

§11. The Energy Principle.

Now suppose that ρ_0, p_0, B_0 represent an equilibrium.

We'd like to know if this equilibrium is stable.

For this, we consider small perturbations of the eq. and see if they grow. In the Lagr. formalism, this means that we consider small displacements $\vec{\xi}$.

Energy:

$$E = \int d^3x_0 \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t} \right)^2}_{\text{kinetic}} + \int d^3x_0 \underbrace{\left[\frac{\rho_0 J^{1-\gamma}}{\gamma-1} + \frac{|\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \vec{x}|^2}{8\pi J} \right]}_{\text{potential}}$$

keep terms up to 2 order in $\vec{\xi}$:

$$E = \int d^3x_0 \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \left(\frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t} \right)^2 + W_0 + \delta W_1 [\vec{\xi}] + \delta W_2 [\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}] + \dots$$

Energy is conserved (to all orders):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE}{dt} &= \int d^3x_0 \left[\rho_0 \frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \vec{\xi}}{\partial t^2} + \delta W_1 \left[\frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t} \right] + \delta W_2 \left[\frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t}, \vec{\xi} \right] + \delta W_2 \left[\vec{\xi}, \frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t} \right] + \dots \right] \\ &= 0 \quad \text{"}\vec{F}[\vec{\xi}]\text{"} \end{aligned}$$

~~Therefore~~ This must be true at all times, including $t=0$, when $\vec{\xi}$ and $\frac{\partial \vec{\xi}}{\partial t}$ can be chosen

independently. Thus, for arbitrary functions $\vec{\xi}$ and $\vec{\eta}$,

$$\int d^3x_0 \vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}] + \delta W_1 [\vec{\eta}] + \delta W_2 [\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}] + \delta W_2 [\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}] + \text{higher order} = 0$$

1st order: $\delta W_1[\vec{\eta}] = 0$

2nd order: $\int d^3x_0 \vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}] = -\delta W_2[\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}] - \delta W_2[\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}]$ (*)

• Set $\vec{\eta} = \vec{\xi}$. This gives

$$\delta W_2[\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}]$$

work done by plasma to set up dipole $\vec{\xi}$.

• In (*), rhs symmetric w.r.t $\vec{\eta} \leftrightarrow \vec{\xi} \Rightarrow$ lhs is too:

$$\int d^3x_0 \vec{\eta} \cdot \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}] = \int d^3x_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{F}[\vec{\eta}]$$

\Downarrow

Operator $\vec{F}[\vec{\xi}]$ is self-adjoint

11.02.04

Lecture 9

Consider normal modes of the operator \vec{F} :

$$\rho_0 \frac{\partial^2 \vec{\xi}}{\partial t^2} = \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}]$$

$$-\rho_0 \omega_n^2 \vec{\xi}_n = \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}_n]$$

~~$\rho_0 \omega_n^2 \vec{\xi}_n = \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}_n]$~~

Normal modes:

$$\vec{\xi}_{(t, \vec{x}_0)} = \vec{\xi}_n^{(t)} e^{-i\omega_n t}$$

1) • Eigenvalues are real.

Pf. $-\rho_0 \omega_n^2 \vec{\xi}_n = \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}_n] \quad | \cdot \vec{\xi}_n^*$

$-\rho_0 \omega_n^{2*} \vec{\xi}_n^* = \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}_n^*] \quad | \cdot \vec{\xi}_n$

subtract

$$-\cancel{\rho_0} (\omega_n^2 - \omega_n^{2*}) \underbrace{\int d^3x_0 |\vec{\xi}|^2}_0 = \int d^3x_0 \vec{\xi}_n^* \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}_n] - \int d^3x_0 \vec{\xi}_n \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}_n^*] = 0$$

s.a.

So $\omega_n^2 = \omega_n^{2*}$ q.e.d.

Introduce now

$$K[\vec{\xi}_1, \vec{\xi}_2] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x_0 \rho_0 |\vec{\xi}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n q_n^2 \int d^3x_0 \rho_0 |\vec{\xi}_n|^2$$

Then, arranging $\omega_1^2 < \omega_2^2 < \dots$, we have

$$\omega_1^2 = \min_{\vec{\xi}} \frac{\delta W_2[\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}]}{K[\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}]}$$

$$\boxed{\delta W_2[\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}] > 0 \quad \forall \vec{\xi} \Leftrightarrow \text{stability}}$$

(i.e. stable eq. corresponds to local min. of energy)

Pr.

Suff.: $\delta W_2 > 0 \quad \forall \vec{\xi} \Rightarrow \omega_1^2 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{all } \omega_n^2 > 0$

Nec.: $\text{all } \omega_n^2 > 0 \Rightarrow \delta W_2 > 0 \quad \text{q.e.d.}$

Note: This is actually true w/o assuming completeness of eigenmodes (see refs.)

Now that we know we need δW_2 to check for stability, let us calculate δW_2 explicitly.

For that, all we need to do is to calculate $\vec{F}[\vec{\xi}]$ up to first order in $\vec{\xi}$.

$$\vec{F}[\vec{\xi}] = -J (\nabla_0 \vec{x})^{-1} \cdot \nabla_0 \left(\frac{\rho_0}{J\gamma} + \frac{|\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{x}|^2}{8\pi J^2} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \left(\frac{\vec{B}_0}{J} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{x} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \boxed{J} &= \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{mne} \left(\delta_{im} + \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_{0m}} + \dots \right) \left(\delta_{jn} + \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_{0n}} + \dots \right) \left(\delta_{ke} + \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_{0e}} + \dots \right) \\
 &= 1 + \frac{1}{6} \left(\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{mjn} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_{0m}} + \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ink} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_{0n}} + \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ije} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_{0e}} + \dots \right) \\
 &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ije} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_{0e}} + \dots = \boxed{1 + \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{z} + \dots}
 \end{aligned}$$

Use $\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ine} = \delta_{jn} \delta_{ke} - \delta_{je} \delta_{kn}$

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ije} = 3\delta_{ke} - \delta_{ke} = 2\delta_{ke}$$

[Alternatively, this can be calculated so:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \vec{u}) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_L}{\partial t} = -\rho_L \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = -\rho_L \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{z}}{\partial t}$$

$$\rho_L = \frac{\rho_0}{J} = \rho_0 + \delta \rho_L \Rightarrow \frac{1}{J} = 1 + \frac{\delta \rho_L}{\rho_0} \Rightarrow J = 1 - \frac{\delta \rho_L}{\rho_0} + \dots$$

To linear order $\frac{\partial \rho_L}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \delta \rho_L}{\partial t} = -\rho_0 \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{z}}{\partial t}$

$$\delta \rho_L = -\rho_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{z} \Rightarrow J = 1 + \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{z} + \dots]$$

~~$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_j}$$~~

~~$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_j} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_j}$$~~

~~$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_j} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_j}$$~~

Also $\nabla_0 \vec{x}_0 = \mathbb{1} + \nabla_0 \vec{z}$

$$\boxed{\nabla \vec{x}_0 = (\nabla_0 \vec{x}_0)^{-1} = \mathbb{1} - \nabla_0 \vec{z}}$$

$$\frac{\partial X_{oj}}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial X_{ok}} = \delta_{jk} = \delta_{ik} + \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial X_{ok}}$$

$$\frac{\partial X_{oj}}{\partial X_k} + \left(\frac{\partial X_{oj}}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial X_{ok}} \right) = \delta_{jk}$$

= δ_{ji} to lowest order.

$$\frac{\partial X_{oj}}{\partial X_k} = \delta_{jk} - \frac{\partial Z_j}{\partial X_{ok}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} = \frac{\partial X_{oj}}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{oj}} = \left(\delta_{ji} - \frac{\partial Z_j}{\partial X_{oi}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{oj}}$$

How that we know we need ∂W_2 to check for stability, let us calculate ∂W_2 explicitly. For that, all we need to do is to calculate $F[\vec{x}]$ up to first order in \vec{x} .

$$F[\vec{x}] = -\frac{1}{2} (\Delta \vec{x})^T \cdot \Delta \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{p}_0}{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{4\beta} \vec{p}_0 \cdot \Delta \cdot \left(\frac{\vec{p}_0}{2} \cdot \Delta \cdot \vec{x} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{\vec{p}_0 \cdot \Delta \cdot \vec{x}}{2\alpha^2}$$

$$\rho_0 \vec{g}(\vec{x}) = \rho_0 \vec{g}(\vec{x}_0 + \vec{\xi}) = \rho_0 \vec{g}(\vec{x}_0) + \rho_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{g}_0$$

So, the force operator is

$$\vec{F}[\vec{\xi}] = - \underbrace{(1 + \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi})}_{\text{circled}} (1 - \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) \cdot \nabla_0 \left[\rho_0 (1 - \gamma \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) \right] + \underbrace{\frac{1}{8\pi} (1 - 2 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) |\vec{B}_0 + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{\xi}|^2}_{\text{circled}} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \left[(1 - \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) (\vec{B}_0 + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{\xi}) \right] + \rho_0 \vec{g}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - 2 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) (B_0^2 + 2 \vec{B}_0 \vec{B}_0 : \nabla \vec{\xi}) \\ & = B_0^2 + 2 \vec{B}_0 \vec{B}_0 : \nabla \vec{\xi} - 2 B_0^2 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{B}_0 - \vec{B}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{\xi}$$

$$= - \nabla_0 \left[\cancel{\rho_0} - \gamma \rho_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \frac{B_0^2}{8\pi} + \frac{\vec{B}_0 \vec{B}_0 : \nabla \vec{\xi}}{4\pi} - \frac{B_0^2}{4\pi} \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} \right]$$

$$- (1 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} - \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) \cdot \nabla_0 \left(\rho_0 + \frac{B_0^2}{8\pi} \right) + \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} + \rho_0 \vec{g}_0$$

$$+ \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 (\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \vec{\xi} - \vec{B}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) + \rho_0 \vec{g}_0$$

only for the $\nabla \cdot \vec{\xi}$ term

$$= \nabla_0 \gamma \rho_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} - \nabla_0 \left[\frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot (\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \vec{\xi} - \vec{B}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi})}{4\pi} \right] + \rho_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{g}_0$$

$$+ \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 (\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \vec{\xi} - \vec{B}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi})}{4\pi} - \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}$$

$$- \rho_0 \vec{g}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \nabla_0 \left[\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \left(\rho_0 + \frac{B_0^2}{8\pi} \right) \right] - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \nabla_0 \left(\rho_0 + \frac{B_0^2}{8\pi} \right)$$

$$= \nabla_0 (\gamma \rho_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \rho_0) - \nabla_0 \left[\frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot (-\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0 + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{\xi} - \vec{B}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi})}{4\pi} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 (\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \vec{\xi} - \vec{B}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi})}{4\pi} - \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} \quad \text{"Q"}$$

$$- \rho_0 \vec{g}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 (\rho_0 \vec{g}_0) + \rho_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{g}_0 =$$

~~$$\dots$$~~

$$- (\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \rho_0) \vec{g}_0$$

Gravity term:

$$\boxed{\rho_0 \vec{g}} = -\rho_0 \nabla \Phi = -\rho_0 (\nabla_0 \vec{x})^{-1} \cdot \nabla_0 \Phi = -\rho_0 (\mathbb{1} - \nabla_0 \vec{\xi}) \cdot \nabla_0 \Phi$$

$$= -\rho_0 \nabla_0 \Phi + \rho_0 \underbrace{(\nabla_0 \vec{\xi}) \cdot \nabla_0 \Phi}_0 =$$

$$\underbrace{\nabla_0 (\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \Phi_0) - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \nabla_0 \Phi_0}_0$$

$$= -\rho_0 \nabla_0 \Phi + \rho_0 \nabla_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \Phi_0 + \rho_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{g}_0 =$$

$$\underbrace{\Phi(\vec{x}(t, \vec{x}_0)) = \Phi(\vec{x}_0 + \vec{\xi}) = \Phi_0 + \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \Phi_0}_0$$

$$\boxed{= \rho_0 \vec{g}_0 + \rho_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{g}_0}$$

$$\boxed{\nabla \vec{x} = (\nabla_0 \vec{x})^{-1} = \mathbb{1} - \nabla_0 \vec{\xi}}$$

$$= \nabla_0 \cdot (\gamma p_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla p_0) - \nabla_0 \cdot \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \vec{Q}}{4\pi} + \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 (\vec{Q} + \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0)}{4\pi} - (\nabla_0 \cdot (\rho_0 \vec{\xi})) \vec{g} - \underbrace{(\nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0}{4\pi}}_{\text{cancel}}$$

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \left[(\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{\xi}) \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0 + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} : \nabla_0 \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0 - (\nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0 - (\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0) \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0 - \vec{\xi} \vec{B}_0 : \nabla_0 \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0 \right] = \frac{\vec{Q} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0}{4\pi}$$

$$= \nabla_0 \cdot (\gamma p_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla p_0) - \nabla_0 \cdot \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \vec{Q}}{4\pi} + \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{Q} + \vec{Q} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} - (\nabla_0 \cdot (\rho_0 \vec{\xi})) \vec{g}$$

where $\vec{Q} = -\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0 + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{\xi} - \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{\xi} = \nabla_0 \times (\vec{\xi} \times \vec{B}_0)$

[Note that another way to get this is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \vec{\xi}}{\partial t^2} = -\nabla_0 \delta p + \frac{(\nabla \times \delta \vec{B}) \times \vec{B}_0 + (\nabla \times \vec{B}_0) \times \delta \vec{B}}{4\pi} + \delta(\rho \vec{g})$$

where δp , $\delta \vec{B}$ and $\delta(\rho \vec{g})$ are Eulerian perturbations

$$\delta p = -\vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla p_0 - \gamma p_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}$$

$$\delta \vec{B} = \vec{Q}$$

$$\delta \rho = -\nabla_0 \cdot (\rho_0 \vec{\xi})$$

and $(\nabla \times \vec{Q}) \times \vec{B}_0 + (\nabla \times \vec{B}_0) \times \vec{Q} = -(\nabla \cdot \vec{Q}) \cdot \vec{B}_0 + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \vec{Q} - (\nabla \cdot \vec{B}_0) \cdot \vec{Q} + \vec{Q} \cdot \nabla \vec{B}_0$

$$= -\nabla (\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{B}_0) + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \vec{Q} + \vec{Q} \cdot \nabla \vec{B}_0$$

~~cancel~~

Now we calculate δW_2

because $\nabla \cdot \vec{Q} = 0$

$$\delta W_2[\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}] = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}] =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x_0 \vec{\xi} \cdot \left[\nabla_0 (\gamma \rho_0 \nabla_0 \vec{\xi} + \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \rho_0) - \nabla_0 \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \vec{Q}}{4\pi} + \nabla_0 \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \vec{Q} + \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} - (\nabla_0 \cdot (\rho_0 \vec{g}_0)) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x_0 \left[\gamma \rho_0 (\nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi})^2 + (\nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \rho_0 - \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \vec{Q}}{4\pi} \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \frac{\vec{Q} \cdot \vec{B}_0}{4\pi} \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \frac{\vec{B}_0 \cdot \vec{Q}}{4\pi} \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \right.$$

$$\left. \cancel{\dots} + (\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{g}_0) \nabla_0 \cdot (\rho_0 \vec{\xi}) \right]$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\vec{Q}}{4\pi} \cdot (-\vec{B}_0 \nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi} + \vec{B}_0 \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{\xi} - \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \vec{B}_0) + \frac{\vec{\xi} \cdot (\nabla_0 \vec{B}_0) \cdot \vec{Q} + \vec{Q} \cdot (\nabla_0 \vec{\xi}) \cdot \vec{B}_0}{4\pi}$$

$$= \frac{Q^2}{4\pi} + \frac{\vec{\xi} \cdot (\nabla_0 \vec{B}_0) \cdot \vec{Q} + \vec{Q} \cdot \nabla_0 (\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{B}_0) - \vec{Q} \cdot (\nabla_0 \vec{B}_0) \cdot \vec{\xi}}{4\pi} =$$

$$= \frac{Q^2}{4\pi} + \frac{\vec{\xi} \cdot [\vec{Q} \times (\nabla_0 \times \vec{B}_0)]}{4\pi} = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi} + \frac{\vec{J}_0 \cdot (\vec{\xi} \times \vec{Q})}{c}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x_0 \left[\gamma \rho_0 (\nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi})^2 + (\nabla_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}) \vec{\xi} \cdot \nabla_0 \rho_0 + \frac{Q^2}{4\pi} + \frac{\vec{J}_0 \cdot (\vec{\xi} \times \vec{Q})}{c} + (\vec{\xi} \cdot \vec{g}_0) \nabla_0 \cdot (\rho_0 \vec{\xi}) \right]$$

(not unique) This is the standard form as given, e.g., in Kulsrud p.161

⇒ ~~Conclusion~~ Stability analysis is done in one of two ways:

- 1) substitute the eq. into $\delta W_2[\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}]$, check if $\delta W_2 < 0$ for any $\vec{\xi}$
- 2) do normal mode analysis (e.v. problem):
 $\tau \omega^2 \vec{\xi} = \vec{F}[\vec{\xi}]$