

§3 §19. Kolmogorov's  $\frac{4}{5}$  Law.

Now let us try to derive an equation for  $S_{ik}$  or  $C_{ik}$ .

NSEqu:

$$\partial_t u_i = -u_e \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_e} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \nu \nabla^2 u_i + f_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \partial_t C_{ik} &= \partial_t \langle u_{1i} u_{2k} \rangle = \langle u_{1i} \partial_t u_{2k} \rangle + \langle u_{2k} \partial_t u_{1i} \rangle = \\ &= \langle -u_{1i} u_{2e} \frac{\partial u_{2k}}{\partial x_{2e}} - u_{1i} \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x_{2k}} + \nu u_{1i} \nabla_2^2 u_{2k} + u_{1i} f_{2k} \\ &\quad - u_{2k} u_{1e} \frac{\partial u_{1i}}{\partial x_{1e}} - u_{2k} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_{1i}} + \nu u_{2k} \nabla_1^2 u_{1i} + u_{2k} f_{1i} \rangle \\ \rightarrow &= - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1e}} \langle u_{1i} u_{1e} u_{2k} \rangle - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2e}} \langle u_{1i} u_{2e} u_{2k} \rangle - \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1i}} \langle p_1 u_{2k} \rangle - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2k}} \langle p_2 u_{1i} \rangle \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\nu \nabla_1^2 \langle u_{1i} u_{2k} \rangle}_{C_{ik}} + \underbrace{\nu \nabla_2^2 \langle u_{1i} u_{2k} \rangle}_{C_{ik}} + \underbrace{\langle u_{1i} f_{2k} \rangle + \langle u_{2k} f_{1i} \rangle}_{\epsilon_{ik}(\vec{y})} \\ &\quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{2\nu \nabla^2 C_{ik}(\vec{y})} \end{aligned}$$

- Forcip. For a general form of the forcip,  $\epsilon_{ik}(\vec{y})$  depends on the solution. However, we expect that the character of the forcip is not going to be crucial at scales  $l \ll L$ . So for convenience, we choose  $f_i(t, \vec{x})$  to be a

Gaussian white noise:

$$\langle f_i(t_1, \vec{x}_1) f_k(t_2, \vec{x}_2) \rangle = \delta(t_1 - t_2) \epsilon_{ik}(\vec{y})$$

↑  
isotropic, incompressible

This is a convenient choice because

$$\langle u_{2k} f_{1i} \rangle = \langle f_{1i}(t) \int dt' \left[ -u_{2e} \frac{\partial u_{2e}}{\partial x_{2e}} - \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x_{2k}} + \nu \nabla_2^2 u_{2k} + f_{2k} \right](t') \rangle =$$

all of these are at  $t' < t$   
and their correlation with  $f_{1i}(t)$   
is  $\phi$

$$= \int dt' \langle f_{1i}(t) f_{2k}(t') \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ik}(\bar{y}), \text{ so}$$

$$\langle u_{1i} f_{2k} \rangle + \langle u_{2k} f_{1i} \rangle = \epsilon_{ik}(\bar{y})$$

Now  $\epsilon_{ik} = \epsilon_{\pi}(y)(\delta_{ik} - \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k) + \epsilon_u(y) \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k$

$$\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{iik}(0) = \frac{1}{2} [(d-1)\epsilon_{\pi}(0) + \epsilon_u(0)] = \frac{d}{2} \epsilon_u(0) = \epsilon \quad \text{Kolmogorov flux (energy input)}$$

Regardless of the forcip nature, this will be

$$\epsilon = \nu \langle |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 \rangle = \text{const in st. state.}$$

White noise forcip allows one to fix  $\epsilon$  as an input parameter of the system (useful in simulations)

MOS: Lecture 5 17.10.05

• Pressure terms vanish.

Pf. By isotropy,  $\langle p_1 u_{2k} \rangle = f(y) \hat{y}_k$  ← the only first-rank tensor available!

Incompressibility:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2k}} \langle p_1 u_{2k} \rangle = \langle p_1 \frac{\partial u_{2k}}{\partial x_{2k}} \rangle = 0 =$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} f(y) \frac{y_k}{y} = f'(y) + f(y) \frac{d}{y} - f(y) \frac{y_k}{y^2} \frac{y_k}{y}$$

$$f'(y) + \frac{d-1}{y} f(y) = 0 \Rightarrow f(y) = \text{const } y^{-(d-1)}$$

$$f(y \rightarrow 0) < \infty \Rightarrow \text{const} = 0 \Rightarrow f(y) = 0 \text{ q.e.d.}$$

So, we have

$$\partial_t C_{ik} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1l}} \langle u_{1i} u_{1l} u_{2k} \rangle - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2l}} \langle u_{1i} u_{2l} u_{2k} \rangle + 2\nu \nabla^2 C_{ik}(\vec{y}) + \epsilon_{ik}(\vec{y})$$

2-order corr. functions in terms of 3rd-order ones:

$$C_{ik,l} = \langle u_i(\vec{x}_1) u_k(\vec{x}_1) u_l(\vec{x}_2) \rangle$$

- function only of  $\vec{y} = \vec{x}_2 - \vec{x}_1$  because of homogeneity.

Since it is a 3rd-order tensor,  $C_{ik,l}(\vec{y}) = -C_{ik,l}(-\vec{y})$

Thus,  $\left[ \partial_t C_{ik} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} (C_{il,k} + C_{kl,i}) + 2\nu \nabla^2 C_{ik} + \epsilon_{ik}(\vec{y}) \right] \quad (1)$

$\begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \vec{x}_2 \leftrightarrow \vec{x}_1 \end{matrix}$

Remark

3-order ones will be expressed in terms of 4th-order ones, etc.  $\Rightarrow$  closure problem as usual.

Technically, the hierarchy of moment equations cannot be truncated because there is no small parameter  $\bar{\omega}$  which to expand (like in kinetics). Nevertheless, a large fraction of turbulence research has been devoted to the question of ~~correct~~ closures that would be at least qualitatively correct.

Roughly, the idea is to write

EMMER

$$\partial_t \langle uu \rangle \sim \langle uuu \rangle$$

$$\partial_t \langle uuu \rangle \sim \langle uuuu \rangle \sim \langle uu \rangle \langle uu \rangle$$

Millionshchikov hypothesis  
(Chandrasekhar)

Most important closures: DIA (Kraichnan)

EDQNM (Orszag)

See McComb's book for a full review.

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{RNG} \\ \text{(Yakhot \& Orszag)} \\ \text{falls into the} \\ \text{same} \\ \text{group.} \end{array} \right.$

OR  $\partial_t \langle uu \rangle \sim \langle uuu \rangle \sim -\tau^{-1} \langle uu \rangle$  " $\tau$ -approximation"  
 ↑  
 suitably chosen corr. time  
 (function of  $y$  or  $k$ )

- amount to the same thing as moment splitting, except  $\tau^{-1}$  is supplied instead of being calculated from the  $\langle uuu \rangle$  equation. This approach ~~is~~ has been popular ~~in~~ in dynamo theories (Rädler, Kleeorin, Ruzmaikina, Blackman, Brandenburg...)

I will not cover either type of theories for lack of time ...

• Let us go back to our exact equation (1).

I want to work out what  $C_{ik,le}$  looks like, given isotropy and incompressibility.

$$\hookrightarrow C_{ik,le}(\vec{y}) = A(y) \delta_{ik} \hat{y}_e + B(y) \delta_{ie} \hat{y}_k + C(y) \delta_{ek} \hat{y}_i + F(y) \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e$$

Since  $C_{ik,le} = C_{kile}$ ,  $B(y) = C(y)$

Incompressibility:  $\frac{\partial C_{ik,le}}{\partial x_{2e}} = \langle u_{1i} u_{1k} \nabla_2 \cdot \vec{u}_2 \rangle = 0 =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\partial C_{ik,le}}{\partial y_e} = \delta_{ik} \left( A' \frac{y_e}{y} \frac{y_e}{y} + A \frac{d}{y} - A \frac{y_e}{y^2} \frac{y_e}{y} \right) + \\ &\quad + \delta_{ie} \left( B' \frac{y_e}{y} \frac{y_e}{y} + B \frac{\delta_{ke}}{y} - B \frac{y_e}{y^2} \frac{y_e}{y} \right) + \\ &\quad + \delta_{ek} \left( B' \frac{y_e}{y} \frac{y_i}{y} + B \frac{\delta_{ie}}{y} - B \frac{y_i}{y^2} \frac{y_e}{y} \right) + \\ &\quad + F' \frac{y_e}{y} \frac{y_i}{y} \frac{y_e}{y} \frac{y_e}{y} + F \frac{\delta_{ie} y_e y_e + \delta_{ke} y_i y_e + d y_i y_e}{y^3} - 3F \frac{y_i y_k y_e}{y^4} \frac{y_e}{y} = \\ &= \delta_{ik} \left( A' + A \frac{d}{y} - \frac{A}{y} + \frac{B}{y} + \frac{B}{y} \right) + \\ &\quad \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \left( B' - \frac{B}{y} + B' - \frac{B}{y} + F' + \frac{F}{y} + \frac{F}{y} + \frac{d}{y} F - \frac{3F}{y} \right) = \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow = \delta_{ik} \left( A' + \frac{d-1}{y} A + \frac{2}{y} B \right) + \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \left( 2B' - \frac{2}{y} B + F' + \frac{d-1}{y} F \right) = 0$$

0

0

$$(2) \quad A' + \frac{d-1}{y} A = \frac{1}{y^{d-1}} (y^{d-1} A)' = -\frac{2}{y} B$$

$$\frac{1}{y^{d-1}} (y^{d-1} F)' + 2 \frac{1}{y^{d-1}} (y^{d-1} B)' - 2 \frac{d-1}{y} B - \frac{2}{y} B = 0$$

add (2) and (3)

$$\frac{1}{y^{d-1}} [y^{d-1} (F+2B)]' = \frac{2d}{y} B \quad (3)$$

$$[y^{d-1} (F+2B+dA)]' = 0$$

Integrate:  $dA + 2B + F = \frac{\text{const}}{y^{d-1}}$

Since  $C_{ik,l}(\vec{y}) = -C_{ik,l}(-\vec{y})$ ,  $C_{ik,l}(0) = 0$  (no 3d-rank tensor that depends on nothing!) *isotropic*

Then  $A(0) = B(0) = F(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{const} = 0$

So  $\boxed{dA + 2B + F = 0} \quad (4)$

From (2),  $\boxed{B(y) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{y^{d-2}} (y^{d-1} A)'} = -\frac{1}{2} [yA' + (d-1)A] \quad (5)$

From (4),  $\boxed{F(y) = -dA + yA' + (d-1)A = -A + yA'} \quad (6)$

Thus,

$$\rightarrow \boxed{C_{ik,l}(\vec{y}) = A(y) \delta_{ik} \hat{y}_l - \frac{1}{2} [yA' + (d-1)A] (\delta_{il} \hat{y}_k + \delta_{kl} \hat{y}_i) + (yA' - A) \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_l} \quad (7)$$

All coefficients of the 3-order corr. function depend on one scalar function.

Introduce the 3-order structure function:

$$\rightarrow S_{ikl}^{(\hat{y})} = \langle \delta u_i \delta u_k \delta u_l \rangle, \quad \delta u_i = u_i(\vec{x}_2) - u_i(\vec{x}_1)$$

(completely symmetric wrt permutations of indices)

$$S_{ikl} = \langle (u_{2i} - u_{1i})(u_{2k} - u_{1k})(u_{2l} - u_{1l}) \rangle =$$

$$= \langle u_{2i}u_{2k}u_{2l} - u_{2i}u_{1k}u_{2l} - u_{2i}u_{2k}u_{1l} + u_{2i}u_{1k}u_{1l}$$

$$- u_{1i}u_{2k}u_{2l} + u_{1i}u_{1k}u_{2l} + u_{1i}u_{2k}u_{1l} - u_{1i}u_{1k}u_{1l} \rangle =$$

$$= 2(C_{ikl} + C_{ilk} + C_{kli}) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{substitute (7)}}}{=}$$

$$= 2A \delta_{ik} \hat{y}_l - [yA' + (d-1)A] (\delta_{il} \hat{y}_k + \delta_{lk} \hat{y}_i) +$$

$$+ 2(yA' - A) \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_l \cdot 3 +$$

$$+ 2A \delta_{ie} \hat{y}_k - [yA' + (d-1)A] (\delta_{ik} \hat{y}_e + \delta_{ek} \hat{y}_i)$$

$$+ 2A \delta_{ek} \hat{y}_i - [yA' + (d-1)A] (\delta_{ie} \hat{y}_k + \delta_{ik} \hat{y}_e)$$

$$\rightarrow = -2[yA' + (d-2)A] (\delta_{ik} \hat{y}_e + \delta_{ie} \hat{y}_k + \delta_{ek} \hat{y}_i) +$$

$$+ 6(yA' - A) \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e \quad (8)$$

$$S_{ikl} \hat{y}_i = -2[yA' + (d-2)A] (\hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e + \hat{y}_e \hat{y}_k + \delta_{ek}) + 6(yA' - A) \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e =$$

$$= -2[yA' + (d-2)A] \delta_{ek} + 2[-2yA' + (2d-4)A + 3yA' - 3A] \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e$$

$$yA' - (2d-1)A =$$

$$= yA' + (d-2)A - (3d-3)A$$

$$= -2[yA' + (d-2)A] (\delta_{ek} - \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e) - 6(d-1)A \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e \quad (9)$$

This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow S_{LLL} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S_{i k e} \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e = -6(d-1) A(y) \\ S_{LTT} &= -2 [y A' + (d-2) A] = \frac{1}{3(d-1) y^{d-3}} (y^{d-2} S_{LLL})' \\ S_{LLT} &= S_{TTT} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We will use  $S_{LLL}(y)$  as the main 3-order function.

The correlation function  $C_{i k e}(\vec{y})$  is expressed in terms of  $S_{LLL}(y)$  via eq. (7) and

$$A(y) = -\frac{1}{6(d-1)} S_{LLL}(y)$$

Let's go back to dynamics - eq. (1).

Find the longitudinal part of eq. (1) - all we need (all other functions expressible in terms of longitudinal ones) :  $(1)_{ik} \cdot \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k$  gives

$$\rightarrow \partial_t C_{LL} - \epsilon_{LL}(y) - 2 \nabla^2 C_{ik} \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k =$$

$$\frac{1}{y^{d+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y^{d+1} \frac{\partial C_{LL}}{\partial y}$$

Exercise: get this by using the formula from ~~book~~ for  $C_{ik}$  §18

$$= \frac{y_i y_k}{y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_e} (C_{ie,k} + C_{ke,i}) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{y^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_e} (y_i y_k C_{ie,k} + y_i y_k C_{ke,i}) - (C_{ie,k} + C_{ke,i}) \frac{\delta_{ie} y_k + \delta_{ke} y_i}{y^2} =$$

$$2 C_{LL} \hat{y}_e y^2 = 2 C_{LL} y_e y$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2 C'_{LL} y^2 + 2 C_{LL} y + 2d C_{LL} y \\ &= 2y [y C'_{LL} + (d+1) C_{LL}] \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 C'_{LL} + \frac{2(d+1)}{y} C_{LL} - \frac{2}{y} (C_{ii,k} \hat{y}_k + C_{ki,i} \hat{y}_k), \quad (10)$$

where  $C_{LL} = C_{ik,le} \hat{y}_i \hat{y}_k \hat{y}_e \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{eq. (7)}}}{=} A - yA' - (d-1)A + yA' - A =$   
 $= -(d-1)A = \frac{1}{6} S_{LL}(y)$

$$C_{ii,k} \hat{y}_k = dA - yA' - (d-1)A + yA' - A = 0$$

$$C_{ki,i} \hat{y}_k = A - \frac{1}{2} [yA' + (d-1)A] (1+d) + yA' - A =$$

$$= -\frac{d-1}{2} yA' - \frac{(d-1)(d+1)}{2} A = \frac{1}{12} [y S'_{LL} + (d+1) S_{LL}]$$

Substitute these into (10):

$$\rightarrow \underbrace{\partial_t C_{LL} - \epsilon_{LL}(y)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ -\frac{1}{2} S_{LL}}} - 2\nu \frac{1}{y^{d+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y^{d+1} \frac{\partial C_{LL}}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{3} S'_{LL} + \frac{d+1}{3y} S_{LL} -$$

$$-\frac{1}{6} S'_{LL} - \frac{d+1}{6y} S_{LL} = \frac{1}{6} \left[ S'_{LL} + \frac{d+1}{y} S_{LL} \right] = \frac{1}{6y^{d+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y^{d+1} S_{LL}$$

$\left( \frac{2}{d} \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_t S_{LL} \right) \leftarrow$  to write everything in terms of structure functions

We get the following equation:

$$\rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\partial S_{LL}}{\partial t} = \frac{4}{d} \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} - 2\epsilon_{LL}(y) - \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{y^{d+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y^{d+1} S_{LL} + 2\nu \frac{1}{y^{d+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} y^{d+1} \frac{\partial S_{LL}}{\partial y}} \quad (11)$$

Von Kármán - Howarth equation

Consider steady state:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0$ . Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} y^{d+1} \left[ \frac{1}{3} S_{LL} - 2\nu S'_{LL} \right] = -2\underbrace{\epsilon_{LL}(y)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \left( \frac{2}{d} \epsilon + \dots \right)}} y^{d+1} \approx -\frac{4}{d} \epsilon y^{d+1}$$

$$\left( \frac{2}{d} \epsilon + \dots \right)$$

for  $y \ll \frac{1}{\nu}$   
(outer scale)



Integrate:

$$\frac{1}{3} S_{uu} - 2\nu S'_{uu} = - \left[ \frac{4\epsilon}{d(d+2)} y^{d+2} + \text{const} \right] \frac{1}{y^{d+1}}$$

0 so there is no singularity at  $y=0$

$$S_{uu} = - \frac{12}{d(d+2)} \epsilon y + 6\nu S'_{uu} \quad (12)$$

$\frac{4}{5}$  for  $d=3$

small when  $y \gg l_\nu$

This gives ~~the~~ Kolmogorov's  $\frac{4}{5}$  law in the inertial range:

$$S_{uu} \approx -\frac{4}{5} \epsilon y$$

Note: Decaying turbulence: expect self-similar decay, so  $\frac{\partial S_{uu}}{\partial t} = 0$ , no forcing  $\epsilon_{uu}(y) = 0$ .

Let  $\frac{d\epsilon}{dt} = -\epsilon$  rate of energy dissipation

Then Eq. (11) again leads to (12) and the  $\frac{4}{5}$  law (that is Kolmogorov's original formulation)

Thus, we have an exact law (the only exact result for turbulence!) Cf. the dimensional result

$$(S_{uu} \sim) \epsilon u^3 \sim \epsilon l.$$