between the gauge fields \( A^i_\mu \) and the fundamental \( SU(2) \) scalar \( \Phi \) and calculate the masses of the gauge bosons that result from this Higgs mechanism.
(Hint: For \( SU(N) \) the original set of complex \( N \times N \) matrices corresponds to the fundamental representation.)
e) Repeat the analysis you have performed in (d) now assuming that \( \Phi \) transforms under the adjoint representation, i.e. the representation the gauge fields \( A^i_\mu \) belong to. Explain
briefly similarities and differences between this model of electroweak symmetry breaking and the one actually present in the Standard Model of particle physics.

(Hint: In the adjoint representation the generators are given by \((T^a)^{bc} = -if^{abc}\).)

3.) Consider a complex scalar field \(\varphi\) transforming as \(\varphi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)}\varphi(x) = V(x)\varphi(x)\) under a local \(U(1)\) symmetry. Any field theory should contain a kinetic term of the form \((\partial_\mu \varphi^*) (\partial^\mu \varphi)\), where the derivative of \(\varphi\) in the direction \(n^\mu\) is defined by the limiting procedure

\[
n^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\varphi(x + \epsilon n) - \varphi(x)].
\]

a) Why is the above definition of the derivative impractical in the presence of the \(U(1)\) gauge symmetry? Try to construct a sensible derivative, the covariant derivative \(D_\mu\), by introducing a comparator \(U(y, x)\) with the following properties: \(U(y, x)\) is identical to 1 for zero separation and required to be a pure phase \(U(y, x) = \exp(i\phi(y, x))\). It transforms as

\[
U(y, x) = V(y)U(y, x)V^*(x),
\]

under the \(U(1)\) symmetry.

b) By considering infinitesimal separated points find an explicit expression for \(D_\mu\) involving a gauge connection (or field) \(A_\mu(x)\). How do \(A_\mu\) and \(D_\mu \varphi\) transform under infinitesimal \(U(1)\) transformations? Write down a gauge-invariant kinetic term for \(\varphi\).

c) To complete the construction of a locally invariant Lagrangian, we must also find a kinetic term for the gauge field \(A_\mu\). Such a term can, for example, be found by considering comparisons around a small square in space-time in the (1, 2) plane, namely

\[
U(x) = U(x, x + \epsilon \hat{\imath})U(x + \epsilon \hat{\jmath}, x + \epsilon \hat{\imath} + \epsilon \hat{\jmath})U(x + \epsilon \hat{\imath} + \epsilon \hat{\jmath}, x + \epsilon \hat{\imath} + \epsilon \hat{\jmath}).
\]

Here \(\hat{\imath}\) and \(\hat{\jmath}\) denote unit vectors in the 1- and 2-direction, respectively. How does \(U(x)\) transform under the \(U(1)\) symmetry? By expanding \(U(x)\) to second power in \(\epsilon\), show that \(\partial_\imath A_2 - \partial_\jmath A_1\) or more generically

\[
F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu,
\]

is locally gauge invariant. What is the gauge-invariant kinetic term associated to \(A_\mu\)?

(Hint: To complete this step use that \(U(x + \epsilon n, x) = \exp(-i\epsilon n^\mu A_\mu(x + \epsilon n/2) + O(\epsilon^3))\) after verifying that this result is correct.)

d) How does \([D_\mu, D_\nu]\varphi\) transform under the \(U(1)\) symmetry? Find a connection between the commutator \([D_\mu, D_\nu]\) and the field-strength tensor \(F_{\mu\nu}\).

e) Try to generalise the results of a), b), c) and d) to the case where the field \(\varphi\) transforms under a local \(SU(2)\) symmetry, that is, \(\varphi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)}\varphi(x) = V(x)\varphi(x)\) with \(\tau_1 = \sigma_1/2\) and \(\sigma_i\) the usual Pauli matrices \((i = 1, 2, 3)\). Comment on similarities and differences in the derivations and final results.

4.) Consider three real, free scalar fields \(\phi_a\) with the same mass \(m\) and Lagrangian density \(\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^3 (\partial_\mu \phi_a \partial^\mu \phi_a - m^2 \phi_a^2)\).

a) Show that this theory has an \(SO(3)\) symmetry and derive the Noether currents and charges associated to this symmetry.
b) Generalising the results for a single real scalar field,
\begin{align*}
\phi(x) &= \int d^3k \left( a(k)e^{-ikx} + a^\dagger(k)e^{ikx} \right) , \\
\pi(x) &= -i \int d^3k \omega_k \left( a(k)e^{-ikx} - a^\dagger(k)e^{ikx} \right),
\end{align*}

quantise this theory using the equal-time commutation relations
\[ [\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \phi(t, \mathbf{y})] = [\pi(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi(t, \mathbf{y})] = 0 , \quad [\pi(t, \mathbf{x}), \phi(t, \mathbf{y})] = -i\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \]

Here \( d^3k = d^3k/(2\pi)^31/(2\omega_k) \) with \( \omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} \), \( k_\mu = (\omega_k, \mathbf{k}) \), and \( a(k) (a^\dagger(k)) \) annihilate (create) single particle states with momentum \( k \). Find the conjugate momenta, write down canonical commutation relations, expand the fields in terms of creation and annihilation operators, work out the commutation relations for \( a_a(k) \) and \( a_a^\dagger(k) \) and use the creation operators to construct the Fock space.

c) Find expressions for the conserved Noether charges in terms of creation and annihilation operators and use these expressions to verify that the Noether charges form an SO(3) algebra.

d) Compute the action of the Noether charges on one-particle states and show that the one-particle states with a given four-momentum \( k \) form a representation of SO(3). What is the ”spin” of this representation?

5.) Let’s be adventurous and consider a field theory in two dimensions, with coordinates \( (\sigma_\mu) = (\tau, \sigma) \), where \( \mu, \nu, \cdots = 0, 1 \) and a collection of real scalar fields \( X^a = X^a(\tau, \sigma) \), where \( a, b, \cdots = 0, \ldots, n-1 \). The Lagrangian density is given by \( L = -\frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial_\mu X^a \partial^\mu X^b \) with associated action \( S = \int d^2\sigma L \). Two-dimensional indices \( \mu, \nu \cdots \) are lowered and raised with the two-dimensional Minkowski metric \( (\eta_{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}(-1, 1) \) and its inverse. We take the metric \( g_{ab} \) to be the Minkowski metric in \( n \) dimensions, that is, \( (g_{ab}) = \text{diag}(-1, 1, \ldots, 1) \). Also, we assume that the fields \( X^a \) are periodic with period \( l = 2\pi \) in the spatial coordinate \( \sigma \), so that \( X^a(\tau, \sigma) = X^a(\tau, \sigma + l) \).

a) Derive the equations of motion for \( X^a \) using the variational principle.

b) Introduce ”light-cone” coordinates \( \sigma^\pm = \tau \pm \sigma \) and show that the equations of motion can be written in the form
\[ \frac{\partial^2 X^a}{\partial \sigma^+ \partial \sigma^-} = 0 . \]

c) Show that the most general solution to this equation can be written as \( X^a(\tau, \sigma) = X^a_\pm(\sigma^-) + X^a_\mp(\sigma^+) \) with
\[ X^a_\pm(\sigma^\pm) = \frac{1}{2}x^a + p^a \sigma^\pm + \sum_{n \neq 0} \alpha^a_{\pm n} e^{-i\omega_n \sigma^\pm}, \]

where \( x^a, p^a \) are real constants and \( \alpha^a_{\pm n} \) are complex constants with \( \alpha^a_{\pm n} = (\alpha^a_{\mp n})^* \).

d) Determine the conjugate momenta for \( X^a \) and quantise the theory by imposing canonical commutation relations. Find the commutation relations for the operators \( x^a, p^a \) and \( \alpha^a_{\pm n} \) in the above expansion such that the canonical commutation relations are satisfied.

e) Show that \( \alpha^a_{\pm n} \) with \( n < 0 \) (\( n > 0 \)) can be interpreted as creation (annihilation) operators and construct the Fock space. Then verify that the states \( \alpha^a_{\pm n}|0\rangle \) for \( n < 0 \) have negative norm. Speculate on what might have gone wrong.