Transport Properties of Holographic P-Wave Superfluids

Hansjörg Zeller Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich

2013-12-03 University of Oxford, Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics

in collaboration with J. Erdmenger, D. Fernández, P. Kerner, S. Klug and A.-K. Straub Why is this model interesting?

- breaking of spacetime symmetry (interesting for condensed matter applications)
- transport effects not present in isotropic systems
- transport still determined by ODEs rather than PDEs (also at finite spatial momentum)

Take-home message!

- 2 shear viscosities one "universal", one "non-universal", i.e. temperature dependent
- ▶ 2 optical conductivities with different low frequency behaviour



Why are these important?

- temperature dependent shear viscosity
- Drude-like behaviour of conductivity at low frequencies



Kovtun, Son, Starinets 2004



FIG. 25. $La_{1,9}Sr_{0,1}CuO_4$ at specified temperatures. The inset shows the in-plane resistivity data for $La_{1,9}Sr_{0,1}CuO_4$ up to 1000 K. From Hussey *et al.*, 2004.

Basov, Timusk 2005



Very Brief Review of GGD and Hydrodynamics

P-Wave Superfluids

Perturbations in this Model \Rightarrow Transport

Gauge/Gravity Duality – Overview

▶ finite temperature ⇔ black hole solutions

Witten 1998

► finite charge density \Leftrightarrow gravity solutions with gauge field $A_t \neq 0$ (AdS RN)

Chamblin, Emparan, Johnson, Myers 1998

holographic superfluid:

```
several papers by Gubser, Hartnoll, Herzog, Horowitz, Pufu 2008
```

s-wave: field theory: SSB global U(1) \Leftrightarrow gravity: SSB gauged U(1)p-wave: field theory: SSB global U(1) and spatial SO(3) \Leftrightarrow gravity: SSB gauged U(1) and spatial SO(3)

Hydrodynamics - Overview

- long wavelength, small frequency fluctuations about thermal equilibrium
- effective theory: macroscopic behaviour of the system
- response of system: transport coefficients
 e.g. shear viscosity, bulk viscosity, diffusion constants, conductivity
- constitutive equations

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{eq.} + \Pi^{\mu\nu}$$
 and $J^{\mu} = J^{\mu}_{eq.} + \Upsilon^{\mu}$
with $\Pi_{ij} \sim \eta \left(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i \right)$

Different holographic p-wave models

- SU(2) gauge field in the bulk with vector condensate (discussed in this talk)
- helical superfluid phases (using two-forms)

Donos, Gauntlett, Pantelidou 2011-13

Gravitational Setup for holographic p-wave Superfluid

 SU(2) Einstein-Yang-Mills theory in (4+1)-dimensional asymptotically AdS Space

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_5^2} \int \mathrm{d}^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \Lambda - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} F^a_{MN} F^{aMN} \right] + S_{\mathrm{bdy}}$$

with

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{\kappa_5}{g_{\rm YM}}$$

 $\blacktriangleright \alpha$ measures the backreaction of gauge fields on geometry

Black Hole Ansatz with Vector Hair

metric ansatz

$$ds^{2} = -N(r)\sigma(r)^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{1}{N(r)}dr^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{f(r)^{4}}dx^{2} + r^{2}f(r)^{2}(dy^{2} + dz^{2})$$

with

$$N(r) = -\frac{2m(r)}{r^2} + r^2$$

AdS boundary $r = r_{bdy} \rightarrow \infty$ & black hole horizon $r = r_h$

gauge field ansatz

$$\mathsf{A} = \phi(\mathsf{r})\tau^3 \mathrm{d}\mathsf{t} + \mathsf{w}(\mathsf{r})\tau^1 \mathrm{d}\mathsf{x}$$

Manvelyan, Radu, Tchrakian 2008

Looking for solutions with...

Field Theory	\Leftrightarrow	Gravity
finite temperature T isospin chemical potential μ \Rightarrow breaks $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)_3$		black hole solutions $A_t^3 = \phi(r) \neq 0$ \Rightarrow breaks $SU(2) \rightarrow U(1)_3$
$egin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{J}_1^{x} angle eq 0 \ &U(1)_3 ightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2, \ &SO(3) ightarrow SO(2) \end{aligned}$		$egin{aligned} A_x^1 &= w(r) eq 0 \ U(1)_3 & o \mathbb{Z}_2, \ SO(3) o SO(2) \end{aligned}$

► $w(r_{bdy}) = 0 \Rightarrow SSB \ U(1)_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \& SO(3) \rightarrow SO(2)$

 $\Rightarrow\,$ holographic p-wave superfluid with backreaction

Hairy Black Hole Solution

Depending on the temperature T, we find the following thermodynamically preferred solutions:

- w(r) = 0, AdS Reissner-Nordström black hole solution (T > T_c), or
- $w(r) \neq 0$, numerical solution $(T < T_c)$.

Depending on the backreaction parameter $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ we find a

- 2nd order phase transition ($\alpha < \alpha_{crit}$), or
- 1st order phase transition ($\alpha > \alpha_{crit}$)

between the solutions.

Hairy Black Hole Solution



preferred ground state:

blue: broken phase, $w(r) \neq 0$; white: Reissner-Nordström black hole

Condensate $\langle \mathcal{J}_1^{\scriptscriptstyle X} \rangle$



colour coding: $\alpha = 0.316 < \alpha_c$ and $\alpha = 0.447 > \alpha_c$ $\langle \mathcal{J}_1^x \rangle \propto (1 - T/T_c)^{1/2}$ for $\alpha = 0.316$

Potential Ω and Entropy density *s* for $\alpha = 0.316 < \alpha_c$



colour coding: Reissner-Nordström solution and $w(r) \neq 0$ solution

Potential Ω and Entropy density *s* for $\alpha = 0.447 > \alpha_c$



colour coding: Reissner-Nordström solution and $w(r) \neq 0$ solution

Interpretation of α on Field Theory Side (rule of thumb)

$$rac{1}{\kappa_5^2} \propto \#$$
 of total degrees of freedom

$$rac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \propto \#$$
 of charged degrees of freedom

$$\Rightarrow lpha^2 = rac{\kappa_5^2}{g_{\mathsf{YM}}^2} \propto rac{\# ext{ of charged degrees of freedom}}{\# ext{ of total degrees of freedom}}$$

Perturbations about the Thermodynamic Equilibrium

small perturbations:

• metric $\hat{g}_{MN} = g_{MN}(r) + h_{MN}(x^{\mu}, r)$

• gauge field
$$\hat{A}^a_M = A^a_M(r) + a^a_M(x^\mu, r)$$

SO(2) symmetry in broken phase
 ⇒ two distinct momenta needed: k_{||} and k_⊥

Classification of Perturbations

- simplification: $k_{\perp} = 0$ (no further symmetry breaking)
- \Rightarrow classification under SO(2) rotational symmetry around x-axis possible:

	dynamical fields	constraints	# physical modes
helicity 2	$h_{yz}, h_{yy} - h_{zz}$	none	2
helicity 1	$h_{ty}, h_{xy}; a_y^a$	h _{yr}	4
	$h_{tz}, h_{xz}; a_z^a$	hzr	4
helicity 0	$h_{tt}, h_{xx}, h_{yy} + h_{zz}, h_{xt};$	$h_{tr}, h_{xr}, h_{rr}; a_r^a$	4
	a_t^a, a_x^a		

▶ gauge choice $h_{Mr} = 0$ and $a_r^a = 0 \Rightarrow 14$ "physical" modes

• further simplification: $k_{\parallel} \rightarrow 0$ limit

Today we concentrate on...

	dynamical fields	constraints	# physical modes
helicity 2	$h_{yz}, h_{yy} - h_{zz}$	none	2
helicity 1	$h_{ty}, h_{xy}; a_y^a$	h _{yr}	4
	$h_{tz}, h_{xz}; a_z^a$	h _{zr}	4
helicity 0	$h_{tt}, h_{xx}, h_{yy} + h_{zz}, h_{xt};$	$h_{tr}, h_{xr}, h_{rr}; a_r^a$	4
	a_t^a, a_x^a		

Spatial Metric Fluctuations h_{ij}

related to field theory correlators

$$G^{ij,kl}(\omega,\vec{k}) = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, \mathrm{e}^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} \theta(t) \, \langle [T^{ij}(t,\vec{x}), T^{kl}(0)] \rangle$$

 \Rightarrow 3 transport coefficients in agreement with expectations from symmetry

$$egin{aligned} h_{yy} - h_{zz}, \ h_{yz} &\Leftrightarrow \eta_{yz} \ h_{x\perp} &\Leftrightarrow \eta_{x\perp} \ h_{xx} - 1/2(h_{yy} + h_{zz}) &\Leftrightarrow \lambda \end{aligned}$$

The Viscosity Tensor η_{ijkl}

anisotropic systems:
 21 independent components

isotropic systems:
 2 independent components (1 shear + 1 bulk viscosity)

transversely isotropic systems (SO(2) rotational symmetry around preferred axis):
 5 independent components (2 shear viscosities)

Viscosity Tensor and Dissipation

dissipative part of energy-momentum tensor:

$$\Pi^{ij} = -\eta^{ijkl} u_{kl}$$

Landau, Lifshitz

with
$$u_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_i u_j + \nabla_j u_i)$$

transversely isotropic case:

$$\begin{split} \eta^{xxxx} &= \zeta_x + \frac{4}{3}\lambda \,, \qquad \eta^{yyyy} = \eta^{zzzz} = \zeta_y + \frac{\lambda}{3} + \eta_{yz} \,, \\ \eta^{xxyy} &= \eta^{xxzz} = -\frac{2}{3}\lambda \,, \quad \eta^{yyzz} = \zeta_y + \frac{\lambda}{3} - \eta_{yz} \,, \\ \eta^{yzyz} &= \eta_{yz} \,, \qquad \qquad \eta^{xyxy} = \eta^{xzxz} = \eta_{x\perp} \,. \end{split}$$

• conformal fluid $(\Pi^{i}_{i} = 0) \Rightarrow \zeta_{x} = 0 = \zeta_{y}$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 3 coefficients left: η_{yz} , $\eta_{x\perp}$ and λ

Off-Diagonal Metric Perturbations

perturbation of action:

$$\delta \mathcal{L} \sim T^{xy} h_{xy} + T^{xz} h_{xz} + T^{yz} h_{yz} + \dots,$$

 h_{ij} small perturbations $T^{ij} = T^{ij}_{contact} + \Pi^{ij}$

transversely isotropic case:

$$\Pi_{xy} = \Pi_{xz} \sim \eta_{x\perp} h_{x\perp}$$
$$\Pi_{yz} \sim \eta_{yz} h_{yz}$$

• isotropic case $\eta_{x\perp} = \eta_{iso} = \eta_{yz}$

Isotropic Holographic Systems

isotropic holographic duals to Einstein gravity (also at finite chemical potential):

$$\frac{\eta}{s}=\frac{1}{4\pi}\,,$$

in units $\hbar = 1 = k_B$

- very good agreement with measurements of quark-gluon plasma
- conjectured to be a lower bound for substances found in nature

Kovtun, Son, Starinets 2004

What happens if we break the rotational symmetry?

Hydrodynamics from h_{yz} (Helicity 2 mode)

•
$$T_{yz} = -(T_{yy}^{eq} + i\omega\eta_{yz})h_{yz}$$

Kubo formula:

$$\eta_{yz} = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \operatorname{Im}(G_{yz,yz})$$

$$\frac{\eta_{yz}}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \pm 0.5\%$$

• h_{yz} minimally coupled scalar \Rightarrow result expected

Kovtun, Son, Starinets 2004; Iqbal, Liu 2008;...

Hydrodynamics from $h_{x\perp}$ (Helicity 1 Mode)

$$T_{x\perp} = -(T_{xx}^{eq} + i\omega\eta_{x\perp})h_{x\perp}$$

Kubo formula:

$$\eta_{x\perp} = -\lim_{\omega o 0} rac{1}{\omega} \mathrm{Im}\left(\mathcal{G}_{x\perp,x\perp}
ight)$$

•
$$h_{x\perp}$$
 not a minimally coupled scalar

► coupling to gauge field perturbations, therefore η_{x⊥} not universal

Non-universal $\frac{\eta_{\star\perp}}{s}$ for $\alpha < \alpha_c$



colour coding: $\frac{\eta_{\nu z}}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}$ and $\frac{\eta_{x\perp}}{s}$: $\alpha_c > \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3$ at 0th order in large N_c and large 't Hooft coupling corrections

Non-universal $\frac{\eta_{x\perp}}{s}$ for $\alpha < \alpha_c$



$$1 - 4\pi \frac{\eta_{x\perp}}{s} \propto \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{\beta}$$
 with $\beta = 1.00 \pm 3\%$

confirmed analytically by Basu, Oh 2011

Other examples of deviations of η/s from "universality":

corrections in 1/'t Hooft coupling

Buchel, Liu, Starinets 2004; Benincasa, Buchel 2005; Buchel 2008

• corrections in $1/N_c$

Kats, Petrov 2007; Buchel, Myers, Sinha 2008

• Gauss-Bonnet Gravity and causality arguments \Rightarrow new bound below $1/4\pi$

Brigante, Liu, Myers, Shenker, Yaida 2008

• explicit symmetry breaking in Axion-Dilaton Gravity \Rightarrow values below $1/4\pi$ possible

Rheban, Steineder 2011

Diagonal Metric Perturbations

perturbation of action:

$$\delta \mathcal{L} \sim T^{xx} h_{xx} + T^{yy} h_{yy} + T^{zz} h_{zz} + \dots,$$

with h_{ij} small perturbations

rewriting of these perturbations leads to (only dissipative part)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi^{xx} - (\Pi^{yy} + \Pi^{zz}) \right) \left(h_{xx} - \frac{1}{2} \left(h_{yy} + h_{zz} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi^{xx} + \Pi^{yy} + \Pi^{zz} \right) \left(h_{xx} + \frac{1}{2} \left(h_{yy} + h_{zz} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Pi^{yy} - \Pi^{zz} \right) \left(h_{yy} - h_{zz} \right) + \dots$$

Transversely Isotropic vs. Isotropic Case

(conformal) transversely isotropic case:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Pi_{xx} - (\Pi_{yy} + \Pi_{zz})\right) &\sim \lambda \left(h_{xx} - 1/2 \left(h_{yy} + h_{zz}\right)\right) \\ \left(\Pi_{xx} + \Pi_{yy} + \Pi_{zz}\right) &= 0 \\ \left(\Pi_{yy} - \Pi_{zz}\right) &\sim \eta_{yz} \left(h_{yy} - h_{zz}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(conformal) isotropic case:

$$\begin{split} \left(\Pi_{xx} - \left(\Pi_{yy} + \Pi_{zz}\right)\right) &\sim \eta_{\mathsf{iso}} \left(h_{xx} - 1/2 \left(h_{yy} + h_{zz}\right)\right) \\ \left(\Pi_{xx} + \Pi_{yy} + \Pi_{zz}\right) &= 0 \\ \left(\Pi_{yy} - \Pi_{zz}\right) &\sim \eta_{\mathsf{iso}} \left(h_{yy} - h_{zz}\right) \end{split}$$

phase transition from transversely isotropic to isotropic system:

$$\lambda \to \eta_{iso}$$

Hydrodynamics from $h_{xx} - 1/2 (h_{yy} + h_{zz})$ (Helicity 0 Mode)

•
$$T_{xx} - (T_{yy} + T_{zz}) =$$

(contact terms + $i\frac{2\omega}{3}\lambda$) $(h_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}(h_{yy} + h_{zz}))$

▶ h_{xx} - 1/2 (h_{yy} + h_{zz}) corresponds to gauge inv. field at the boundary r = r_{bdy}

Kubo formula:

$$\lambda = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{3}{2\omega} \operatorname{Im} \left(G^{m,m} \right)$$

•
$$\lambda$$
 is normalised to match η_{iso} at T_c

Viscosity Tensor Component $\frac{\lambda}{s}$



colour coding: $\alpha_1 > \alpha_c > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 > \alpha_4$

Crude picture of viscosity tensor components



For η_{xy} we would have to turn the knife!

Optical Conductivities

- gauge field fluctuations a³_i
- related to optical conductivity
- coupled to h_{ti} , related to heat conductivity
- i =⊥ helicity 1 mode i = x helicity 0 mode

Optical Conductivity and Thermoelectric Effect

field theory (Thermoelectric effect):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle J^i \rangle \\ \langle Q^i \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{ii} & T\alpha^{ii} \\ T\alpha^{ii} & T\bar{\kappa}^{ii} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_i \\ -(\nabla_i T)/T \end{pmatrix}$$
with $\langle Q^i \rangle = \langle T^{ti} \rangle - \mu \langle J^i \rangle$

identification of external fields with fluctuations

 $E_i \sim$ linear combination of h_{ti} and a_i^3 $rac{
abla_i T}{T} \sim h_{ti}$

e.g. Hartnoll 2009

relation between conductivity and Green's function

$$\sigma^{ii} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}G^{i,i}_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{3}}}{\omega}\,,$$

 $T\alpha^{ii}$ and $T\bar{\kappa}^{ii}$ determined by σ^{ii}

Optical Conductivity $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma^{\perp\perp})$ at $\alpha < \alpha_c$



colour coding: $T = \infty > T = 1.00 T_c >$ $T = 0.88 T_c > T = 0.50 T_c > T = 0.19 T_c$ $\omega \operatorname{Im}(\sigma^{\perp \perp})$ at $\alpha < \alpha_c$



colour coding: $T = \infty > T = 1.00 T_c >$ $T = 0.88 T_c > T = 0.50 T_c > T = 0.19 T_c$

Optical Conductivity $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma^{xx})$ at $\alpha < \alpha_c$



colour coding: $T = 1.63T_c > T = 0.98T_c >$ $T = 0.88T_c > T = 0.78T_c > T = 0.50T_c$ $\omega \mathrm{Im}(\sigma^{xx})$ at $\alpha < \alpha_c$



colour coding: $T = 1.63T_c > T = 0.98T_c >$ $T = 0.88T_c > T = 0.78T_c > T = 0.50T_c$ Comparison between $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma^{xx})$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma^{\perp\perp})$



 $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma^{\times\times})$ parallel and $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma^{\perp\perp})$ perpendicular to condensate

Comparison to real system



FIG. 25. $La_{1,9}Sr_{0,1}CuO_4$ at specified temperatures. The inset shows the in-plane resistivity data for $La_{1,9}Sr_{0,1}CuO_4$ up to 1000 K. From Hussey *et al.*, 2004.



FIG. 11. Evolution of the *c*-axis optical conductivity spectra below 6 eV for $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$. The inset displays infrared region in more detail. From Uchida *et al.*, 1996.

Basov, Timusk 2005

$\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_{\mathsf{DC}}^{\mathsf{xx}})$



• there is a $\delta(\omega)$ -term not considered here

▶ $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_{\mathsf{DC}}^{\perp\perp}) \propto e^{-\operatorname{const}/\mathcal{T}}$ (again not considering $\delta(\omega)$)

Comments on DC Conductivities

 both have δ(ω)-terms also in AdS RN phase due to momentum conservation

```
e.g. Hartnoll, Herzog, Horowitz 2008
```

- both get (different!) contributions to δ(ω)-terms from superfluid density in broken phase
- finite part of $\operatorname{Re}(\sigma_{\mathsf{DC}})$ and low freq. behaviour:

perpendicular to condensate: exponential suppression with decreasing temperature (similar to s-wave case, see HHH 08)

parallel to condensate: broad Drude peak and DC cond. exponentially "enhanced" (similar to "metallic" phase of Donos, Hartnoll 2012)

Other Effects due to Broken Rot. Sym.

- ▶ helicity 1: coupling between a⁺_⊥, a⁻_⊥ and h_{x⊥} helicity 0: coupling between Φ₊, Φ_− and Φ_m
- helicity 1 case resembles flexoelectric effect helicity 0 case resembles piezoelectric effect



de Gennes



Wikipedia

Conclusion

- hol. backreacted p-wave superfluid is a nice toy model modelling many different effects
- non universal behaviour of shear viscosity two different optical conductivities



other effects:

thermoelectric, flexoelectric and piezoelectric effect

Future Directions

- What happens if we turn on finite momentum? (instabilities → spatially modulated phase)
- How does the far from equilibrium response (quantum quenching of order parameter) change due to the rot. sym. breaking?

Bhaseen, Gauntlett, Simons, Sonner, Wiseman 2012

Construct constitutive relations using the fluid/gravity duality.

Thank you!

The "Physical" Modes - I

- ▶ 2 helicity 2 modes: h_{yz} and $h_{yy} h_{zz}$
- equations of motion of minimally coupled scalar in AdS₅
- correlators are related to the viscosity tensor component η_{yz}

The "Physical" Modes - II

- 4 physical helicity 1 modes decoupling into 2 blocks: $h_{x\perp}, a_{\perp}^+, a_{\perp}^-$ and a_{\perp}^3
- $h_{x\perp}$ correlator related to viscosity tensor component $\eta_{x\perp}$
- ► a_{\perp}^3 related to optical conductivity $\sigma^{\perp\perp}$ perpendicular to condensate

The "Physical" Modes – III

 4 physical helicity 0 modes decoupling into 2 blocks: Φ_{+,-,m} and Φ₄

•
$$\Phi_+ = \Phi_+(a_x^+, h_{yy}), \ \Phi_- = \Phi_-(a_x^-, a_t^3, h_{tt}, h_{yy})$$
 and
 $\Phi_m = \Phi_m(h_{xx}, h_{yy})$

• Φ_m is related to a third component of shear viscosity tensor λ

• Φ₄ = Φ₄(a³_x, a¹_t, a²_t, h_{tx}) related to optical conductivity σ^{xx} in direction of condensate

Flexoelectric Effect



de Gennes

- strain leads to effective polarisation
- electric field leads to stress
- our system: coupling between flavour fields and strain

$$G_{-}^{\perp^{\chi\perp}}$$
 for $\alpha = 0.316$

$$G_{\text{hel1}} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{+,+}^{\perp,\perp} & G_{+,-}^{\perp,\perp} & G_{+}^{\perp^{\times\perp}} \\ G_{-,+}^{\perp,\perp} & G_{-,-}^{\perp,\perp} & G_{-}^{\perp^{\times\perp}} \\ G_{-,+}^{\times\perp} & G_{-,-}^{\times\perp} & -T_{xx}^{\text{eq}} - i\omega\eta_{x\perp} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_{-}^{\perp^{\chi\perp}}$$
 for $\alpha = 0.316$



colour coding: $T = \infty > T = 3.02T_c >$ $T = 1.00T_c > T = 0.88T_c > T = 0.50T_c$

Piezoelectric Effect



- diagonal strain leads to electric field
- electric field leads to diagonal stress
- our system: coupling between flavour fields and diagonal strain

$$G_{-,m}$$
 for $\alpha = 0.316$

$$G_{\text{hel0}} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{+,+} & G_{+,-} & G_{+,m} \\ G_{-,+} & G_{-,-} & G_{-,m} \\ G_{m,+} & G_{m,-} & G_{m,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_{-,m}$$
 for $\alpha = 0.316$



colour coding: $T = 0.98T_c > T = 0.88T_c > T = 0.78T_c > T = 0.62T_c > T = 0.50T_c > T = 0.46T_c$

Flavour Currents

- helicity 1: coupling between a_{\perp}^+ and a_{\perp}^-
- helicity 0: coupling between Φ_+ and Φ_-

Im $(G_{\mp,\mp}^{\perp,\perp})$ for $\alpha = 0.316$



 $\operatorname{Im}(G_{\mp,\mp}^{\perp,\perp})$ for $\alpha = 0.316$



colour coding: $T = \infty > T = 3.02T_c >$ $T = 1.00T_c > T = 0.88T_c > T = 0.50T_c$

 $G_{\perp,\perp}^{\perp,\perp}$ for $\alpha = 0.316$

 $\begin{pmatrix} G_{+,+}^{\perp,\perp} & G_{+,-}^{\perp,\perp} & G_{+}^{\perp\times\perp} \\ G_{-,+}^{\perp,\perp} & G_{-,-}^{\perp,\perp} & G_{-}^{\perp\times\perp} \\ G^{\times\perp,\perp} & G^{\times\perp\perp} & -\langle T_{xx}\rangle - \mathrm{i}\omega\eta_{x\perp} \end{pmatrix}$

$$G_{+,-}^{\perp,\perp}$$
 for $\alpha = 0.316$



colour coding: $T = \infty > T = 3.02T_c >$ $T = 1.00T_c > T = 0.88T_c > T = 0.50T_c$ $\operatorname{Im}(G_{\mp,\mp})$ for $\alpha = 0.316$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{+,+} & G_{+,-} & G_{+,m} \\ G_{-,+} & \mathbf{G}_{-,-} & G_{-,m} \\ G_{m,+} & G_{m,-} & G_{m,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\operatorname{Im}(G_{\mp,\mp})$ for $\alpha = 0.316$



colour coding: $T = 0.98T_c > T = 0.88T_c > T = 0.78T_c > T = 0.62T_c > T = 0.50T_c > T = 0.46T_c$

$$G_{+,-}$$
 for $\alpha = 0.316$

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_{+,+} & \mathbf{G}_{+,-} & G_{+,m} \\ G_{-,+} & G_{-,-} & G_{-,m} \\ G_{m,+} & G_{m,-} & G_{m,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G_{+,-}$$
 for $\alpha = 0.316$



colour coding: $T = 0.98T_c > T = 0.88T_c > T = 0.78T_c > T = 0.62T_c > T = 0.50T_c > T = 0.46T_c$