Frontiers of Theoretical High Energy Physics

Andre Lukas (updated by Andrei Starinets)



At the Second International Congress of Mathematics in Paris on August 8, 1900, David Hilbert offered a list of 10 major problems of mathematics (later extended to 23 or 24)



David Hilbert (1862-1943)

1. Cantor's problem of the cardinal number of the continuum.

2. The compatibility of the axioms of arithmetic.

3. Give two tetrahedra that cannot be decomposed into congruent tetrahedra directly or by adjoining congruent tetrahedra.

4. Find geometries whose axioms are closest to those of Euclidean geometry if the ordering and incidence axioms are retained, the congruence axioms weakened, and the equivalent of the parallel postulate omitted.

5. Can the assumption of differentiability for functions defining a continuous transformation group be avoided?

6. Can physics be axiomatised?

7. Let $\alpha \neq 1 \neq 0$ be algebraic and β irrational. Is α^{β} then transcendental?

8. Prove the Riemann hypothesis.

9.

Frontiers of knowledge: a pessimistic paradigm





Incompleteness theorem(s) (Kurt Gödel, 1931)

For any computable axiomatic system that is powerful enough to describe the arithmetic of the natural numbers:

1) If the system is consistent, it cannot be complete.

2) The consistency of the axioms cannot be proved within the system.



(u-v)(u-u+v+v-)=u-v+v-1 $log_{b}(x^{n}) = nlog_{b}(x^{n})$ Mathematics $(x^{a})^{b} = x^{ab}$ $h/2(b_{1}+b_{2})$ $h/2(b_{1}+b_{2})$ The Loss of Certainty $sin^{2}(x) + cos^{2}(x) = 1$ Morris Kline $(u-v)^{2} = u^{2}$

Morris Kline

Frontiers of knowledge: an optimistic paradigm





"The electron is as *inexhaustible* as the atom, nature is infinite, but it *exists* infinitely..." V. I. Lenin, "Materialism and Empirio-Criticism" (1908)

Modern (2015), popular and honest account of the current state of affairs in string theory





Standard model and gravity: fields

Standard model and gravity: fields

Gauge group: SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1)x(gravity)

Standard model and gravity: fields Gauge group: $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \times (gravity)$ gauge bosons: G_{μ} A_{μ} B_{μ} $g_{\mu\nu}$

Standard model and gravity: fields Gauge group: $SU(3)\times SU(2)\times U(1)\times (gravity)$ gauge bosons: G_{μ} A_{μ} B_{μ} $g_{\mu\nu}$

leptons: $l^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L}^{i} \\ e_{L}^{i} \end{pmatrix}_{(-1)} \quad (e_{R}^{i})_{(-2)}$

Standard model and gravity: fields gauge bosons: G_{μ} A_{μ} B_{μ} $g_{\mu\nu}$ leptons: $l^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L}^{i} \\ e_{L}^{i} \end{pmatrix}_{(-1)} \quad (e_{R}^{i})_{(-2)}$

quarks: $q^{i} = \begin{array}{c} u_{L}^{i} \\ d_{L}^{i} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (u_{R}^{i})_{(4/3)} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (d_{R}^{i})_{(-2/3)} \end{array}$

Standard model and gravity: fields gauge bosons: G_{μ} A_{μ} B_{μ} $g_{\mu\nu}$ leptons: $l^i = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L^i \\ e_L^i \end{pmatrix}_{(-1)} \qquad (e_R^i)_{(-2)}$ quarks: $q^{i} = \begin{array}{c} u'_{L} \\ d'_{L} \\ (1/3) \end{array} \quad (u'_{R})_{(4/3)} \qquad (d'_{R})_{(-2/3)}$ Higgs: $H = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ H^0 \end{pmatrix}_{(1)}$

$$S_{\rm SM} = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \, \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right. \\ \left. + \lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^{i} H e_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^{i} \tilde{H} u_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^{i} H d_{R}^{j} \right. \\ \left. + \left(D_{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} H) - \mu^{2} H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^{2} \right\}$$

$$S_{\rm SM} = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \, \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right. \\ \left. + \lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^{i} H e_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^{i} \tilde{H} u_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^{i} H d_{R}^{j} \right. \\ \left. + \left(D_{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} H) - \mu^{2} H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^{2} \right\}$$

$$S_{\rm GR} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R$$

$$S_{\rm SM} = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \, \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right. \\ \left. + \lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^{i} H e_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^{i} \tilde{H} u_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^{i} H d_{R}^{j} \right. \\ \left. + \left(D_{\mu} H \right)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} H) - \mu^{2} H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^{2} \right\}$$

$$S_{\rm GR} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R$$

$$D_{\mu}\psi = \left(\partial_{\mu} - ig_3 T_{\psi}^{(3)} \cdot G_{\mu} - ig_2 T_{\psi}^{(2)} \cdot A_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y_{\psi}}{2} B_{\mu}\right)\psi$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}G_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G_{\mu} - ig_3[G_{\mu}, G_{\nu}] + \cdots$$

$$S = S_{\rm SM} + S_{\rm GR}$$

Flectromagnetism

$$S_{\rm SM} = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right\}$$
$$+ \lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^{i} H e_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^{i} \tilde{H} u_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^{i} H d_{R}^{j}$$
$$+ (D_{\mu} H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} H) - \mu^{2} H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^{2} \right\}$$

$$S_{\rm GR} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R$$

$$D_{\mu}\psi = \left(\partial_{\mu} - ig_3 T_{\psi}^{(3)} \cdot G_{\mu} - ig_2 T_{\psi}^{(2)} \cdot A_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y_{\psi}}{2} B_{\mu}\right)\psi$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}G_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G_{\mu} - ig_3[G_{\mu}, G_{\nu}] + \cdots$$

$$S = S_{\rm SM} + S_{\rm GR}$$

 S_{s}

Felectromagnetism

$$SM = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right\}$$
$$+ \lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^{i} H e_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^{i} \tilde{H} u_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^{i} H d_{R}^{j}$$
$$+ (D_{\mu} H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} H) - \mu^{2} H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^{2} \right\}$$

$$S_{\rm GR} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R$$



$$D_{\mu}\psi = \left(\partial_{\mu} - ig_3 T_{\psi}^{(3)} \cdot G_{\mu} - ig_2 T_{\psi}^{(2)} \cdot A_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y_{\psi}}{2} B_{\mu}\right)\psi$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}G_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G_{\mu} - ig_3[G_{\mu}, G_{\nu}] + \cdots$$

 $S = S_{\rm SM} + S_{\rm GR}$

Electromagnetism

$$S_{\rm SM} = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \, \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right\}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Fermion masses} \leftarrow & \left\{ +\lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^i H e_R^j + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^i \tilde{H} u_R^j + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^i H d_R^j \right. \\ & \left. + (D_\mu H)^\dagger (D^\mu H) - \mu^2 H^\dagger H + \lambda (H^\dagger H)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$

$$S_{\rm GR} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R$$



$$D_{\mu}\psi = \left(\partial_{\mu} - ig_3 T_{\psi}^{(3)} \cdot G_{\mu} - ig_2 T_{\psi}^{(2)} \cdot A_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y_{\psi}}{2} B_{\mu}\right)\psi$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}G_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G_{\mu} - ig_3[G_{\mu}, G_{\nu}] + \cdots$$

 $S = S_{\rm SM} + S_{\rm GR}$

Electromagnetism

$$S_{\rm SM} = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \, \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right\}$$

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Fermion masses} \leftarrow & \left\{ +\lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^i H e_R^j + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^i \tilde{H} u_R^j + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^i H d_R^j \right. \\ & \left. + (D_\mu H)^\dagger (D^\mu H) - \mu^2 H^\dagger H + \lambda (H^\dagger H)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$

$$S_{\rm GR} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R$$



 $G, \overline{A}, \overline{B}$

$$D_{\mu}\psi = \left(\partial_{\mu} - ig_3 T_{\psi}^{(3)} \cdot G_{\mu} - ig_2 T_{\psi}^{(2)} \cdot A_{\mu} - ig' \frac{Y_{\psi}}{2} B_{\mu}\right)\psi$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}G_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G_{\mu} - ig_3[G_{\mu}, G_{\nu}] + \cdots$$

 $S = S_{\rm SM} + S_{\rm GR}$ Electromagnetism $S_{\rm SM} = \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \, \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right\}$ Fermion masses $+ \lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^{i} H e_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^{i} \tilde{H} u_{R}^{j} + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^{i} H d_{R}^{j}$

 $+ (D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}H) - \mu^2 H^{\dagger}H + \lambda (H^{\dagger}H)^2 \}.$

$$S_{\rm GR} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} R$$



$$D_{\mu}\psi = \left(\partial_{\mu} + ig_{3}T_{\psi}^{(3)} \cdot G_{\mu} - ig_{2}T_{\psi}^{(2)} \cdot A_{\mu} - ig'\frac{Y_{\psi}}{2}B_{\mu}\right)\psi$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}G_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G_{\mu} - ig_{3}[G_{\mu}, G_{\nu}] + \cdots$$

$$G_{G,A,B} \qquad \psi$$

$$\begin{split} S &= S_{\rm SM} + S_{\rm GR} \\ S_{\rm SM} &= \int \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \Sigma_{\psi} \, \bar{\psi} i \gamma^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{tr} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right) / 4 \right\} \\ \mathsf{Fermion\ masses} \leftarrow + \lambda_{ij}^{(e)} \bar{l}^i H e_R^j + \lambda_{ij}^{(u)} \bar{q}^i \tilde{H} u_R^j + \lambda_{ij}^{(d)} \bar{q}^i H d_R^j \\ &+ (D_{\mu} H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} H) - \mu^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda (H^{\dagger} H)^2 \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$S_{\rm GR} = \left(\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int\sqrt{-g}R\right) \longrightarrow C$$

Astrophysics Cosmology



$$D_{\mu}\psi = \left(\partial_{\mu} - ig_{3}T_{\psi}^{(3)} \cdot G_{\mu} - ig_{2}T_{\psi}^{(2)} \cdot A_{\mu} - ig'\frac{Y_{\psi}}{2}B_{\mu}\right)\psi$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}G_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}G_{\mu} - ig_{3}[G_{\mu}, G_{\nu}] + \cdots$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$G, A, B$$

Indications from experiment and cosmology

Indications from experiment and cosmology

Neutrino masses vanish in standard model, but non-zero from experiment -> introduce right-handed neutrinos ν_R^i , see-saw...

Indications from experiment and cosmology

Neutrino masses vanish in standard model, but non-zero from experiment -> introduce right-handed neutrinos ν_R^i , see-saw...

Baryon asymmetry cannot be generated within the standard model -> leptogenesis, GUTs,...

Indications from experiment and cosmology

Neutrino masses vanish in standard model, but non-zero from experiment -> introduce right-handed neutrinos ν_R^i , see-saw...

Baryon asymmetry cannot be generated within the standard model -> leptogenesis, GUTs,...

No standard model dark matter candidate -> supersymmetry, axions,...

Indications from experiment and cosmology

Neutrino masses vanish in standard model, but non-zero from experiment -> introduce right-handed neutrinos ν_R^i , see-saw...

Baryon asymmetry cannot be generated within the standard model -> leptogenesis, GUTs,...

No standard model dark matter candidate -> supersymmetry, axions,...

No candidate inflaton in the standard model -> additional scalar fields,...

We Hierarchy problem: electroweak scale $v = \sqrt{\mu^2/\lambda} \sim 250 \, \text{GeV}$ versus Planck scale $M_P = 1/\sqrt{G} \sim 10^{19} \, \text{GeV}$ -> supersymmetry

We Hierarchy problem: electroweak scale $v = \sqrt{\mu^2/\lambda} \sim 250 \, \text{GeV}$ versus Planck scale $M_P = 1/\sqrt{G} \sim 10^{19} \, \text{GeV}$ -> supersymmetry

Solution Values of gauge couplings $g_3, g_2, g' \rightarrow$ GUTs,...

We Hierarchy problem: electroweak scale $v = \sqrt{\mu^2}/\lambda \sim 250 \, {\rm GeV}$ versus Planck scale $M_P = 1/\sqrt{G} \sim 10^{19} \, {\rm GeV}$ -> supersymmetry

The values of gauge couplings $g_3, g_2, g' \rightarrow$ GUTs,...

 ${\it \oslash}$ Yukawa couplings λ_{ij} and fermion masses -> family symmetries, GUTs,...

We Hierarchy problem: electroweak scale $v = \sqrt{\mu^2}/\lambda \sim 250 \, {\rm GeV}$ versus Planck scale $M_P = 1/\sqrt{G} \sim 10^{19} \, {\rm GeV}$ -> supersymmetry

The values of gauge couplings $g_3, g_2, g' \rightarrow$ GUTs,...

Yukawa couplings λ_{ij} and fermion masses -> family symmetries, GUTs,...

Why are there three families?

We Hierarchy problem: electroweak scale $v = \sqrt{\mu^2/\lambda} \sim 250 \, {\rm GeV}$ versus Planck scale $M_P = 1/\sqrt{G} \sim 10^{19} \, {\rm GeV}$ -> supersymmetry

Solution Values of gauge couplings $g_3, g_2, g' \rightarrow$ GUTs,...

So Yukawa couplings λ_{ij} and fermion masses -> family symmetries, GUTs,...

Why are there three families?

Standard model is a quantum theory. What about quantum gravity?

Could gravity be just a classical theory?
Could gravity be just a classical theory?

Consider Einstein equation : $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta S_{\rm SM}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$ Could gravity be just a classical theory?

Consider Einstein equation : $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta S_{\rm SM}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$

The RHS is a quantum object, so it seems reasonable to expect the LHS should ultimately be too.

Could gravity be just a classical theory?

Consider Einstein equation : $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ $T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta S_{\rm SM}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}$

The RHS is a quantum object, so it seems reasonable to expect the LHS should ultimately be too.

Attempts to quantise gravity by standard quantum field theory methods run into serious problems.

Q: What do we mean by a fundamental theory?

Q: What do we mean by a fundamental theory?

A : A consistent theory which contains only one adjustable parameter and reduces to the standard model and gravity in the appropriate (low-energy) limit.

Q: What do we mean by a fundamental theory?

A : A consistent theory which contains only one adjustable parameter and reduces to the standard model and gravity in the appropriate (low-energy) limit.

Currently, string/M-theory is the only known theory with a chance of satisfying this "definition" of a fundamental theory.

Warm-up: world-line of a relativistic particle

Warm-up: world-line of a relativistic particle



Warm-up: world-line of a relativistic particle



World-line action :

$$S = -m \int d\tau \sqrt{-\frac{dX^{\mu}}{d\tau} \frac{dX^{\nu}}{d\tau}} \eta_{\mu\nu} \longrightarrow \frac{d^2 X^{\mu}}{d\tau^2}} = 0$$

closed string

 $X^{\mu} = X^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma)$

open string



World-sheet action:

$$S = -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{-\det\left(\frac{\partial X^{\mu}}{\partial\sigma^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial X^{\nu}}{\partial\sigma^{\beta}}\eta_{\mu\nu}\right)}$$

 $X^{\mu} = X^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma)$

 \bigcirc



 $\left(\right)$



Spectrum: $\alpha' m^2 = n \in \mathbb{Z} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} n = 0 & \rightarrow & \text{observed particles} \\ n \neq 0 & \rightarrow & \text{supermassive} \end{array} \right.$



Spectrum: $\alpha' m^2 = n \in \mathbb{Z} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{observed particles} \\ n \neq 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{supermassive} \end{array} \right.$

Further reading: Barton Zwiebach, "A first course in string theory"

There are five types of string theory (IIA, IIB, I, two heterotic)

There are five types of string theory (IIA, IIB, I, two heterotic)

All five string theories are only consistent in 10 space-time dimensions

There are five types of string theory (IIA, IIB, I, two heterotic)

All five string theories are only consistent in 10 space-time dimensions

String theory contains (quantum) gravity and (something close to) the (MS)SM

There are five types of string theory (IIA, IIB, I, two heterotic)

All five string theories are only consistent in 10 space-time dimensions

String theory contains (quantum) gravity and (something close to) the (MS)SM

It also contains most other ideas beyond the standard model (SUSY, GUTs, additional scalars,...)

There are five types of string theory (IIA, IIB, I, two heterotic)

All five string theories are only consistent in 10 space-time dimensions

String theory contains (quantum) gravity and (something close to) the (MS)SM

It also contains most other ideas beyond the standard model (SUSY, GUTs, additional scalars,...)

It is hard!

There are five types of string theory (IIA, IIB, I, two heterotic)

All five string theories are only consistent in 10 space-time dimensions

String theory contains (quantum) gravity and (something close to) the (MS)SM

It also contains most other ideas beyond the standard model (SUSY, GUTs, additional scalars,...)

It is hard!



d=10 (IIb) string theory on S^5 to 5 (=4+1) dimensions:

d=10 (IIb) string theory on S^5 to 5 (=4+1) dimensions:

leads to 5-dim. theory with negative cosmological constant -> d=5 anti de Sitter space (AdS_5)

d=10 (IIb) string theory on S^5 to 5 (=4+1) dimensions:

leads to 5-dim. theory with negative cosmological constant -> d=5 anti de Sitter space (AdS_5)

This d=10 string theory is equivalent to a 4-dim. gauge theory on the 4-dim. boundary of AdS_5 !

d=10 (IIb) string theory on S^5 to 5 (=4+1) dimensions:

leads to 5-dim. theory with negative cosmological constant \rightarrow d=5 anti de Sitter space (AdS_5)

This d=10 string theory is equivalent to a 4-dim. gauge theory on the 4-dim. boundary of AdS_5 !

A 10-dim. theory of strings is equivalent to a 4dim. gauge theory not unlike QCD!

Non-perturbative physics: a simple example physics of heavy ion collisions



Heavy ion collision experiments at RHIC (2000-current) and LHC (2010-??) create hot and dense nuclear matter known as the "quark-gluon plasma"

(note: qualitative difference between p-p and Au-Au collisions)

Evolution of the plasma "fireball" is described by relativistic fluid dynamics (relativistic Navier-Stokes equations)

Need to know

thermodynamics (equation of state) kinetics (first- and second-order transport coefficients) in the regime of intermediate coupling strength:

$\alpha_s(T_{ m RHIC}) \sim O(1)$

initial conditions (initial energy density profile)
 thermalization time (start of hydro evolution)
 freeze-out conditions (end of hydro evolution)

Energy density vs temperature for various gauge theories



Figure: an artistic impression from Myers and Vazquez, 0804.2423 [hep-th]

Pressure in perturbative QCD



QCD phase diagram



Quantum field theories at finite temperature/density



perturbative non-perturbative pQCD Lattice perturbative non-perturbative kinetic theory ????
First-order transport (kinetic) coefficients

Shear viscosity η

Bulk viscosity ζ

Charge diffusion constant D_Q

Supercharge diffusion constant D_s

Thermal conductivity κ_T

Electrical conductivity σ

* Expect Einstein relations such as

$$\frac{\partial}{e^2 \equiv} = D_{U(1)}$$
 to hold

Hydrodynamic properties of strongly interacting hot plasmas in 4 dimensions

can be related (for certain models!)



to fluctuations and dynamics of 5-dimensional black holes



From brane dynamics to AdS/CFT correspondence



Open strings picture: dynamics of N_c coincident D3 branes at low energy is described by Closed strings picture: dynamics of N_c coincident D3 branes at low energy is described by

 $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric $SU(N_c)$ YM theory in 4 dim



type IIB superstring theory

on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ backgroud

conjectured exact equivalence

Maldacena (1997); Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov (1998); Witten (1998)

The bulk and the boundary in AdS/CFT correspondence

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\eta_{\mu\nu} \, dx^{\mu} \, dx^{\nu} + dz^{2}}{z^{2}}$$

 $z \to \Lambda z \quad x \to \Lambda x$ UV/IR: the AdS metric is invariant under z plays a role of inverse energy scale in 4D theory Ζ strings **5D bulk** supergravity field (+5 internal dimensions) () 4D boundary

AdS/CFT correspondence

 $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric $SU(N_c)$ YM theory in 4 dim



type IIB superstring theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ backgroud

conjectured exact equivalence

$$Z_{\text{SYM}}[J] = \langle e^{-\int J \mathcal{O} d^4 x} \rangle_{\text{SYM}} = Z_{\text{string}}[J]$$

Generating functional for correlation functions of gauge-invariant operators

 $\langle \mathcal{O} \ \mathcal{O} \ \cdots \mathcal{O} \rangle$

 $\langle \rangle$

String partition function

In particular

$$\begin{split} Z_{\text{SYM}}[J] &= Z_{\text{string}}[J] \simeq e^{-S_{\text{grav}}[J]} \\ \lambda &\equiv g_{YM}^2 \, N_c \gg 1 \\ N_c \gg 1 \end{split}$$

Classical gravity action serves as a generating functional for the gauge theory correlators

Sound and supersymmetric sound in $4d \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

In 4d CFT	$v_s = \sqrt{\frac{\partial P}{\partial \epsilon}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$
$\epsilon = 3 P$	\implies $P = 1$
$\zeta = 0$	$v_{SS} = \frac{r}{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{3}$
Sound mode:	$\omega = \pm \frac{q}{\sqrt{3}} - i \frac{2\eta}{3sT} q^2 + \cdots$
Supersound mode:	$\omega = \pm \frac{q}{3} - iD_s q^2 + \cdots$
Quasinormal modes in dual gravity	
Graviton: $\omega = \pm \frac{q}{c} - i$	$\frac{1}{1}q^2 + \cdots \implies \frac{\eta}{1} = \frac{1}{1}$

 $6\pi T^{4}$

 4π

 $D_s = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{2}}$

 $\sqrt{3}$

 $\omega = \pm \frac{q}{3} - i \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9\pi T} q^2 + \cdots$

Gravitino:

Energy and Momentum Density



Shear viscosity in N = 4 SYM



Correction to $1/4\pi$: Buchel, Liu, A.S., hep-th/0406264 Buchel, 0805.2683 [hep-th]; Myers, Paulos, Sinha, 0806.2156 [hep-th]

A viscosity bound conjecture

$$\frac{\eta}{s} \ge \frac{\hbar}{4\pi k_B} \approx 6.08 \cdot 10^{-13} \, K \cdot s$$



P.Kovtun, D.Son, A.S., hep-th/0309213, hep-th/0405231



QCD



Chernai, Kapusta, McLerran, nucl-th/0604032

Helium



Chernai, Kapusta, McLerran, nucl-th/0604032

First-order transport coefficients in N = 4 SYM in the limit $N_c \rightarrow \infty$, $g_{YM}^2 N_c \rightarrow \infty$

Shear viscosity $\eta = \frac{\pi}{8} N_c^2 T^3 \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(g^2 N_c)^{3/2}}, \frac{1}{N_c^2}\right) \right]$

Bulk viscosity $\zeta = 0$ for non-conformal theories see
Buchel et al; G.D.Moore et al
Gubser et al.Charge diffusion constant $D_R = \frac{1}{2\pi T} + \cdots$ Gubser et al.

Supercharge diffusion constant

$$D_s = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9\pi T}$$

Thermal conductivity

$$\frac{\kappa_T \ \mu^2}{\eta \ T} = 8\pi^2 + \cdots$$

Electrical conductivity

$$\sigma = e^2 \frac{N_c^2 T}{16 \pi} + \cdots$$

All five string theories are related and part of a single theory : M-theory

All five string theories are related and part of a single theory : M-theory



All five string theories are related and part of a single theory : M-theory



Branes

M-theory contains not just strings but extended objects (p-branes) of all dimensions !

Branes

M-theory contains not just strings but extended objects (p-branes) of all dimensions !

p-brane SM d=10/11 bulk gravity Branes

M-theory contains not just strings but extended objects (p-branes) of all dimensions !



bulk -> gravity (closed strings)
brane -> gauge theories (open strings)

Need to compactify six or seven dimensions to obtain d=4 theory :

Need to compactify six or seven dimensions to obtain d=4 theory :



on d=6/7 dimensional space X

d=4 theory

Need to compactify six or seven dimensions to obtain d=4 theory :



Two-fold degeneracy in space X: continuous one in size and shape (moduli), discrete one topology

Need to compactify six or seven dimensions to obtain d=4 theory :



Two-fold degeneracy in space X: continuous one in size and shape (moduli), discrete one topology

But d=4 theory depends on space X ...











different moduli :

different moduli :



different moduli :



different moduli :



different topology :
different moduli :





different topology :



different moduli :





different topology :





different moduli :





different topology :





topology: determines structure of d=4 theory

different moduli :





different topology :





topology: determines structure of d=4 theory

O moduli: determine values of coupling constants in d=4

different moduli :





different topology :





topology: determines structure of d=4 theory

O moduli: determine values of coupling constants in d=4

-> many different four-dimensional theories

We now have a better understanding of how to fix moduli.

We now have a better understanding of how to fix moduli.
-> We can calculate couplings and masses for a given topology.

We now have a better understanding of how to fix moduli. -> We can calculate couplings and masses for a given topology.

Problem of many different topologies remains

How do we know whether it is correct?

How do we know whether it is correct?

The pessimist: "We will never know!"

How do we know whether it is correct? The pessimist: "We will never know!" The optimist: "We may know from

How do we know whether it is correct? The pessimist: "We will never know!" The optimist: "We may know from a calculation of particle masses." How do we know whether it is correct? The pessimist: "We will never know!" The optimist: "We may know from a calculation of particle masses." additional predictions of a string SM." How do we know whether it is correct? The pessimist: "We will never know!" The optimist: "We may know from a calculation of particle masses." additional predictions of a string SM." supersymmetry breaking." How do we know whether it is correct? The pessimist: "We will never know!" The optimist: "We may know from a calculation of particle masses." additional predictions of a string SM." supersymmetry breaking."



How do we know whether it is correct? The pessimist: "We will never know!" The optimist: "We may know from a calculation of particle masses." additional predictions of a string SM." supersymmetry breaking."







String/M-theory is currently the only candidate for a fundamental theory.

String/M-theory is currently the only candidate for a fundamental theory.

The basic structure of the theory is not yet fully understood.

String/M-theory is currently the only candidate for a fundamental theory.

The basic structure of the theory is not yet fully understood.

It contains (quantum) gravity and standard model-like theories.

String/M-theory is currently the only candidate for a fundamental theory.

The basic structure of the theory is not yet fully understood.

It contains (quantum) gravity and standard model-like theories.

Most theoretical ideas beyond the standard model have a place within M-theory.

String/M-theory is currently the only candidate for a fundamental theory.

The basic structure of the theory is not yet fully understood.

It contains (quantum) gravity and standard model-like theories.

Most theoretical ideas beyond the standard model have a place within M-theory.

M-theory has an enormously rich structure.

Calculating couplings and masses looks now feasible.

Calculating couplings and masses looks now feasible.

Topological degeneracy means it is hard to have definite predictions.

Calculating couplings and masses looks now feasible.

Topological degeneracy means it is hard to have definite predictions.

If you want to do it, you have to be (seriously) good at Maths!