



Rotation in tokamaks and stellarators

Per Helander

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik Wendelsteinstraße 1, 17491 Greifswald



- In a tokamak (Tamm and Sakharov, 1951), the magnetic field lines twist around the torus because of the toroidal plasma current.
- In the stellarator (Spitzer, 1951), this twist is imposed by external coils.
 - Magnetic field is necessarily 3D.
 - No toroidal current is necessary.
 - Less "free energy" in the plasma, no dangerous instabilities.





Tokamak

Stellarator



- No current drive inherently steady state
- No dangerous instabilities:
 - no disruptions, sawteeth or large ELMs
- No Greenwald density limit
- High-density operation possible
 - Much lower alpha-particle pressure $(p_lpha \sim n_e^{-5/2})$
 - No fast-ion-driven instabilities?
 - Easier divertor operation?
- Greater deal of control over the plasma
- For a theoretician, more interesting!
 - theory plays a much stronger role.



- Can a tokamak or stellarator plasma rotate?
- If so, how rapidly and in what direction?
- What determines the rotation?
 - What is the relative role of collisional (neoclassical) processes and gyrokinetic turbulence?





Tokamaks

$$\mathbf{B} = I(\psi)\nabla\varphi + \nabla\varphi \times \nabla\psi$$

$$\psi = \text{poloidal flux}$$

 $\varphi = \text{toroidal angle}$



• In a tokamak, the collisional transport is independent of the radial electric field,

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi = -\Phi'(\psi)\nabla \psi$$

• Why? A Galilean transformation

 $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$

to a toroidally rigidly rotating frame

$$\mathbf{V} = \omega(\psi) R \hat{\varphi}$$

gives

$$\mathbf{E}' = -\Phi'\nabla\psi + \omega R\hat{\varphi} \times (\nabla\varphi \times \nabla\psi) = 0$$

if

$$\omega(\psi) = -\frac{d\Phi}{d\psi}$$

But the physics is the same in the rotating frame as in the lab frame, except for

- > the centrifugal force, which is small (quadratic in E/Bv_{Ti})
- $\succ\,$ the Coriolis force, which gives rise to a new drift that is odd in $v_{||}$



- Radial electric field = toroidal rotation is set by the radial transport of toroidal angular momentum.
- Fundamentally, there are three conserved quantities undergoing transport:
 - particles, energy and angular momentum

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Gamma}_i \cdot \nabla \psi \\ \mathbf{q}_i \cdot \nabla \psi \\ R\hat{\varphi} \cdot \pi \cdot \nabla \psi \end{pmatrix} \propto - \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & l_{12} & l_{13} \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & l_{23} \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p'_i/p_i \\ T'_i/T_i \\ \omega'/\omega \end{pmatrix}$$

 We expect the turbulent momentum transport to be important for determining E_r.





- Poloidal rotation is predicted to be slow due to friction between circulating and trapped particles.
 - Residual due to themal force:

$$V_p = \frac{k}{eB} \frac{dT_i}{dr}$$

k = 1.17 (banana regime)

• Note that the poloidal rotation is independent of the radial electric field!





- A tokamak plasma may rotate toroidally but not poloidally (in lowest order)
 - Because of friction between trapped and circulating ions, poloidal rotation is damped to the level

 $V_{\theta} \sim O(\rho_i v_{Ti}/a)$

- More precisely,
 - poloidal rotation is damped on the ion collision time scale
 - toroidal rotation is damped on the confinement time scale





Stellarators







Rapid rotation

 $(V \sim v_{Ti})$



- The most robust predictions of plasma theory are made in the limit of vanishing gyro-radius. What do we learn about equilibrium?
- Start with the kinetic equation in a frame moving with the local velocity $\mathbf{V} \sim v_T$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla f + \frac{e}{m} \left(\mathbf{E} + (\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{v}) \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} - (\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = C(f) + S,$$

• Look for magnetised equilibrium

 $\delta = v_T / \Omega L \ll 1$ $\partial f_0 / \partial t \ll (v_T / L) f_0$

• In lowest order

$$rac{e}{m} \left(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} imes \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{v} imes \mathbf{B}
ight) \cdot rac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0$$

implies that f₀ is independent of gyroangle and

 $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \Phi_0 = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \Phi_0 = \Phi_0(\psi)$



• In next order, one obtains the drift kinetic equation

$$(v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{V}) \cdot
abla f_0 + \dot{w} rac{\partial f_0}{\partial w} + \dot{\mu} rac{\partial f_0}{\partial \mu} = C(f_0),$$

with $w=mv^2/2, \mu=mv_{\perp}^2/2B,$

$$\dot{w} = eE_{\parallel}v_{\parallel} - mv_{\parallel}\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{b} - mv_{\parallel}^{2}\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{b} + \mu B\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \ln B$$
$$\dot{\mu} = 0$$

• Entropy balance: multiply by In f_o and integrate over velocity space

$$abla \cdot \mathbf{G} = -\int \ln f_0 C(f_0) \ 2\pi v_{\perp} dv_{\perp} dv_{\parallel}, \quad \text{where}$$
 $\mathbf{G} = -\int (\mathbf{V} + v_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}) f_0(\ln f_0 - 1) \ 2\pi v_{\perp} dv_{\perp} dv_{\parallel}$

• But the flux-surface average of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{G}$ vanishes, so f_0 is Maxwellian.



Substituting f₀ back into the drift kinetic equation gives the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla T &= 0 \\ \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla n &= 0 \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} &= 0 \\ \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{b} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\mathbf{V}_{\perp} = \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \Phi(\psi) / B$ gives

 $(\nabla \psi \times \nabla B) \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla B) = 0$ or $\mathbf{V} = 0$

Thus, $\nabla_{||}B$ should not change when moving along a line of constant B, or

 $B = B(\psi, l)$, where l = arc length or $\mathbf{V} = 0$



- Rapid plasma rotation (comparable to ion thermal speed) is only possible is • certain magnetic fields.
 - The parallel variation of |B| must be the same for all field lines on each flux surface.
- This follows from the kinetic equation in zeroth order (in gyroradius).
 - is therefore independent of collisionality and of turbulence!
- Physical reason:
 - In this order, the parallel transport is infinitely faster than cross-field transport.
 - Flux surfaces must therefore be isothermal and isobaric.
 - Also, there must be no parallel transport of momentum. —
 - Rotation is only possible if a gyrating particle "cannot tell" the difference between different field lines.
 - Magnetic field strength and mirror force must be the same on all field lines on a flux surface.





Slow rotation

 $V \sim (\rho_i/L) v_{Ti}$



• Consider the drift kinetic equation in the absence of density or temperature gradients

$$v_{\parallel}
abla f_{a1} - C_a(f_{a1}) = -\mathbf{v}_d \cdot
abla f_{a0} = (\mathbf{v}_d \cdot
abla \psi) rac{e_a}{T_a} rac{d\Phi_0}{d\psi} f_{a0}$$

- Multiply by $T_a f_{a1}$, sum over all species, integrate over velocity space and take the flux-surface average.
- This gives an entropy theorem

$$\frac{d\Phi_0}{d\psi}\langle \mathbf{j}\cdot\nabla\psi\rangle = \sum_a T_a\langle\int f_{a1}C_a(f_{a1})d^3v\rangle \le 0$$

with equality if, and only if,

$$\bar{f}_{a1} = (\alpha_a + \beta_a v_{\parallel} + \gamma_a v^2) f_{a0} \qquad (T_{a0}\beta_a/m_a = T_{b0}\beta_b/m_b)$$

– But then f_{a1} must be odd in parallel velocity, so $\alpha_a = \gamma_a = 0$.



But then

$$v_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} \bar{f}_{a1} = v^2 \left[(1 - \lambda B) \nabla_{\parallel} \beta_a - \beta_a \lambda \nabla_{\parallel} B/2 \right] f_{a0}$$

must equal

$$-\mathbf{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_{a0} = \frac{m_a v^2}{e_a B^3} \frac{\partial f_{a0}}{\partial \psi} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda B}{2}\right) \left(\mathbf{B} \times \nabla B\right) \cdot \nabla \psi$$

for all values of $\lambda=2\mu/m_av^2$ which requires

$$\frac{(\mathbf{B} \times \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \ln B}{\nabla_{\parallel} B} = \text{flux function} = F(\psi)$$

What does this mean?





- In tokamaks, particles always return to the flux surface they started on.
 - mathematically, because of toroidal symmetry (angular momentum conservation)
- In stellarators, particles generally drift out of the plasma.

 The mean-free path of a fusion-produced alpha particle is

 $l_{lpha} \sim 10^4 \ {
m km}$









- In the tokamak, trapped particles precess toroidally around the torus
- Fundamental reason for confinement: |B| is toroidally symmetric.

- Topologically, precession can only occur in three ways:
 - Toroidally
 - Poloidally
 - Helically
- Could try to make B symmetric in these directions.





When expressed in so-called Boozer coordinates,

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \varphi \times \nabla \psi_p + \nabla \psi_t \times \nabla \theta = \beta(\psi_t, \theta, \varphi) \nabla \psi_t + I(\psi_t) \nabla \theta + J(\psi_t) \nabla \varphi$$

the guiding centre Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{mv_{\parallel}^2}{2} + e\mathbf{A}\cdot\mathbf{v} - \mu B$$

depends only on the modulus B

$$L = \frac{m}{2B^2} \left(I\dot{\theta} + J\dot{\varphi} \right)^2 + Ze \left(\psi_t \dot{\theta} - \psi_p \dot{\varphi} \right) - \mu B$$

For example, if B is "axisymmetric" in Boozer coordinates

$$B = B(\psi_t, \theta)$$

there is a correponding constant of motion

$$p_{\varphi} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = \frac{m I v_{\parallel}}{B} - e \psi_p = \text{constant}$$





|B| can be symmetric in Boozer coordinates but not in real space

NCSX (Princeton):







 $B(\theta, \varphi)$ at $\psi/\psi_{\rm tot} = 0.4$





• HSX (Madison)





B on last closed flux surface

 $B(\theta, \varphi)$ at $\psi/\psi_{\rm tot} = 0.4$





Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik

Recall that intrinsic ambipolarity requires

$$\frac{(\mathbf{B}\times\nabla\psi)\cdot\nabla\ln B}{\nabla_{\parallel}B} = \text{flux function} = F(\psi)$$

In Boozer coordinates

$$J\frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} - I\frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi} = F(\psi)\left(\iota\frac{\partial B}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \varphi}\right)$$

After taking a Fourier transform

$$B(\psi,\theta,\varphi) = \sum_{m,n} b_{m,n}(\psi) e^{i(m\theta - n\varphi)}$$



we find

$$[mJ + nI - F(m\iota - n)] b_{m,n} = 0$$

i.e.

$$b_{m,n} = 0$$
 or $F(\psi) = \frac{(m/n)J(\psi) + I(\psi)}{(m/n)\iota(\psi) - 1}$

which can only be satisfied if all non-zero Fourier components have the same helicity,

$$B = \sum_{k} \alpha_{kM,kN}(\psi) e^{ik(M\theta - N\varphi)}$$

Thus, for collisional transport

Intrinsic ambipolarity = quasisymmetry





• Consider electrostatic, gyrokinetic turbulence:

$$\frac{e\tilde{\phi}}{T} \sim \delta = \frac{\rho_i}{L}, \qquad k_{\perp}\rho_i \sim 1$$

- The turbulent transport of particles, energy, and <u>momentum</u> is then at the gyro-Bohm level.
 - Same order in δ as neoclassical transport.
 - In the tokamak, the radial electric field is set by the balance of momentum sources and neoclassical + turbulent transport
 - What about stellarators?



Consider the momentum equation

$$\frac{\partial(\rho \mathbf{V})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V} + p\mathbf{I} + \pi) = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$$
(1)

Write

$$\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_0 + \mathbf{j}_1, \qquad p = p_0(\psi) + p_1, \qquad \text{where } \mathbf{j}_0 \times \mathbf{B} = \nabla p_0$$

Multiply (1) by $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{j}_0/p_0'(\psi)$, and take an average over time and over the flux surface:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{j} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle &= - \left\langle \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} + p \mathbf{I} + \pi) \cdot \mathbf{G} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (\rho \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} + \pi) : \nabla \mathbf{G} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{V'(\psi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left\langle V'(\psi) \left(\rho \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} + \pi \right) : \mathbf{G} \nabla \psi \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

 $V(\psi) =$ volume inside flux surface ψ



Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik

Normally in gyrokinetics

$$egin{aligned} &\pi = (p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp}) \left(\mathbf{b} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{I} / 3
ight) + O(\delta^2 p \ & p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp} \sim \delta p \ & \mathbf{V} \sim \delta v_{Ti} \ &
abla \sim 1 / (\delta L) \end{aligned}$$

As a result, $ho \mathbf{VV} \ll \pi$ and





Radial current



Again



Integrate over the volume, ΔV , between two flux surfaces several gyroradii apart.

$$\begin{split} \int_{\psi_1}^{\psi_2} \langle \mathbf{j} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle \; V' d\psi &= \int_{\psi_1}^{\psi_2} \langle \pi : \nabla \mathbf{G} \rangle \; V' d\psi - \left[\langle \rho \mathbf{V} \mathbf{V} + \pi) : \mathbf{G} \nabla \psi V' \rangle \right]_{\psi_1}^{\psi_2} \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ & \wedge \delta p \Delta V & \sim \delta p \Delta V (\rho_i / \Delta r) = \text{small} \end{split}$$



- Locally, the turbulent Reynolds stress is as important as the non-ambipolar neoclassical current, but
- On a radial average, taken over several gyroradii, the current created by parallel viscosity dominates:

$$\int_{\psi_1}^{\psi_2} \langle \mathbf{j} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle \ V' d\psi \simeq \int_{\psi_1}^{\psi_2} \langle \pi_{\parallel} : \nabla \mathbf{G} \rangle \ V' d\psi$$

- This current is the neoclassical current.
- Only if the contribution from parallel viscosity vanishes for some reason does Reynolds stress become important.
 - This is the case in quasisymmetric B.



- In tokamaks, the plasma can rotate almost freely in the toroidal direction
 - Intrinsically ambipolar transport
 - Toroidal rotation damped on the confinement time scale, can be comparable to the ion thermal speed.
 - Poloidal rotation is small and damped on the collision time scale
- In stellarators, radial ion and electron particle fluxes are not automatically equal.
 - Radial electric field determined by ambipolarity
 - Exception: quasisymmetric stellarators have exactly the same neoclassical properties as tokamaks
- The plasma is free to rotate only if B is quasisymmetric. Otherwise
 - Electrostatic turbulence is unlikely to affect the rotation.
 - Small-scale zonal flows are possible, however.
 - It is easer to calculate the radial electric field than in a tokamak!

References: P. Helander, Phys. Plasmas 14, 104501 (2007);

P. Helander and A.N. Simakov, to appear in Phys. Rev. Lett. (2008).